## LIFE TERM PAROLE CONSIDERATION HEARING

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

BOARD OF PRISON TERMS

Matter of the Subsequent Maroke Consideration Hearing

CDC No. 94317

SHEVE GROGAN.

- 10

ŤŤ

12

13

14

. 15

16

17

1#

19.

20

21

22

CALIFORNIA MEDICAL

VACAVI: TALgar agg

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 20, 198:

2:15 P.M.

Julieann Hamill CER No. 5151 MEMBERS PRES

Member

Hearing Representative

ong: Board Member

MEMBERS ABSENT

Mone .

ALSO PRESENT

Steve Grogan, Inmate

William Robinson, Attorney for Inmate

Michael Delaney, Deputy District Attorney for Los Angeles County

Steve Centanni, KUIC Radio

17

12

**200** ± 1

## Cielo Drive. com ARCHIVES

termoon. This series is approximately

Ones Medical Facility at Varaville.

Noote I'm a Member of the Pard of the Pard of Part of the Pard of Part of the Pard of Part of the Par

the room also are the prisoner,

Michael Delaney, who

that correct?

will be

pty District

de and the Board can then ask those board feels it's appropriate. But the board feels it's appropriate. But the time, is they so wish, their own opinions as to this case. Those always to be followed by the statements of the prisoner or the prisoner's attorney and that also includes fihal summation.

The hearing is being recorded index Board of Prison Terms contracted reporter is here. 2011 And the have present Mr. Steve Centanni, C-e-114 And KUIC 95 Radio here at Vacaville.

Department of Corrections from Los Argel.

No. A-267861, involving the crime of murde:

degree, 187 of the Penal Code. We have a minimum parole date in this case of December the 1th.

Mr. Grogan, this case is being heard today pursuant.

Penal Code Sections 3041, 3042 and the regulations of the Board of Prison Terms governing parole consideration hearings for life prisoners. I know that you're familiar with this process; at least I believe you are, because out your first hearing on August the 22nd of 1978.

That is the white parole consideration hearing. Then

3

the 17th, 1979; the second subsequent the let. 1980 and, of course, today, with or '81 will be the third subsequent or third subsequent or this case.

that right?

10

11

£f.

14

ts

16

18

19

INMATE GROGAN: Correct, sir.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: So you're familiar with the whole process?

IMMATE GROGAN: Been through it a few times.

presiding MEMBER ROOS: So, as you know probably from prior hearings, we have to decide today whether or not you constitute an unreasonable risk to the public. If we find that you do, then you would come back for another hearing in approximately a year! If we find that you do not constitute an unreasonable risk today, then we would be bound to set your total amount of time in prison, your term or punishment for the crime and other factors that might be relevant.

We're going to reach that decision today.

Whatever it is we're going to reach it. We're going to inform you with the form you of it. I'm going to inform you with the form yo. 1005, which is limply a summary of our decision now.

If give you the lecision verbally and then in about to day you it receive a transmitten copy of the decision.

offices and then coming to you in the form of

you're not satisfied with the decision today, whatever that decision is, then you'd have 90 days to appeal, and you have a right to appeal.

of course, we're going to hear various kinds of information today. For instance, I'm going to discuss with you the crime, the life crime, and your priors. Mr. Epperly is going to discuss with you what's happened since you came to prison, the so-called post-conviction factors. And Mr. Tong is going to discuss with you whatever parole plans you might have. We realize that you don't have a parole date. That's obvious and so therefore, perhaps your parole plans may be somewhat more abstract than they would be if you had a date. But we still would like to know what your thinking is in that regard.

11

12

. 13

14

15

16

17

1**8** -

19

20-

So after we talk about these three areas and get comments from the District Attorney, from you and your attorney, then we're going to get the final comments and take a resessand we'll reach our decision, taking whatever amount of time we need to do so and call you look and and and ance the tentative decision, as 1

Man decision, whatever it is today.

insel, I assume that your client will be of these areas or all

ROBINSON; Yes, he will.

PAESIDING MEMBER ROOS: So, I'd like to place him under cath. If you just remain seated and raise your right hand.

Withereupon Inmate Steve Grogan was,

Wy Presiding Member Roos, sworn to tell

the truth, the whole truth, and nothing
but the truth.)

INMATE GROGAN: I do.

PRESIDENG MEMBER ROOS Okay. Thank you very

much.

10

12

13

14

15

GROGAN: Welcome.

at this meaning. Of course, I already mentioned one right and that is the right to appeal the decision if you don't like it. It how what it's going to be. But if you have the right to appeal that it we then to appeal that we thearings. The right to revolve the materials that

desired be using today -- incidently, we're not using any confidential material. We're going to be using material in the Central File, which I understand you had the opportunity to review. I have sertificates here or statements here that you signed indicating that you've provided counsel today and the you've had opportunity to look at these materials. should have also, the right to talk to your autorno.

Counsel, are you satisfied that your has been afforded these due process rights?

MR. ROBINSON: Yes, I am.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: And are you to a proceed today?

MR. ROBINSON: I am.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: And I assume the

137

11

12

17

18

19

INMATE GROGAN: Right.

to an impartial panel. What that means is busined to an impartial panel. What that means is busined to an impartial panel what that means is busined to an impartial panel what that means is busined to an interest of the prison or something outside this prison room such that we would come in here with some premitive training outside the prison of the

Have you men any of us outside the hearing

Theyen't.

ROOS: Do you have any reason the could be blased in described?

MR. ROBINSON: If there's anyone from the Los MR. ROBINSON: If there's anyone from the Los MR. ROBINSON: If there's anyone from the Los District Attorney's Office, other than that I couldn't think of any.

the panel members have that background.

Do you have any preliminary objections.

Mr. Robinson, before we get started? Any legal objections?

MR. ROBINSON: Well, I have one objection, which I'm sure you've grown tired of hearing, which is the leval protection objections or the expost facto objection to proceeding under the rules that have been enacted since the determinate sentence law.

mould note for the record that there's been an appealance court decision, which, of course, is not a final decision yet on this matter, which has been saying these violated protection. And there's not a hearing had I'm sure that this is going will make the objections formally

Believe,

to?

ROBINSON: Hensel, right.

of the Supreme Court, if it's required, then will have to set up procedures to review some these cases under the Adult Authority audelines, which longer use since we're using the Board of Prison forms, guidelines. So your of a tier has been noted for the record and preserved and, if proper assume that we're using from you later and

Was also raised last year, and re's also in the allow of the sepect if to be adopted by the Berginse last in the theoret that in Mr. Grogan's file, and say in the Central File but in matters considered here to fine hearing, are fairly detailed accounts of the demonstrated of the co-infeddents, which Mr. Grogan has an demonstrated involvements.

im certain that these will not have prejudicial feet, upon the Board in terms of your evaluation of Gregor conduct, but I object to them in the sense that the sense is involvement in those very heinous, as is, because of the nature of to Mr. Gregor.

proper to have material

last the hearing and I don't believe

the Board bin same the out the acts in which Mr. Grogan participated and those acts which he did not participate. I think we can confine our consideration to what we have in the facts as they relate to him.

He's not required, as you know, to discuss the crime with us or to admit guilt. We can reach a decision without him doing so; however, if he wants to talk about the crime, if it should be appropriate or if the question should come up, then, of course, we would like to hear whatever he has to say. Fut he has a right not to talk about it.

31

· 12

15

16

17

of course, the panel has to accept as true what the court found or what he pled to with respect to the life crime. So we can buchange that, of course, knowing whatever his activities might have been with the corpus of the orime. But we're not going to in any sense oversule the court or make a different finding as to the

the case more preliminary and that

Just going to pass that over and ask if you'd look over and see if it seems to be the same documents so that we're all together on the materials before us.

MR. ROBINSON: I believe I have all these materials.

Oh, do you want to see that, Steve?

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: I'm gring to ask the
District Attorney to look at it.

Are you ready, then, to move into the substanting portion of the hearing?

MR. ROBINSON: Yes, I'm ready. Mr. Gregan, on his own, prepared a brief, I guess you could call in this matter. He has copies for each member of the bank, and one for the District Attorney who is here today. Would ask, perhaps during the deliberation, if you have time to look at this.

PRESIDENC MEMBER ROOS: Let the record reflect

articles, other information in the time of the delibert one

.

11 12

Q1

13,

14

15 16

17

10

this for our

me sak the District Attorney if he has had a compared to review this summary of documents that are

those documents in my file.

going to mark this list of exhibits in the files that we all have as Exhibit 1 today.

11

12

14

.15

16

17

18

(Thereupon the above mentioned document was, by Presiding Member Relationary)

Marked Exhibit No. 1.)

MR. ROBINSON: Can I also note -- excuse me that there are certain letters, which are not in the control Mr. Grogan has copies of which he received recently. The just didn't have time to incorporate them in the Central File and as those matters come up, I will introduce them as evidence.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: Fine, thank you.

CARD ELMER TONG: Excuse me, Counselor. Are

Local total elters of support?

ROUNSON EVES.

All right

At this point I

sion of last stably going to read wire paint because apparently the to over the years in that there involvement on the part of etatements to the police and showing the victim was buried and things like that. this point we'll read that into the record. 180ner and crime partners Charles nice Davis, and Charles Watsor, acting with one another, enticed the victim, ome Shea, a cowboy ranchhand, into a Spahn Ranch near Chatsworth, California, of August 1969. The victim to a part of the Spahn's movie ranch, that on the head by the prisoner and was vehicle. The victim was the body by the crime sonen. During this occasion, ensen told the victim he at some to chop the

ictim's

Lither been

Bruners

Bruners

Bruners

Bruners

For

that the reason they killed the state because he was 'bad mouthing' the the was calling the man (police). The stated to this witness that it was 'really killing the victim.

In the latter part of 1979 (sic), the prisoner,

contly motivated by the fact that people

that he had participated in a decapitation

finite the victim's body was mutilated,

authorities and supplied information

o the ecovery of the victim's body.

Ins of the victim showed no

action or mutilation. The

contract the victim showed

dof the victim showed

if a blunt

prisoner

the blunt

ce Orline partner Madison's orders

Color Unite partner Madison's orders

Color Described the decapitation

this point I would ask Mr. Robinson or Grogan If they have any comments on that statement of

Tree - this is from the formal decision that the Board

PRESEDING MEMBER ROOS: Yes, the Board's formal

Year at they did is they went back in '79

and cally two fairly distinct and

on this. The first account, which

Virig decapitation, the statements

time and this sort of thing

econione.

A PROPERTY GENERAL CE .

the told this story and the story atly told as the facts and the discovery

the ing where he told the story was incorporated the Now, to can do that this year or we could have Steve discuss the matter right now. Perhaps the latter would be better than doing the first with the facts.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: We've all had the benefit

The cripts. We know how the story has changed

the discovery of the remains and so --

ROBERSON: I would say --

etes or you make any statement that he

of the stabbing incident that

If as DVI and the aftermath of

Consider and coming out

nds lact de alles

find of -- although it's difficult to

the offense itself, the background of it

We can get into that in questions

if you could just start from that morning

fill in details as you go along. I know you've

this a number of times but --

to so if he mants to he can, but he probably doesn't need to so over all the facts. I think probably what he if go over are the things he feels are most important incomplishent or highlight things.

Okay. I'll start with the report
you mad is settly accurate insomuch as it describes
vertically that happened.

said we enticed the victim to the was understood how I explained thing us down to an auto mechanic

thing?

that point Tex and I entered the back seat

that point Tex and I entered the back seat

method the lives, which was Jerome Shea, and Tex was on

his right hand side. We proceeded down Santa Susana Pass

method San Bernardo Valley. And about a quarter mile down

the the was like a turnoff where cars, you

are and Tex mentioned that he had some

that he had to get, pick up before he went

it's kind of hard to, you cast I did.

diyou like some water?

es Nanson was

the back - seat,

in the front seat

in the back seat.

Then we pulled off the road.

the like he was looking for some parts.

in the mentime, I was supposed to hit this guy the back of the head. And like I never, you know, hit was hard, the the heat desirating in my mind, you know, looking the its on the highway hoping maybe cause of traffic to hit him because it was just 10 feet off

He pulled out a knife that he next finally, you know, put me over aby. I wasn't really -- there

to the passenger

Interrupt you. One the vertical is a state of the vertical in the left the last year; and as you just said, he left the but but, right? He went out which side?

INSATE GROGAN: The blow knocked him forward so he left the steering wheel and surprised him and jumped out the steering wheel and surprised him and jumped out the state and I had to reach over the seat and get in the driver seat to stop the car, because the way it was parked there was an embankment, you know, like cul de sac ditch.

In Judicial over the seat trying to put the brakes on, put the car is gear, stop the motor, he had already been stabbed.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: Who did that?

Indies GROGAN: I imagine Tex did. / didn't him. My head was turned; you know.

perisheral vision, I didn't catch

out of the caf and he was laying

the state. He was already

son arrived on'

Pull own here and caused

And I was handed a kinsfe and

M Mecs: Big Manson stab him too?

10 to exposed him.

Mode: Se Sou stabbed him and

- the 1-4-7

might have stabled

Mat happened?

The beample and the

back yourself

was the grave?

cover his body. But in reference to the years it was seven years or eight years there had been rainstorms and mud slides in that area.

And I think shat's what -- they had trouble finding him when I initially drew a map. I had to go down with them, about, and show them the direct vicinity. I couldn't even remember the exact spot because, you know, landscape had changed.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: How about all this talk, it was groovy to kill him, and all that kind of business?

INNATE GROGAN: Well, I was told that if anybody was to sak what happened that those were the statements

I was to give them in order to -- at that point in time, at the ranch there was a lot of, seems like there was a little bit of dissention and philosophy that was promulgated here was always -- fear or love would pull people for Drealing apart. And I think it was, you know, obe to this statement would bring more

Thank you.

o say about the

to day anything.

I'd like to say everything that the said. It has to be dealt with thoroughly and adult manner. I'm just trying to think if there's areas that need to be addressed.

the area of post-conviction behavior, since so much of you know, the charge in the growth and the coming to grif with the enormity of this thing that's happened, since is he really opened up to this whole thing and perhaps additional matters can be looked back to at that time.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: Fine.

14

of the case?

MR. DELANEY: So far as the basic facts of the offense op, I think those have been adequately summarized in previous hearings, in the previous comments of the courtend the District Attorney at the time of the offense.

apparently in error and apparently

agentially by Mr. Grogan in the sense

gether, as part of this fear

comments so far as

I think is a Middly summarized. I callous is summarized. I adequately addressed and I would simply religerate those comments.

The only other thing I would say with regard to offerse wiself is: I wonder if, in listening to Groger, I wonder if we're not witnessing the degree of rationalization on his part. None of us were there, and we are limited to the accounts of persons, who are living, who were there. But I couldn't help but note in that short narrative that Mr. Grogen just gave that it was the act of Mr. Manson in directing him to do this in the first place. It was the act of Tex in urging him to do it while they were in the car that he portrays himself essentially as a pawn.

I realize he has said in the past rat he was under substantial domination of Mr. Manson and that domination was accentuated by his use of psychedelic and other drugs. But my only comment would be, I wonder how truly he's come to terms with his own role, how active that role was, whether that activity was much more than he's willing to concede that point.

A have that him se;

Okay. Mr. Epperly, do

Comments

11

12

13

15

17

E EDIELY: Yes. How many

four, I believe led to believe led to believe led to believe led to be lieve

was a correct.

REPARENTATIVE EPPERLY: At what point

GROGAN: In what order?

MERRING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: Right.

encoan: I guess I was the next one to

ARIM PRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: You're the next

I'm not sure when that -- both

NYATIVE EPPERLY: But the other

and Manson?

the fact that I was there

hard to describe

empretation of

THE PRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: What were you

GROGAN: Just the fact that I had hurt

tell inow, I never -- it was always against my

the way I was brought up and even in that family

sacred. There's no need for violence.

HERETIC REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: Was it, the being sched, in your terminology, was it because of the actual would be must there an intimation from some other was it would be something to your greatest fear?

Well, both factors played equal yel. I think I was more afraid of I never struck anybody or anything

what it hard to explain --

others in the group I was with if I didn't

had that thought at the time you struck him with the eye wrench or the time you were stabbing him?

INMATE GROGAN: The thought that I might be killed if I didn't?

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: Right.

INMATE GROGAN: I guess I just -- let me state it clearly. At the point of my hesitation beginning when I looked over and saw the guy with the knife and, flash, that I bester do this or I'll get killed myself.

Ìδ

11

12

13

14

15

17

l Ø

and has been mentioned before, the amount of ingestion c.
drugs and whatnot over a long period of time prior to this
incident. Do you recall how, immediately prior to this,
you, had ingested any foreign elements?

INMATE GROGAN: I think, as I stated earlier in the record, we were getting loaded on drugs almost every night, marijuana; ashish and occasionally we'd take some means are recorded in the delics, hallucenating drugs. And it or of the group was to keep a certain eight, or awareness of a certain plane they could during all waking hours contain an ingestion of

SENTATIVE SPPERLY: I don't knee

or later on since it happened since
the resovery of the body. It's okay to proceed

HEATOING MEMBER ROOS: Sure.

trying to make excuses for what I did.

trying to make excuses for what I did.

There's no justifiable crime regardless of what they told me

crime regardless of what they told me

far. I'm not trying to take, you know,

you know, excuse myself or any

did, was totally wrong, against all

there's to make that

Burder Williams

RESIDESENTATIVE EPPERLY: Okay. In regards ticipation in helping to relocate and recover body of the victim, what brought about the actual going there and your willingness to do this?

INMATE GROGAN: Well, I don't think it was one specific thing: It was, I think, culmination of my growing and maturing and coming to grips with myself about the crime and what I did, the acknowledgment, the fact that I was tired of living, having to tell everybody I didn't do it, lie that I perpetrated, the fact that I wouldn't own to the responsibility. There was a lot of facets that, you know, they add to my coming forth for this disclosure of where the body was.

14

12

It was -- it's not like in 1977 I decided, well, today. I'm going to tell the District Attorney where the body is. It was culmination process of up through the years of me gathering of strength and enough responsibility of myself to deal with what I've done and come clean with it.

Erison environment is like you live under a lot of tear too You can be killed in prison just street, if not easier. And the fact that the ditherities, even back at that time in with the staff you were

ser envoye standing in convict

people but a rat jacket on me and I'm left open have my property stolen; atl the things that penals that crosses those boundaries in a convict whity, staff and convicts alike.

HEARTING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: Excuse me. You mentioned '77. I believe Mr. Rops, you mentioned '79.

You did go out in '77, right, to relocate --

INMATE GROGAN: ,Uh-huh.

ĬO

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: Okay.

INMATE GROGAN: So consequently I was dealing with all-those different factors. Plus incident happened in '77 which really made me cognizant in a way that put me in my-victim's shoes, the attack that was led on me. You know, I -- that made me cognizant of the act that I did to the other person, what he must have felt the terror and the fear when I was being stabbed.

You know, and to myself I didn't have no reason.

The was no reason for them to stab me. I was -- what's

Line was for you doing? And I thought I was going

thought are you doing? And I thought I was going

thought the fact that me being able, by

the victim's shoes, to to speak, that

the your me some deep insight into

linet I did and made me all the

\*\*\*

traighten the books

The Paris of F

10

11

12.

14

15

you were the one stabled at that time?

LECTOGÁN: No, because the defendant in the plet to an exacts about it, and I didn't testify against him, as ler as what he did to me

So in the course of pending proceedings, he didn't make any statement why but my only guess was that that same night there was an incident on the yard where white members of a gang attacked Nuestra Familia because they want a percentage out of some money games and stuff. And this particular person ran on the yard and came back with the institution — on the recreation's yard, this is where the incident happened. And he was looking for a reason to save face before other gang members and I became the likely candidate. I had just came back from the music room. I was a tonstate yasdy I wasn't even sure what happened at the bind dent.

exercise EPPERLY: Were there any the time you offered to go out and any discussions as to anything some firstions by the department and if you were to

Was that honored? and tip off by an It might be appropriate now, if The testimony by Lieutenant Chandler, who tent person in this process. He was the at DVI with whom Steve started to discuss the whole area of it. He was present at the 1978 hearing. In the '79 hearing the counsel, read into the record Lightenant Chandler, and I think those would to read into now. They're somewhat re important now. Mhy don't you just give the look at them again? They're in yed had the opportunity to I don't recall He was the

TO SEVEN OF THE SENTATIVE EPREMINE: For seven or

MR. ROBINSON: This is in the 1979 hearing, pages because it's quoted that's how you court reporters do it, right?

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: Thank you. Anything else, Mr. Epperly, that you wanted --

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: No. I have no questions at this point.

• PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: Mr. Tong, any questions or comments?

10

12

13

15

BOARD MEMBER TONG: Mr. Grogan, at the time that the incident took place and there had been a gradual build up prior to it of your leaving your family, staying away for a time; staying away weekends. I'm talking about a period before your, I guess —

INMATE GROGAN: Involvement with the Charles Manson

BOARD MEMBER TONG: Right. What happened in your lise to cause this, from what you say, from what I've read. You sliege your parents were all right. There was no no less that correct?

That's correct. Came from a good

Line I got no complaints

Line I feel bad about what I've

BOOK MEMBER TONG: You might be a runaway, not with along with your parents, if your father's beating to you or sepathing like that. What was happening with you to cause you to seek to be away from your family?

that there was a point in my life where I was being real romantic about the meaning of life. You know, where I was real philosopher, philosophised a lot about life and its meaning, deep meanings in life, my purpose in life, you know, what I imagine every teenager goes through that same period in his life. I took it to the extreme to the extent that I went to different monasteries, different monasteries, different monasteries.

ROBINSON: What age are we talking about now,

teve

GREGAR: Age of fourteen, fifteen and a

at years were these?

67, 167, 165, approximately.

and marijuana

Co. (4)

of unconscrousness,

life of unconscrousness,

life of the mill and

life of the mill and that was

I didn't ment to we at that point in throught there was more to life than that. So I was decise ment to make the so consequently I'd leave the house. The solution of the solution different admisteries, encounter groups, homeometer, its learn what they had to say. I was constant, propriating myself to different teachings and things like that Build format of all those teachings is that you have been programmed in the past so you become in contact with your true self.

H

H

17

So that was my quest and over the time it just got more intense. I'd leave for a day or two and I'd leave for a weekend, week at a time, then a month. So it gradually built up so until I finally severed ties and left on my com. I was would 15 when I left.

TONG: What was the response of your

tried to reassure them as

til drame with the manufacture. And the properties. Reft and were the my leaving the house for long periods

it seems like the whole stream of society was in a constant flux, as you know. All those — all those different events and like string of society's fiber was coming loose of they were being twisted and metamorphosis, that was an issult. Everything was just really unstable. I think the whole country felt like that. At least I felt like that lot of parents, most people I've talked to.

OARD NEMBER TONG: At a certain point after

we go en married, your wife needed to change her ne

Clear to my head, all the

and thinking all

om 1 still

met my wife and we visited over a year. I visited the first of a year because I wanted to see where she was in her and, as far as for a wife, good wife, my children. I was more like a testing period. I let her go through to see if she would stick by me. Of course, there was no guaranty of that. It seemed that after a year of going through these hardships of prison life, visiting, coming back and seeing you, on the basis that she had left.

So consequently after a year and a half. I decided we should get married. And some of the girls that were involved in Charlie's in Sacramento got wind of the marriage and they threatened her with telephone calls. Telling her that she wasn't good enough for me. They were going to kill her, send somebody over to get her; that she should leave me alone; get the hell out of there.

you know, call it quits or she would stick by me.

feelings. told her to change her address, move out of the dered how they knew where she lived.

O MEM TONG Pardon?

GREAN: I was wondering now they knew

as consequences that that might cion from the people that were still out?

LIMATE GROGAN: Year It was -- I had those

Diem during that relationship. We had told

out elatively the same thing, leave me alone.

You have no business with me, and I kind of half ass respected that from those people. Because in their eyes, here I am in the past involved. I am in effect getting out of the group. To them there's no getting out. Because it's — it's kind of difficult to explain, I guess, the attachment they had to each person that was involved with them over the years and they didn't want to let go of it.

BOARD MEMBER TONG: Okay. Maybe --

ľŤ

33

14

INMATE GROGAN: It's like we're supposed to be bonded together for life, you know, for eternity. Almost to a, like a vow, you know, to that. That was their viewpoint of my involvement with them and I didn't see it that way. And they was highly upset that I would leave them and just told them to get the heck away.

Bo and MEMBER TONG: I think you indicated that at the Line is the killing, correct me if I'm incorrect, that the cett rimitiate the body and that you surmised in line in the large in the group more under his was beginning to shift away from

Derect?

10

11

12

ŧŧ

14

16

17

MOGAN: Yeah. At the time -- it wasn't time of the murders. It was after the murder, it was after the murder, that he told me that, you know, it culate that story if anybody asks.

tell you to mutilate the body?

\*MMATE GROGAN: He told me to say that we had mutilated the body.

were involved in the Manson family, do other people have -.

at the time that the incident took place, people were vert

close to one another in terms of the psychodrawn path?

At this point is there a spread in terms of the indivious:

BOARD MEMBER TONG: Where people are at in terms

of their relationship with Manson or --

INMATE GROGAN: I was the only one that was, you know saying - the sag good look at where they're at.

It immediately work that in through the distortion of the transfer of th

them still pretty

The sentent with them over the sentent senten

Boxes TONG: Okay. I don't have any other

INTATE GROGAN: Things are sort of past now.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: Okay. Thank you. If there are no other comments or questions on the life crime itself, then we'll talk about the priors.

Does anybody have any other comments or questions on the like crime?

MR. MOBINSON: I have one further comment which the brought up. I hate to belabor the point, but one was to discussed was why Shorty Shea became a way that Manson and the whole thing took and you dign't really bring that up.

BEER ROOS: I think that's in the

I think that was in the

He was drawn on

what was going to our sircle.

indexe GROGAN: At one point; I think he was but grow later on down the line, wasn't much of a trust. the maic tenor of that so-called justification and motivation was that he was a threat to the survival of the group and children that belonged to this. And through previous forts to make him leave or scare him off the ranch failed. So in our distorted thinking, we -- only other solution was the murder.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: Okay.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: Excuse me.

.Hadn!t you known him for several years?

INMATE GROGAN: About a year and a half.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: Your having been

on that watch

10

Ħ

13

14

ENMATE GROGAN: A year and a half prior to meeting to Manager people. On a seasonal basis on and off we used the the same ranch. I lived there before on, and we worked together with the

NEAT IVE EPPERLY: Had you known

man ap impression that

betretching it, I

HERETERS REPRESENTATION EPPEALY: I see, thank

THREEDENG MEMBER ROOS: Anything else?

Me, HOMENSON: No, that's all.

prelimination or -- excuse me -- the priors, I realize from the transcript of 1979, October the 17th, that pages 32 and 41 contain a quite thorough discussion of the priors.

So I will move to incorporate those with the concurrence of the District Attorney and with the concurrence of Mr. Robinson.

But I want to say, by way of summary, that Grogan was livet known to the police at the age of 15 for seemed on of marijuana and was handled informally. At a sarrested for shoplifting and forfeited bail.

The same two for grand theft and prowling but was sufficient sevidence. Also at 17 he close of grand theft auto, but the ditor of grand theft auto, but the

elinosemen birthday, he was
with child molesting

the private parts were expected to the children.

these. That's when you were out of the hospital you were arrested on auto thest?

the mintal section after only a few days.

INMATE GROGAN: Yes.

Atterney again did not prosecute. Didn't they realize that you had escaped or you were already locked up at that time?

MR. ROBINSON: By escape are you referring to

the Camprillo --

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: The hospital, yes.

What county was that warrant on,

Apparently you were in the state of the state of the suspicion of auto theft.

look at the --

Abril Neow if that's very

of which I paid restitution for and case was adjudicated as a misdemeanor breach of promise.

PRESEDENC MEMBER ROOS: How much did you pay for that? Was it \$700 or something or 500?

INMATE GROGAN: 550, I think.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: Well, at that time, though, you had escaped previously from Camarillo, right?

MR. ROBINSON: Why don't you describe your so-called escape?

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: You walked off or something?

INMATE GROGAN: I was there for a couple days. My experience with institutions was for a couple days in juvenile hall or maybe detained overnight, that's about it.

Camarillo, and there's people there that were severely retarded. You know, something I had never been exposed to or samplect to live with. People that were violent, had them on selection, and it was like -- it was scared, scary, was: You know there was people there, they come up to select to the was people there, they come up to

APTOL NEPORT

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

And the night prior to my walk away, some of them

it a counselor over the head with some weights or some

kind of heavy object. And I -- I just -- scared me, so

I waid; I got to get out of here. So I walked off.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: June the 11th of '69 is when you were arrested for this alleged indecent exposure, and then you went to the hospital and then you walked away. And then two months later it appears that on August the 16th of '69 the shertiff's office in L.A. arrested you for grand theft auto, which became a District Attorney reject.

Remember that? It was about two months after you walked away from the hospital. You were arrested and then --

ŧ1

Impare success. There was quite a few times where I was arrested. And I was driving a motorcycle, and I didn't have no regarditration. And they charged me with grand theft and put me in jail for a couple days and released me because the people that owned the bike came and showed them the registration. That might have been the incident.

PRESIDING EMBER ROOS: On October the 10th of 1969 a sheriff soff in Independence arrested you on 272 F.C. Contribution to the delinquency of a minor, 496 receiving stolk property, 12020 P.C., deadly weapons and escaping from the December the 5th of '69 dismissed.

11

-12

13

14

15

16

17

ഗ

in the late of justice. You were released to the therise affice of a homicide warrant. So when you were picked up for these activities on October the 10th of '69, at that time you were released and you were then weld by the sheriff because of the homicide warrant?

INMATE GROGAN: That scorrect.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: What was the contributing to the delinquency of a minor at that the con-

INMATE GROGAN: What had supplements they had a raid at Desert Ranch that we were straint of the form white. Panamint Mountains. And there was a rest in \$5 parjete and they just charged everybody with everything Wiev format.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: Well offered reproportions. INMATE GROGAN: I think there was a girl think was 17 or 16.

> PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: You were le at that time INMATE GROGAN: I just turned is at that time.

Anything that was found there that was a felony or misdemeanor was construed to be contributing to a minor. I think they charged everybody in that area the that charge.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: Gkay. So, actually the yerime took place, the murder crime took place in August.

When die it to ke place? August of '69?

INTER GROCAN: As far as I can put together from se ceport from the sheriff and witnesses saying he was

ŢŌ

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

ŦĒ

19

20

21

## at in August.

SEDING MEMBER ROOS: Of '69. So actually you arrested earlier and sent to the mental hospital? INMATE GROGAN: Correct.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: Okay.

INMATE GROGAN: I was arrested as a juvenile and sent to Camarillo under that -- had a choice of going to Y.A. or Camarillo. The guy said, go to Camarillo . It's more kick back.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: So this arrest at the ranch where the minor was present, that took place after the murder? That took place in October?

INMATE GROGAN: Yeah, it would have to be.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: So you were just board over and held by the sheriff after that pending the trial?

INMATE GROGAN: No. What happened is that I was sent back to L.A. on this grand theft auto warrant, which I bailed out on and was out on bail for six months. And then they revoked my bail because of somebody put collateral up, and I spent the remaining proceedings in county jail.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: It's noted 12-6-69 that you were arrested for grand theft auto again in the Vehicle Code:

INMATE: GROGAN: That was the original warra of Inyo Gounty.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

**2**1

22

MEMBER ROSS: Findependence?

CAN: Right: Man they held me there

ior -- wasn't very rong. I think it was -- seemed like
a couple weeks. Then they had a warrant from L.A. County.

homicide warrant.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: Okay.

INMATE GROGAN: It has to be bouncing back and forth. Sometimes it's not clear as to dates.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: Then weren't you involved in something where you attempted to --

INMATE GROGAN: Dissuade -- persuade --

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: -- dissuade % witness.

When did that occur?

INMATE GROGAN: That occurred when I was one on bail.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: When you were where?

INMATE GROGAN: I was out on bail on the grand theft warrant in '69.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: It must have been pretty late in '69 or early '70.

INMATE GROGAN: Early 70.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: About this incident helping mebody to go to Hawaii to avoid testifying?

IN THE GROCKES! What happened in that incident, while named Barbara, but was scheduled to testify in She came to the ranch where I

s dien you were

through hear any from the girls that

the through hear any from the girls that

what to bestify, that she wanted to, you know,

the part hide till the trial was over. So, I guess

a suggested that they go to Hawaii. They bought

kess:

Expline MEMBER ROOS: On a stolen credit card

INTATE GROGAN: I don't know how they got it.

the riend bought it on a credit card, his personal

I think. I'm not sure.

And the girl went with her. When she went to

the rl gave her some hallucinogenic drugs and

left her and flew back to the States. And

and she went to, I think, YMCA or something

le authorities and then went back to the

that she thought everyone was trying

that she thought everyone was trying

that the ranch that

it with at the ranch that

4 conspiracy?

A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH

and asmething to that?

MATE GROGAN: We were planning to take the case trial because the evidence was really shaky on it. There has no evidence, as far as my participation was concerned. but due to the fact I was already in for murder trial, I thought it best interest to go in with Ruth Moorehouse. Nich Moorehouse, she was pregnant at the time.

So we all agreed those were charged with conspiracy leadened and gentendere to a misdemeanur, if they would be the own out on her own recognizance to have her child in the streets. Because we didn't want her to have her child in the the county jail and have it taken from her at the early part of delivery. So the District Attorney agreed that would be acceptable to him, and that's how the disposition was handled.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: And then later on you were convicted of the murder?

GOGAN: Right.

PRESING NEMBER ROOS: Right. I'd like to thank

Out the far providing that information. I know it's

Lives in the pages I sentioned, pages 32 to

for the transcript.

18

17

14

15

Department - this information over place we see file, but I'm place of the more recent and more coherent its, exacts statements of the facts and priors.

Its issets incorporate pages 32 to 41 of the 1979 branscript.

Cray with you, Counsel?

MR. ROBINSON: That's fine.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: District Attorney?

MR. DELANEY: No objection.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: Okay, fine. Any other comments that you would like to make with reference to the priors I think they we been adequately discussed. I mean we don't need to go through them again, but if there's any highlight you want to make.

MR. ROBINSON: I would simply want to comment, there one, none of the crimes involved violence. The thank of child pleatation, apparently, the story is quite balle size vi and doesn't involve any -- really is atlon.

DER ROOS: No overt --

There was no exposure and that

Light there of crimes: you know,

16

12

12.

14

So you want you want

West to the MEMBER Rosey Obviously the life crime

Date tot Attorney, is there anything you want

\*\* PEGANEY: I have no comment.

PARSIDING MEMBER RODS: Mr. Epperly?

MEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: No comment.

BOARD NEMBER TONG: I don't have any comment.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: Okay. At this point, then, we will move to the post-conviction or the in-prison

factors: I'll turn the hearing over to Mr. Epperly.

14

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: First of all,

I want to make sure everyone has had a chance to look at

the Board report submitted by Mr. Jones, Correctional

Counselor II. I'd like to use that as my main reference

point uring this next period. So, I'm going to be

to be to be to be it is dated August the 12th, 1981

subsequent hearing.

14 to incorporate by reference 17th, 1979, starting with 27, line 9. That portion an in depth of the second of t

comments if that meets with your approval to

NA. ROBINSON: It does.

HARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: District Attorney

MR. DELANEY: No objection.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: No objection,

okay.

There is a summation of these psychiatric reports that were also presented or prepared by Mr. R. C. Schaufel, 5-c-h-a-u-f-e-l, dated September 15th, 1980. That was prepared for the Board's perusal at the 1980 hearing, which is interneath -- it's the second report underneath Section Psychiatric Reports." Titled, "Summary of Psychiatric and Fuschological Evaluations for Permanent Addenda of the psychiatric some detail as to the psychiatric functions that I indicated that were

continuough the Board report,

of any point you went to

esing the subject in its entirety at that

ROBERSON: That's all right with me. That's usually not the way it's done in these hearings. I prefer it this way, actually.

10

**11**...

12

IÌ.

14

ìŸ :

We've reviewed your transcripts and the files in depth and,
believe me, this is a considerable amount of information
that has been accumulated over the years and duplicated.
And we're aware of your having been received by the
department and having been at DVI, Deuel Vocational
Institution, and having been seen by the Board on several
prior dates Mr. Roos has already indicated for the record.
Those commencing, I believe, in '77, '78 and going
through last year. And that at prior sessions, the Board
near recommended your transfer to an institution which

re transferred from DVI to CMF and underwent

auton and subsequently you were involved

You have been here now

4 18 18

minish time they some nime months transferred here and underwent the Category rankliketiski ike wat right ENGATE GEOGAN: That's correct. HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: All right. Okay, then we besically have been at three locations, CIM, DVI and Cit; is that correct? INMATE GROGAN: That's correct. HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: As already Ħ indicated, you were received by the department in December 12 of 1971? Okay. So the Board report indicates that you 14 had completed six units, semester units, Delta Aerodynamics, 13 No. 24, and tools and measurements and six hour college courses in conjunction with the vocational airframe mechanica. Also, elsewhere in your file indicates you are qualified aircraft welder; is that correct? INMATE GROGAN: That's correct. HERESENTATIVE EPPERLY: And you completed That's correct. TATION SPPERLY: I believe the haus that were amassed

thus about right?

and the ly right.

charge the classes that religible to airframe or air selection with the welding besides what's

in a file I took two semesters of ground school for a private pilet license.

of -

14.

WEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: Pre-air kind

INMATE GROGAN: Well, it's just a ground school for FAA rules. And I took some FAA test, but I missed.

Fell short of accepted quarter of entrance needed to get in.

didn't Hear that.

INMARE GROGAN: I took an FAA test, ground school test, and I die t pass it.

HE REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: You said

Lang short of something.

CARAN: I foll short of acceptable range

PRERLY Vetame ask you

know tha

.9

11

13

14

15

16

17

-18

20

Zł

22

23

aircraft was at dee point on display in Sacramento, a small red and white - it would fit in this room quite easily or half of this room?

Is that utilized by the students in that class at DVI?

INMATE GROGAN: No. Instructor Wayne Kerr and his students assembled that inside an airport, and I think it belongs -- I think put it in his name. I don't know.

I'm not -- don't quote me on that. He might be down here to get me.

What it is, it's a pit special. It's an aerobatic plane.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: Right. It's a real small airplane. I was just curious, as long as you're on that.

What level of academic education have you completed? It doesn't indicate that you have taken any additional college classes except those that you were involved in at DVI. Have you taken any here?

.INMATE GROGAN: No.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: Okay. And what is your highest level, GED in high school with postgraduate?

INMATE GROGAN: Let me see. I got a GED, and I think the grade level placement was 13.4 average.

24

Ϋ́O

11

12

13

14

15.

16

ኒ7

18

19

20

22

the Tiret came to Trace, military Center.

discussed the course you studied. And because of the nature of the course you studied.

You have had various work assignments while you've been incarcerated. That's involved everything from working in the library, and this is above any culinary assignment that's always immediate and temporary upon new arrivals. You worked in the hobby room, hospital tool room orderly and you were mostly your work record indicates involved in the hobby program while here at CMF. I want to get into that a little bit more, but I wanted to hold off on that because there has been some area that I want to talk about on that.

What is your current work assignment?

INMATE GROGAN: Current work assignment is graphics artist and sign painter and silk screener in central arts.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE TOPERLY: So that hasn't changed from the Board report information on that, and the

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

eport reflects that your work reports are above average and exceptional. It also indicates that you previously have been involved in CPR and you have updated that. aware that CPR, your certification only lasts for one year, and you have to go through it again.

INMATE GROGAN: Expires this year, this year, November.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: Okay. Under the self-help program, participation, and I think it also relates to the work, you were given a grant and this was done for you to do certain teaching. Could you explain to the panel how this came about and why you?

INMATE GROGAN: Well, the grant came about that I found that they were giving grants to inmates. came here and involved in the hobby program, because couple of the inmates had grants previous years for art work, teaching art classes, water color and writing workshop, and there was also one that was done in music. So, being the musician I was and liking to show people, I thought I'd apply for a grant. I don't know, have you seen a copy of the grant?

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: It's in your file.

> There's a new grant? MR. ROBINSON: INMATE GROGAN: No. Since then they've slacked

23

24

off, as parks institutional grants. Anyway, I applied for the to teach guitar, basic guitar and its mail instruction, history, cultural significance for the Comming arts Council. And I prepared my brief and I sent to him. And when it came back they had granted me 4,400 grant for a six-month period.

involved to three nights a week; is that correct?

TE GROGAN: That's correct. That expired

in April, I kept it up.

indicates that after you were plonger paid, you continued to do it. Are you continuing at the present time?

INMATE GROGAN: Because of the art's show, it's next month, I've canceled all classes for this month.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: I see. But up until last month, you were still involved in it. And how long ago did the grant expire?

INMATE GROGAN: April of 81.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: April, okay.

What's your involvement at the present time in project Last Chance -- excuse me?

INMATE GROGAN: I'm involved as a contributing member. We're just getting back on our feet. For a while chairman had paroled and he took a lot of decuments with

23

5

7

11

12

13

14

. 15

16

17

15

19

20

21

24

him that made it hard.

MATE dandar: Deciments and papers relating to the project and procedures for getting clearance for juveniles to come into the institution and go through our project. And at this point now we're getting the project back on its feet. We're going to have a meeting this Saturday with our new sponsor. And we're developing relationships with the sheriff at Vacaville to set up appointments for first offender juveniles or juveniles that have been offending regularly, but not really seriously, but enough they'll go to an institution, and we're — we're negotiating with them right now as far as who's going to be brought in and under what conditions.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: I see. And a layperson can identify this with Scared Straight; that have developed elsewhere?

INMATE GROGAN: It's similar in nature, but it's less dramatic than all that bulldogging the kids or anything like that. As a matter of fact, demonstrating to them prison life by way of, you know, just take them, tour through the hole, dress them in greens, blues and fingerprint them, take them down to basement and each one is involved with a group explains his certain involvement in the crime area that he's involved with, his experience

TEAS 

-12

ŀ

:10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

with the applican life, how its affected him, how it will affect the and their families, and ways to alternatives to go wather than being involved with the law. And each person is unique because each person has his own experience inder different circumstances. And we show them pictures of some inmates that have been killed here and let them know that when you do come here, there is a chance you could be taken out, be killed.

So it's not that we're playing soft reality. We give it to them, but without all the dramatic. HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: And no

intimidation;

INMATE GROGAN: They sit in the room down in the basement and each one, each member gives his experience in his format and there's a monitor that monitors each one so it doesn't become redundant and we move right along. take the sessions and we edit them for reflection so when we go back over them we can tell them -- we can, like, more or less edit ourselves in presentation to be more effective later on. The success rate we have enjoyed has been very high, I guess, 90's.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: 90's, and at this point would you be dealing just with Solano County? INMATE GROGAN:

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: We've read and

22

23

24

referred to the various psychiatric reports. One of the more reductiones that is in here I would like to, at this time, refer to. There's one dated August 12, 1981. That is signed by Arthur L. Mattecks, M-a-t-t-o-c-k-s, Ph. L., Senior Psychologist and concurred by the Psychiatric Council and also endorsed by David W. Rodgin, R-o-d-g-i-n, M.D., Senior Psychiatrist, which indicates this is the eighth evaluation that has been prepared by clinical staff for the Board and that during the past year there have been weekly group therapy sessions and has that been on a case to one with Dr. Mattocks?

.INMATE GROGAN: That's been on a group basis.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: Group basis.

INMATE GROGAN: 12 members.

MEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: You had a one to one with Mackenberg at DVI and one to one with Witner at DVI; is that correct?

INMATÉ GROGAN: Well, Witner was more real cursory visit, maybe 15, 20 minutes.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: I see.

INMATE GROGAN: It wasn't in depth at all.

MR. ROBINSON: Excuse me. When you said ... Dr. Witner, was that, to your knowledge, in reparation

for a written report or was that a regular ming?

INMATE GROGAN: This was an annual. You go to

20

10

11

12

13

14.

15

16

17

18

19

Zî

22

24

the psychier ist for Is minutes and he writes an evaluation for they

and I might add, at that time when I did go before him, I think there's some atterrepencies in his report, as Far as my mental condition. I was, at that point, I was still hiding my involvement in the crime. And I think that my screening those areas and keeping that back might have added to his prognosis as a schizophrenic.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: I think this has been brought out in subsequent psychiatric evaluations. That alludes to what you just said.

INMATE GROGAN: Might have been.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: Indicating that at that point, because of your not dealing with it and helping to find the body, that you were preventing them from really getting to understand you and at the same time were sitting on top of what you had inside and were not cooperative. They had labeled you as schizophrenic undifferentiated and all the legal psychiatric terms they had used in multiple reports.

The report goes on to indicate that the diagnostic impression, at this point there is no diagnosable problem in evidence at this time and that they recommend a continuation of your present program if other priorities were not pressing.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

- 14

that indicates the former diagnosis of immature personality is sufficiently improved as to no longer be considered of diagnostic significance. And the Psychiatric Council comments:

"His overall immaturity at the time of the offense is considered to have been of large significance. During observation in this institution, inmate has improved greatly and demonstrates appropriate and mature thinking. He is considered likely to held present gains."

Dr. Mattocks had written on -- let's see -4-26-81 on a CDC 128C chrono that you had been on his
therapy case load for some 20 months at that time and now
we could add another five or six months to that. And
indicates that you initially had been seen for several
months in individual therapy prior to the time that you
were involved in the group therapy, and that you were
utilizing the program acceptably and that at that time they
recommended, or he recommended that you continue in the
Category E.

I don't see anything that is contrary to that.

At the present time I'd like to ask you at what rate are you or how eften are you meeting with clinical staff at this point?

THE GROGANA I'm meeting every week for two

INMATE GROGAN: Right. We have a group session, group therapy, talking about each other's problems. It's good Because we get a feedback of many more minds, more experience. So it's a good sounding board.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: When you say, "many more minds or experience," are you referring to the other inmates?

INMATE GROGAN: Right.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: How many staff members are there?

INMATE GROGAN: Sometimes he prings in a resident psychiatrist who is working for certification. The sessions sometimes are vided taped too and they play those back so we get the knowledge to see how we really looked to ourselves; which is of great help. I like it. It's a good program.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: Pardon?

INMATE GROGAN: It's a good program.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: Because of this area and because the many prior reports from '72 on, I'd like to break the pattern and ask you, Mr. Grogan, and Counselor, if you have anything you'd like to bring out

20

7

. 6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

\_\_

21

22 23

47

J7

regarding any of the psychiatric or psychological reports
before wells; on. Give you a chance to speak to that right.

INMATE GROGAN: I did to add, if I may, when we talk about Dr. Wither, I did go on one to one sessions with Macomber and Mackenberg over, you know, prolonged period. And I don't think this was mentioned in our discussion.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: I might have mixed Witner and Macomber. I do recall you did participate with Dr. Macomber.

MR. ROBINSON: My only comments would be sort of in a summary form.

The psychiatric reports that there are of Steve before the period where he opened up to his offense. I think there's two things working against him at that time, which I think led to the diagnoses he got. One of them is a tremendous state of confusion within him due to the fact of not being able to deal with this offense, not being able to work that out through himself. So that was one of the things that was observed.

I think the other element that Steve was trying to bring up was simply the fact of not being candid to others. You're sifting here having an interview with a psychiatrist. The main thing that's obviously on that

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

28

24

psychiatrist's mind is the committing offense: that didn't want to admit to them that you did it, So what Steve tended to do, I think, is range into these philosophical sorts of comments when asked questions. The question is asked about you, you give a philosophical answer.

This is not to minimize the fact that Steve had some very serious problems he had to work out. I think he's made tremendous gains since then. The key being, you know, being able to admit to himself and to the of the world his responsibility for the act that he did and taking some responsibility towards helping finding the body and then really trying to work on, you know, realizing the enormity of what he had done, byinging himself back into the world with everybody else.

It was difficult for Steve, at first, to -- you could see some of the initial reports in group therapy that he was very reticent to join in, shy about the whole 🔨 I think that's improved dramatically over the last two years, especially in the last year there's no problems diagposed at all by Dr. Mattocks.

I think this is a very important taking. Steve, after his experience, has one big group experience of his life being in the Manson family. He's very shy of groups and he's now learning that being involved in a group,

17.

ÌŚ

opening himself up doesn't the type of consequents that it did at the think this has been a major experience of Speve to the last year.

I think the progress in that regard to very, very good.

presiding member roos s's india we're taking an official recess. It's the minutes our.

(A recess was taken.)

presiding Member Roos kay. Two minutes after four o'clock. We're back in the hearing. All parties previously mentioned as being in the hearing room have again returned. Of course, I'll again turn it over to Mr. Epperly who has been conducting the post-conviction phase of the hearing:

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLATION Okay. I want to mention briefly the disciplinary received some disciplinaries. They have been discussed effore, and I do not feel it's necessary to go into an arreat depth, noting that none of those the considered frious, physical, violent or aggressive. The last one you leived, I believe, was June 1st of 9, was as a result of your not responding to a ducat; is, that correct?

INMATE GROGAN: Yes. I was on a visit.
HEARTIG REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: Okay. It

indicates and were in the visiting room and as such.

Althog the same line, there was a laudatory chrono that was submitted since your last Board report -- excuse mere's been a couple of them submitted since your test Board report. One by F. D. Powell, Associate Superintendent, Central Services, October 16th of '80. It indicates about your involvement in the prison arts project, musicians and performing arts association and indicates, quote:

"Not only has he performed skillfully and enthusiastically, but he has played an important role in the coordination and management necessary for the continuation and success of these projects. Steve relates well to staff and peers alike. His job assignment in Central Arts has been His talents and creativity is faultless. apparent in his completed task which enhances the reputation of our Central Arts unit."

End of quote.

One other laudatory chrono was submitted October the 2nd, 1980, by K. C. -- is it K. C. Meritian, M-e-r-i-t-i-a-n?

> That's correct. INMATE GROGAN:

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: Supervisor of Central Arts and he indicates that he has supervised you for some 15 months; that you have been involved in various."

20

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

21

23

24

11

.12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Ź

24

25

activity, including evening activities a assignment and that you had been the principal sign painter for all the banquets and evening functions; that you had related well and so on; and that you had actually received an \$18 per month bonus as a result of this.

> INMATE GROGAN: That's correct:

MR. ROBINSON: Was it a bonus?

INMATE GROGAN:

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: It states bonus here, and I wanted to clarify.

MR. ROBINSON: I have a chrono dated yesterday, which Steve handed me this morning, and I wanted to submit as an exhibit. This is from Lieutenant E. V. Rose of the visiting room, and if I could read it into the record? HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: Please do. MR. ROBINSON: It says:

"During the past several months, the CMF visiting room has experienced an outstanding amount of praise from visitors and the local press. praise is directed toward the new child care center. I wish to extend my appreciation for Grogan's outstanding contribution of time and art talent which has helped beautify and make a total success of the child care center. 'In sincerely hope you will continue the good work

and real a helpful in this area.

visiting room and it's dated 19th of October, 1981.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: Is it R-o-s-e?

MR. ROBINSON: R-o-s-e, right. I'll give you

this original for the record.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: Okay, thank you.

Okay. This brings us to the area in which you have indicated you'd like to discuss somewhat -- we are aware of the entries and the copies of awards that Steve has received during the art shows and rather than reiterate what is in here, we'll allow you to indicate in your own words, as well as indicate for the record that during the recess there were six -- water colors, are they?

INMATE GROGAN: Correct.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: Water colors that were, presented or put up around the room and they're all bouble matted and all appear to be originals. I'll let you take it from their, Coupselor, Mr. Grogan.

from various individuals to be put into the record here.
The first is from Mr. Jerry Meek, the Prison Arts
Facilitator at CMF. It's dated October 17th, 1981. It's rather long. I won't read it into the record, but'l would like to submit it for consideration.

116) 446-2757

1#

And I would just simply like to ask Steve to talk

ittie state of the work that he's doing and some of
the prospects that he sees for the future.

INMATE GROGAN: What you see has just been a growing process in my art since I started around five, six years ago in Tracy doing water colors. And with the money I make from these water colors, I send home to my wife and I buy materials to do more water colors. Which reminds me, I think in each of your briefs I gave you there's a flier that shows this next month.

I think it would be kind of belabor the point to talk technique. It's just, you know, there it is. It's in front of you.

was going to ask, in relationship of those presented to us, which is the first one you did of those six and which is the most recent one?

INMATE GROGAN: The first one I did of those six was the mallard.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: The one that's got the light green double mat. And the most recent one?

INMATE GROGAN: Is the snowscape.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: Do you do your own matting?

INMATE GROGAN: Yeah. We have to buy our own.

materials, whee, paints, bushes, paner, ast, double gray

from here, is that a double or straight mat?

INMATE GROGAN: Straight cut.

Been doing, you know, fairly well. I sent a lot of money to my wife, but it helps her as far as gas money. And she can buy clathes for my son. And it's better than doing nothing. I enjoy it. Keeps me out of the halls and corridors.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: Keeps you off the streets?

INMATE GOGAN: don't know, man. Something going on around here?

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: Is there anything else that we haven't covered sufficiently, Counsel, you'd like to regarding post-conviction areas or information regarding since he's been received by the department and incarderated?

INMATE GROGAN: Just the facts that I mentioned in y brief. There's some different achievements I have done. There's one area that hasn't been really -- I haven't talked to or didn't have time to address in my brief, was that of music. I've been really active in music, playing in various groups, recording a sound track. I'm in the process now of recording an original sound track of country

1017-04- for a

· 7

2Ò

to the mainline, as well mix and record sound for groups that come off the streets and I order all the equipment for all the music program here. I've ordered the last three years since I've been here. The equipment's been about \$20,000 worth. And what I've done is upgrade my music abilities so that I can use this as an alternative for a supplemental income on the streets when I do eventually release, as opposed to my stable job as a sign painter.

The same with my art. I can -- I look at it more as supplemental income because of the -- ours is hit, sometimes you miss. Ours is not a stable means of income as far ad I could see at this point.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: Let me ask you one question. You indicated you send some of the profits to your wife and this is somewhat related to that. How long ago did you meet your wife and how?

INMATE GROGAN: I think it's been seven years this month.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: What were the Circumstances of your meeting her?

\* INMATE GROGAN: She wrote me a letter. She had heard from me from some friends that had worked in the same

116) 446-2757

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

15

19

20.

21

22

eventually of correctty. I guess she was still a minor

BOARD MEMBER TONG: She was still what?

ENMATE GROGAN: She was 17. We wrote,

corresponded for a while. And then when she burned 18,

she came up to visit. And we visited, as I explained

before, for about a year and a half, and we decided to get

married.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: How long have you known her? A year and a half before you got married?

INMATE GROGAN: Uh-huh.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: And how long ago were you married?

INMATE GROGAN: Six years ago.

MEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: Six years.

INMATE GROGAN: December 1st.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: At this time let me ask panel members if they have any questions or comments regarding post-conviction.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: I don't have any.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: Mr. Tong?

BOARD MEMBER TONG: Just a couple.

Mr. Gragan, you've been involved in group and individual therapy. What have you gained from it?

21

20.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

28 24

insight to small from a blased and clear standpoint about the use of psychotherapy and use of input of others in the group. It's given me -- it's hand to explain the many avenues that's been available to me through the group process, as far as looking at myself and evaluating myself, my responses, my mentation as viewed through the use of video equipment. It's helped mentature a lot. You know, I could talk all day on it.

Is there any specific?

11:

BOARD MEMBER TONG: Well, I guess it's just kind of free association, hypothetical situation. Let's say you're on the outside and your wife wants a divorce. How do you begin to cope with that from what you've learned?

INMATE GROGAN: Well, it's not a new happening to me. We have had this relationship without any trials, due to prison environment. And it has, you know, I've dealt with the problem in my mind. I handled it pretty successfully. I think if she wanted a divorce, I would try my best to reconcile, to change anything lacking in myself, seek marriage counseling, seek to rectify through a third party that's unbiased and not involved, if there was a so-called conflict.

And I'd try everything I could to keep the marriage together. And if it didn't stay together, you

HART.	16	YOU THE	Dut 1 co	side (1986 #1th Son Adem come	that hurt
			cold: Your	son Adem come	s and
A41t	7			a for	

Oh-Auh. Wanb to see a picture MATE GROGAN:

BOARS MEMBER TONG: Sure. He's about five and a half now?

INMATE GROGAN: Yeah. There's a picture of her at my folks and here's one by himself. ........

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: Steve, why.don't you just hand them to Mr. Tong?

INMATE GROGAN: And here's another picture of , him and some of my wife.

BOARD MEMBER TONG: Does your son ask you about why you're here and why you can't come home?

INMATE GROGAN: Yeah. It breaks my heart, man.

BOARD MEMBER TONG: How do you explain that?

INMATE GROGAN: Well, very gently and my wife has been really good with him. And she explained to him that I hurt somebody and because I hurt them, that I have to stay here for a while. And he understands it, but it doesn't stop him from always wanting me to come home and take him fishing. And it's a heartbreak to see, though, when he does that, you know.

BGERD MEMBER TONG: When you were married

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

ZO

21

22

23

ŧΟ

11

12

13-

14

16

17

19

20

27

23

initially, your wife was be did her parties object?

in the files from her mother in beat East. My father and mother are separated, and I talked to both her mother and father over the partod before we got married, and they had really no objection.

They were concerned because of my past record and who I was involved with and the fact that I'll be in prison for quite a while, number of years. They all considered that, but she was determined to stick with pe, so naturally they gave her their blessings. I can't conceive of any parent really wanting their daughter to be married to a convict, I mean, really, if they want their daughter to have a good future.

BOARD MEMBER TONG: That's not the reason'I asked.

I asked from the standpoint of how they dealt with their feelings.

INMATE GROGAN: We talked about it. I visited with my father-in-law. He came and visited me with her brother. We saw each other quite a few times, talked about it.

BOARD MEMBER TONG: I believe this is the part of your MEPE. It was from the report by Dr. Auerback in last year, 1980. In it there's some -- there are opening sentences and you fill in the blanks, so to speak. I wanted

. 23 24

to ask you to elaborate on two, because they're both companable because they're asking questions in a similar area and the response is similar.

No. 13, it had to do with my greatest fear is to be untrue to myself, and No. 39, my greatest worry is self-betrayal.

What are you referring to?

thinking; at the time, about the fact of how much I've lied. The charade, farce I've portrayed. I've deviated from telling-the truth to people and being real to myself and to me that cost me — cost me my life, you know. And because of that, I think it's very important to a be true to myself. It's kind of a general statement. I think doesn't really nail down any one thing.

BOARD MEMBER TONG: I stand what you're saying

I don't have any questions.

INMATE GROGATE You can't be true to yourself, who can you be true?

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: Mr. Delaney,

ave any comments you wish to make?

MR: DELANEY: I have no comments.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: All right. At this time then, I'm going to turn it back to the Chair.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: Thank you, Mr. Epperly.

25

7

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

16

19

20

**32** 

23

I'll ture the maning greet to Mr. Pers to diames, the parole plans.

BOARD HELERT TORES DRAWS Mr. Grogen I we've gone over bits and pieces and I'll try to bull them together, because, you know, in part they've been towned on in other questions and other areas.

You've been married to your wife, Kathy for approximately six years now.

LNMATE GROGAN: That's correct.

BOARD MEMBER TONG: And you have a son Adam, and in terms of job skills, you do have training and centification as an aircraft welder and also accomplished as a painter and musician, although these would be a sideline. They wouldn't be your primary source. You have offers of employment and, if you could elaborate on that, from your father and brother.

INMATE GROGAN: And Jack Earl from Modesto, E-a-r-1.

The employment with my dad is at his shop and it would be as a foreman. And I had one from my brother, who is a house painter. "He works on contracts, and he's offered to employ me to help with him. But I think the most viable and practical one is this one with Jack Earl, who lives in the same community as my wife. And he's a sign painter, and he's offered me a job in his own business

10

Tì

12

13

15

16

17

ì8

19

20

21

22

23

24

**2Š** 

as a sign matricer and grapher artist.

several idemonstration workshops up here. There was a grant for sign painting shop and he came in, did several workshops. I visited him in the visiting room off and on and we discussed some plans.

And I like where I used to live in the Valley.

I have no regrets going back there, except I kind of -
I feel hesitant at my return to that area would cause my
parents more embarrassment and I've inflicted enough pain
on them as it is. And I think if I'm in another community,
I could start off on the foot much better.

BOARD MEMBER TONG: Earl mentions in his letter he's a pastor. Is he a pastor part time?

INMATE GROGAN: Full time.

BOARD MEMBER TONG: So he's a full time pastor and he has a business?

that he has office hours. He's like an elder of a community church. I guess, same as pastor. He has house meetings and group encounters with his church. They have regular schedule for when they have their meetings and going to church and goings on, but it's all around his work schedule and within it if need be. It's his own business so he can more or less didtate his own hours.

19

20

23

10

11

12

13

GOARD MEMBER TONG: He has just one shop?

GROWN: Uh-huh.

BOARD MEMBER TONG: And the chiech, is that the

same church that Diane Krezman goes to?

INMATE GROGAN: Right,

BOARD MEMBER TONG: So that your wife is also

a member of that church?

INMATE GROGAN: That's correct.

BOARD MEMBER TONG: What denomination is that?

INMATE GROGAN: It's not really denomination.

It's not Protestant or Catholic or -- just believe in the doctrine you can't get on without Jesus Christ, the testaments and teachings.

BOARD MEMBER TONG: How far would you be from where your parents lived, if you were to reside where your wife resides?

MR. ROBINSON: 400.

INMATE GROGAN: 400 some miles.

BOARD MEMBER TONG: I know it's difficult in your position to talk about something don't have a date but, agad literated, we touched on different areas by some of the things you would be ay things that I left out that you care or eleborate on for the record?

but parels plant bromat brief I gave you. Basically, if
I was to be released, whenever that would be, I would secure
a job with Jack Earl as a sign painting apprentice and be
graphic artist. And in my spare time, I'd be doing art
work and possibly be playing music.

I've come to question my music scene because the music scene is kind of shaky, as far as the people that gather. I'd be more or less prone to play music for the church more or less, but I also plan to work for a stable group therapy group so I can be continually reality orientated until I make my final transition into society.

×

1#

ظ

It's going to be a while to get over this.

experience here and reintegrate back into society. So I

think a group like that would lend me that support and

feedback and keep me -- have a good, you know, hand on

reality.

about string out an encounter group or juvenile prevention crime program in the last Chance project here; that I had something to offer juveniles in the way of my experience and hopefully dissuade from leading a life of crime.

So, basically I'd be working with Jack, maybe painting on the weekends and going to church and these

17.

12

13

14

15,

16

17

15

19

groups that Amentioned

MANUAL CONT. ORBY

INDITE GROCKET That would take post of my time

up.

BOARD MEMBER TONG: You mentioned your in-law's wrote. However, I was confused as to some of the relationship of some of the people to you. You clarified the relationship of Jack Earl to yourself. Bill Easley?

INMATE GROGAN: He's a friend from that same

community.

BOARD MEMBER TONG: And Jim and Janet Muncy?

INMATE GROGAN: They're a family out of the same community.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: Family what?

INMATE GROGAN: Family out of the same community.

BOARD MEMBER TONG: All right. Let me ask other
panel members if they have questions in this area.

Mr. Roos?

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: No, sir.

21 22 HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: Yes. What do you feel the greatest problem is going to be and what do you fear the most after your release?

24

28

INMATE GROGAN: Well, I don't know if it's any one thing. The thing I'm kind of having a little bit of

7-

10

ы

12

13

14

15

16

17.

18

19

22

23

24

25

for the second of the second of anxiety that I think the second of place for a while. The second of anxiety that I think there, you know. I think excut that, how that might affect the but I can overcome these.

And just the fact that I kind of worry about what the prices of things are. That they're just really hard to make the money and that, you know, concerns me and it makes me try harder in every area that I'm comparable in to upgrade what I can do to be ready for it.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: Do you anticipate any action or reaction in the future from any of the former or current followers of Manson?

INMATE GROGAN: I don't anticipate it. I have mentioned before that I've considered measures in preventing their associating me by changing my name possibly, if it's still within the bounds of the court, and kind of going to onanymity (sic).

In a Chocan: There you go.

HEALTH REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: I understand

BOARD SEMBER TONG: Okay. Mr. Delaney, do you have any questions in this area?

12

ī\$

14

15

16

17

15

19

MR. MOBINSON: Well, Place to supplementing his income and providing the environment that he'll be living in other sorts of ways. I think the plans are very realistic community he can go to, a family waiting for him there, a job, obviously some means of supplementing his income and providing the environment that he'll be living in in other sorts of ways. I think the plans are very realistic considering, of course, he doesn't have a date. He has no certain knowledge of when these such plans will take place.

I think it's a rather positive sign that he really does care enough about youth at this time to want to commit himself to continue to do that sort of work on the outside as well.

That's all I have to say in this matter.

BOARD MEMBER TONG: Mr. Roos?

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS:, Yes. Steve, you've been here about what, isn't it 10 years? Didn't you come in er of '71 and this is '81, so that

would be --

INMATE GROGAN: Right. I was counting county

jail --

PRESEDING MEMBER ROOS: County jail time?

22 .

23

24

-11.

12

13.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

REMATE GROGAN: Right.

summers in the psychiatric evaluations and there's some apparent that on the part of the various psychiatric or psychological personnel that have reviewed your case, although that fear does appear to be diminishing over the years, but some fear that you may again link up with some so-called powerful figure, quote, unquote. I hate to categorize the person that you were formerly associated with as a powerful figure, but you might get hooked up with somebody who's charismatic. There's a possibility that you could decompensate. In other words, that you could slip back into a pattern of distorted thinking, distorted values.

What do you feel about that likelihood?

INMATE GROGAN: I don't have any fears in that area at all.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: Why not?

INMATE GROGAN: Well, mainly because in this prison experience I've seen Manson for what he really is.

The remarkable is out of the game. I'm not 16 years old anymon, and I can see what -- I've seen the whole scope of all the charismatic people that have and I -- that's not going happen again.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: They don't seem that

11.

12

13

,14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	ymore?

AND IN GRANGE NO. not at all

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: Are you familiar with the partix that the Board generally used in setting parole dates for life prisoners?

INMATE GROGAN: I familiarized myself with several matrixes. It's changed over the years.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: This is not -- the matrix is not anything that's hard and fast, but it's a guideline.

Are you familiar with it?

INMATE GROGAN: Uh-huh.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: Do you know about what the average time that a person or a projected time, a possibility that a person might have to serve for the crime that you committed?

INMATE GROGAN: I'm pretty aware, yes.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: What would you guess that would be?

INMATE GROGAN: The bracket that I looked at the last time I looked at the matrix system, was 13, 15 and 17. And then it changed to 15, 17 and 19. So, a person can do anywhere between 13 and 20 years, I imagine.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: Well, there's two that looked like they could fit. I don't know. There's 13,

15, 17; 14, 16 and 18, so you're right. Somewhere between

1Õ

if en 18 man, or wise we less. I mean, it just a quidele le les les say pick a miderange. Just say 15 years,
les or 18 years or more, how do you feel about that
in terms of the act that you did?

INMATE GROGAN: There's no way I could pay for what I did.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: Do you feel that that's a reasonable amount of time for what you did?

INMATE GROGAN: I don't think I'm in that position to know what's reasonable. It's something that can't be balanced by years.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: I'm not trying to give you a signal as to what the Board's going to do, because I frankly don't know. I'm just asking, you know, if you thought about that.

INMATE GROGAN: If I get out while I'm still alive, I think that's reasonable.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: Let's say they don't give you a parole date. I don't know what the Board's going to do, but let's say they don't give you a parole date. How is that going to affect your attitude over the next year until you come before the Board again?

INMARE GROGAN: Well, the way I've always looked at it since I came to myself is just another year for me

.11

13

14

16

17

18

19

**Z**Ô

21

22

23

24

to try this much parder.

MARKER ROOS: You'd continue. Okav. programs basically?

FINATE PROGAN: Sure,

PRESENTE MEMBER ROOS: Let's say you do get a date and let's to again the same range, whether you get one now or you get one a year from now, assume it's the same range, what would you do if you got one? How would that affect you in terms of your programming for the next year?

IMMATE GROGAN: I think in the same way, even more so; knowing that I do have tentative plans to look foward and go to.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: But you healize whether your date is set today or date is set next year or a date is set at some time in the future, and I don't know, that you're still dealing pretty much with the same range, unless there should be some change in that?

INMATE GROGAN: Well, I mean, according to the way it's laid out, yes. I don't -- these are just quidelines, like you said.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: Okay. How does your wife -- have you discussed this with her about how long you might be in prison? Have you discussed that with her? INMATE GROGAN: Yeah.

.

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

> 23 24

22

25

26

she's felt different - she's traveled the whole range of, you know, spectrum of emotions. You know, one from, you know, hope to no hope, despair, resentment toward me because I'm in this position and this has happened to her life,

get time off. You can get some time off for good time too.

I assume you discussed that, low does she feel about that?

PRESIDENG MEMBER ROOS: You know that you can

INMATE GROGAN: The way she feels is that -- well,

dues, what they are. The Lord willing his will will be done. That's where she's presently at. I can't say she's not waiting and longing like my child just to have me home, but she has hung herself that, you know, the propensity

to where it's just have to do what you have to do, pay your

of this matter and the reality of it. She's not, you know, under any delusion of what might happen.

presiding member Roos: Okay. The reason I'm asking this, I'm not trying to torture you, I'm just trying to look at the guidelines and see how, you know, how you respond to those and the amount of time and how much that's been the thought by you and her. And so, that's the reason for my inquiring.

I don't have anything else, Mr. Tong. Thank you.

BOARD MEMBER TONG: This would conclude the parole
phase of the hearing. We'll turn the discussion to the
Chair.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: Thank you.

the final releasing statements by first the District Attorney, who is represented by Mr. Delaney, and then secondly, by counsel for the prisoner, Mr. Robinson, and then Mr. Grogan, if you, after your attorney has spoken for you, if you want to add something to what he said, then that would be your chance to do so So, you might think about it while the District Attorney is speaking. Does anybody have any questions or any other comments before we get into that area?

Okay. Seeing none, in that case, we'll ask Mr. Delaney for his comment.

MR. DELANEY: In terms of the circumstances that are considered in determining suitability or lack of suitability, I'm of course aware of them and I've looked at the criteria the panel considers. I'd like to focus the attention of the panel, first of all, on the commitment offense.

I recognize in doing that that it's been discussed at great lengths. I do so, I think, to bring home the position of the County of Los Angeles, jurisdiction where this offense occurred, for what is, I think, truly the heinousness and the callousness of the crime that occurred. I think there's no question from all of the available evidence that the Board is aware of that this was, first

of all a second and a relegated offense. It was not secure to the second of a contract of a

by addition to that, it involved a victim who was known to Mr. Grogan. He was at least a social acquaintance over a period of at least a year and a half to two years previously. He was taken advantage of in a position of vulnerability, as Mr. Grogan has conceded in his narrative description of the event.

Mr. Grogan acted in concert with others in taking advantage of Mr. Shea and his role was an active one.

Again, I'd like to relterate, as I mentioned earlier, there's aythread Here which I sense from Mr. Grogan's discussion, that to a degree he was a pawn; that he was used by others; that he was acting at the urging of others, Manson, Tex, whoever it might be. I realize he's come a long ways in terms of his initial denial of committing the offense, but I wonder if we're not seeing some remnant, some vestages of that denial when he sits here, as he does today, and tells you that he was acting out of pure fear. He was acting out of the domination or under the domination of others.

think when Mr Grogan was Crazy Clem of the Manson family back in 1009, he had far more enthusiasm: He had a far more active role in this crime than he's

to.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

73

24

willing the procede note I think it will to say that his acts of the procede shout, supposedly at the urging of others again, the grooviness of the killing and the decapitation, mutilation and so on, were acts that were calculated to induce terror. They were calculated to spread fear, and I don't think I have to review the atmosphere that existed in Los Angeles in 1969.

But I think he was an active participant in specading, at least in the little community in which he oberated, he acted to terrorize and frighten others and to exploit this vicious killing.

As you gentlemen know, there are all kinds of first degree murders. This was a first degree murder and punishments vary according to the circumstances of a given offense and someone's record.

As Mr. Grogan has acknowledged, his actions are really inexplicable in terms of his background. He did not come from a classic broken home. He was not abused, but he committed this act. And 12 of his peers, upon hearing the evidence, voted for the death penalty for Mr. Grogan. Now, legally that s.a moot point. Of course, death penalty is no longer an issue, but I think that fact is something the Board can consider.

But, say, as you gentlemen know, there are all kinds of murder, all kinds of penalties imposed for murder.

I don't need to belabor that point. The fact is, a jury of has peens decided Mr. Grogan should die. I think that is a measure of the revulsion of the heinousness of this crime. I would ask you to consider that for whatever weight you think it deserves in considering whether a decade later we're prepared to say that Mr. Grogan is suitable for papole

I think there's no question that he's made a great deal of progress. I would be the first to acknowledge he's made progress. Apparently, at least on psychiatric front, he's made progress socially and in terms of his social interactions. He has a considerable support network in terms of a wife and child, job offers he's discussed. That probably distinguishes him from many inmates.

extent the changes that we've seen, first of all, are genuine. And you gentlemen see this far more often than I do and perhaps it's presumptious for me to even mention it, but it's clear that inmates know why they're here. They know that their behavior affects the decision of this Board to one degree or another. I think the very delicate task the Board has to complete is to determine to what extent these gains in the institutional setting, first of all, are genuine, whether they are calculated to encourage a finding of suitability and, secondly, assuming they're genuine, to what extent they can be translated into the

outside worker. To what extent someone who is institution ly adjusted, Mr. Grogan concededly 🖚 a large degree has, and has said to someone we can take a chance on, take a risk that he can carry that over into society.

And for my part, on behalf of the County of Los Angeles, I simply cannot share the confidence that some of the psychologists and some of the correctional counselors appear, in their most recent evaluations, to have expressed.

I recognize yours is a very difficult, a very delicate decision, but I wonder if we can really say at this point Mr. Grogan does not represent that kind of a risk to the public, given his background, given his history and given this very helmous commitment offense, that we're going to determine that he's suitable for parole.

Im regard to his recovery or assistance in the recovery of Mr. Shea's body, I think unquestionably that was a laudable act on his part, but I have to note as well to a certain extent it was a self-serving act. It was an act that refuted the claim of mutilation and decapitation that had been made regarding the death of Mr. Shea. think, more than that, it srather cold comfort. As I say, I think it's commendable and I think it's a laudable act. But the fact that eight years later he was prepared to point out the skeletal remains of Mr. Shea represents, I think, very cold comfort at 1 recognize in saying that, reasonable

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24.

- 14

the present in this case, was sufficiently impressed by that set that he wrote a supportive letter in regard to Mr. Grogan.

But I find that however praiseworthy that action might have been. I think it's a case of a little too late in terms of his atoning, if you can call it that, for his actions.

Finally, I'd like to address the issue of creativity. I think there's no question, as you look at the water colors that are displayed here, if you look at the favorable reviews and prices that Mr. Grogan has received, that he has genuine creative talent. But I think it's fair to ask, how certain we can be. How much confidence we can have of that creativity carrying over into a successful adjustment into society.

Perhaps I'm not fair to Mr. Grogan, but I feel obliged to mention it. It occurred to me really only as we were reviewing his creative accomplishments. Perhaps you gentlemen are aware of the case of Mr. Lack Henry Abbott in the State of New York. Now, Mr. Abbott's case obviously has nothing to do with Mr. Grogan. It's entirely separate and apart, but you will recall Mr. Abbott became a protege of Norman Mayler. He had or has a book on the best seller lists, In the Belly of the Beasts, an account of prison

1

10

11

12:

13

14

45

16

17

18

19

. **2**1

22

23

24

Principle in book that was a functionic best seller, received statement in the state of New York, for a murder he is alleged, and it is an allegation at this point, to have committed very shortly after getting out of prison and very shortly after and at the same time, indeed, as the enormous success of his book. As I say, I recognize that in itself has nothing to do with Mr. Grogan, but I think it illustrates the danger of attempting to equate creative talent, which I think unquestionably Mr. Grogan has, with an ability to function in society.

And I simply say, gentlemen, there's been genuine progress. I recognize and acknowledge that, but I don't think we're at a stage where the Board should say Steven Grogan is suitable for parole.

Thank you.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS Okay. Thank you, Mr. Delaney.

Mr. Robinson?

MR. ROBINSON: Well, the one thing that was said that I agree with is John Henry Abbott has nothing to do with Steve Grogan. I'm agreeing with that entirely.

I have a couple comments just in response to briefly what was said today by the District Attorney.

25,

. 15

densities and common and trains and the sense that we will as they say, it's self-serving and help retrieve this body truly was self-serving in sense. He knew his parole date was coming up,

To make remarkable of in terms of psychiatric progress is also something the self-serving. Perhaps to give an account of the kill that places you in a certain light, not as a plant or something, would be self-serving. Just about everything we do in this world is self-serving or trys to be.

I think what Steve igan has showed us today is that self-servingness is his primary motive involved in these things. I think to his description of the act, as he described it, I don't w, it came from the heart, as far as I could see.

of this offense, be one what the death penalty was found, yes, there was a death per finding. But I think that death penalty finding was a death per finding where there was a mutilation of the continuous of this sort of thing I think Steve has given the account of this matter.

I think that his statements discussing the effense itself were not to the effect that, well, I only did it out of fear. There's more in it than that, and he realizes. that -- he realizes that there's something very evil about what he did. I think in a sense that's what the District Attorney was getting at: Yas, it isn't all just being a pawn. There is such a thing as bad and there is such a thing that it deserves punishment. I think Steve has recognized that, and I think he has been punished. I think that whatever the determination of this Board today, his punishment is not yet over.

I think if Steve were let out tomorrow, the punishment would go on for the rest of his life. I think that's abundantly clear in the way he talks about it and the way he relates to the whole thing.

I was particularly moved by the account he gave. about his son and how he relates to his son in terms of this offense. It's something his son is going to have to live with regardless of what happens for the rest of his life. It's a serious matter.

. I think that the task the Board faces today, first of all, address the issue of suitability. Suitability's determination is based on the question of whether this man. right here is going to be a threat to the public if he's released. That is the sole basis for suitability. It isn't

18

7

10

11

12

13

14

. 15

16

17.

19

21

22

23 24

because of who his crime partners were. It isn't because of what they may have done: It's what he did; what's happened to him; what kind of person he is. suitability should be based on.

In terms of psychiatric factors, there have been reports in the past by psychiatrists which would indicate violence potential, which would indicate he may relapse. I think the most intensive work that he's ever done or therapy that he's ever done has been with Dr. Mattocks, both individually and in group,

... I think Dr. Mattocks's latest report, which is a culmination of agseries of reports, he could not be more clear. Now, Dr. Mattocks is not the type of person -- he certainly could have written a longer evaluation if he really wanted to help Steve out, get him a parole. He could have written a much longer evaluation. He writes simple short evaluations. He writes from -- I believe he was asked to testify last year. He wouldn't do it. doesn't want to become involved in a Board hearing. thinks it interferes with the therapy that he's going on. I think his recommendation for this year is absolutely clean of any of the personality problems, let alone diagnoses of schizophrenia are no longer -- no longer present. is his belief, psychiatrically, and I think he's in a better position than most of us, or any of us here certainly, due

10

11:

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to the long tabulations with Steve that he will not slip back. He will not revert to any problems.

Steve has stayed out of groups, and gangs while he's been in prison. He's stayed away from drugs while he's been in prison. You are all aware that there are drugs He can get them if he wants them. There's never in prison. been any involvement in drugs. I don't think that those are things that are going to come back in his life. he has a realistic view about the world, somewhat afraid, legitimately so, about how strange it's going to be out there for him. I think he's very realistic about it. think he can deal with it in that sense.

Looking at your execumstances that are listed in the rules of the Board of Prison Terms, Steve, on his own, without me even discussing it, in the brief he wrote, talks about some of those terms. I refer you to that in making your determination.

In terms of the circumstances that tend to show unsuitability, basically the one that we're really talking about here, the others simply do not apply, social relations with him are stable now; there are no sadistic sexual offenses; he's got healthy psychological reports; no serious misconduct while in prison.

What we're really talking about is this particular offense, the seriousness of it; the brital

10

11.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

-22

23

24

of it. No one is denying that here. This was a very serious offense. It was, as the District Attorney pointed out, it was not the kind of a murder where someone reacts to a fight or a disturbance and that sort of killing where you see some kind of justification or motive.

As was pointed out previously, there was some motive for this killing. It was not without motive. It's difficult for us to look into that warped perspective of being a part of that Manson family. That's the way we've got to look at Steve to understand why he did what he did. The climate of that all encompassing family structure where he took care of everything in your life, coupled with the fear that he discussed of any act that would go outside of that, led him to do something that he never should have done, never would have done otherwise.

He was a kid who had, like a lot of kids at that time, like even myself at that time, though I was a little bit younger than Steve, had a kind of a wandering impulse, wanted to get away, wasn't willing to accept things as they traditionally were. There were lots of kids like that in the 60's., Who knows what might have happened to Steve Grogan if he hadn't been on the Spahn Ranch when the Manson family showed up. This is not a typical cult. This was not a typical guru, Charles Manson. There have been other situations in our recent history where these cults have

\*

**.**21

ZO

ted to the blad of selection but with the exception of the was inclosed. I can't think of anything of to like this Masson bituation.

Stove will regret this for the rest of his life, and there's nothing the can ever do about that. But, I think, that's the background we have to understand to understand his being involved in the commitment offense. I think that when we look at all the factors involved in that offense and balance them against the factors which, according to the Board rules, tend to show suitability, the factors tending to show suitability are much stronger. There's no prior juvenile record. Excuse me. There is a prior juvenile record, but in the sense that the rules speak of crimes that involve assaulting others, committing crimes with potential for personal harm. I don't think we have any of those other than the charge of child molestation, which has been satisfactorily explained, not involving molestation.

In terms of his social history, with the exception of the Manson period, I think it's very stable. The way he has managed the difficulties of a prison environment to create a family, to have some continuity, I think if. you look at the accounts of his family, of his relationship with his wife that are in some of the various -- particular! letters from defferent correctional officers and lieutenants

12

. 17

10

ΤÌ

12

44

. 15

16

19 20

21

22

23

24

Ť

.

10

11.

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21.

22

28

The leafer from the common of the common of

thading to show sustability, is signs of remorse. I really don't think I have to say anything about that. I think it's been shown. His feelings are realistic. The remorse is very real. He's really come to grips with this thing. And the acts that he did demonstrate that, helping to find the body, everything else since that. The fact that he's now trying to become involved in helping juvenile offenders is also another sign of remorse on his part.

Motivation for the offense, it's been discussed. From the warped point of view, I hate to call somebody warped, but the point of view he was in at that time, there was motivation. This was somebody he was afraid of. As I was speaking to Steve before the hearing we were discussing this point, he says, well, as I look at it will it doesn't seem motivation to me now, but at the time he felt it.

Lack of briminal history. There was not a history of violent crime on his part.

Under standings wish plans for the future is

25

24:

16.

21.

No. 7 under 2282. I thin the very realistic ones on his part. And institutional templion, aside from a single 115 where I think he swore at an officer, we don't have any misconduct within the institution other than this failing to respond to ducats on a number of occasions. I think these are extremely minor points, which can be looked over.

I think that just to sum up the two factors that have gone against Steve in the past, have been the nature of the offense itself, and some of the earlier psychiatric reports. I think the psychiatrics come to the point now where the psychiatric factors can be looked at as a part of his past, as a part of the struggle he had with himself through those years to come to grips with it and finally coming to grips with it. And now, through a lot of hard work, he's finally gotten to the point where the psychologist who is most familiar with him can say there is no problem.

I think in terms of the offense itself, we cannot deny its seriousness. I think that in terms of the gravity of it and how much punishment is due for it, that comes into the factor of what term is set, assuming suitability is found.

I thank all of these factors together show he is mb longer a threat to the public and should be found.

Ħ

1\$

"Bultable.

Tread last Year's document thousand, the Land Line of the discussed with Miss Samuelson and Steve the whole nature of that situation. My reading of that, of course, I'm somewhat biased, was that the factors presented at that time would have tended to show that Steve was suitable for parole. But I appreciate the caution of the Board at that time. It had not been a long period of time since positive psychiatric reports had been received. I think another year has taken place and the gains have really progressed since then.

think the comments he made to Mr. Roos's questions in terms of, what are you going to do if you get a date; what are you going to do if you get a date; what are you going to do if you don't get a date, illustrates that he is really seriously motivated towards continuing on working in the same areas he's been working in. I think that when you put all this together, that it's very clear that he's suitable for parole.

I think the panel does have a very difficult task in front of them, if it wants to find Steve Grogan suitable for parole. I think we cannot ignore the fact that there are political implications involved here. This is a Manson killing. This is not a first degree murder that nobody knows about. This was a killing that involved Charles

.21

Mangon, of the District Attorney pointed out. One of the most more ways of crime that ever has hit this state and certainly that ever hit Los Angeles County.

But the panel's job is to evaluate this individually. Is he suitable for parole? Is he a threat to society? Not should we let possibly the first Manson murderer out on parole. I think that's something that the panel has to deal with in its way.

But I believe the way the rules are written are absolutely proper in the sense that the determination for suitability is based on appraisal of what the threat to the public is and not on the public's fears or perceptions based on all kinds of incredible newspaper stories and press and the hysteria that was built up around that case. Not that the fear and the hysteria weren't very real and based on real things, but today we are only looking at Steve Grogan and not looking at Charles Manson. We are not looking at Tate LaBianca. We are not looking at any of these other killings.

Assuming that a finding of suitability is made, I would like to make a couple of brief comments in terms of where I would see this finding taking place. I would see that under the Board's rules currently that it would fit under Category II-C. That involves a prior relation with the victim which contributed to the motivation of the

•

to

Ħ

12

-13

14

15

16

19

20

- 21

22

23

24

I then a menty clean from the facts, although We may ros mave felt west the motivation was sufficient to really matter of anything. that way at the time.

I would say that the description of the killing. as Steve described it, would be a severe trauma as opposed to direct or a victim of contribution in terms of your That Bara range of 13, 15, 17 years. There's no gun. There's no offenses that are involved, you know, other offenses like another murder or another crime that's involved with this. So, we're talking about that term.

In terms of whether we look at the aggravating and mitigating circumstances, it could be said that one aggravating circumstance applies. That's 2283(b)(3), going to great lengths to hide the body. "Pretty clearly Steve has admitted that he did bury the body. For years it was kept away, but I think that ought to be considered in the light of the fact that he did eventually help to find it.

Mitigating circumstances. Steve's account of the killing would show that he was not predisposed to commit, but was induced to do it by others. That is 22893 -- 84 -- excuse me -- can't read my writing.

Now, this is open to conjecture on our part. Of course, as the District Attorney commented, there is some self-serving element to that. We don't really know

.15,

he alleged; made to whher people. We don't have any direct accounts on this matter, so we'll have to think about that as we will. Another mitigating bircumstance is minimal on no history of criminal behavior. That certainly applies. We thout saying.

And, again, assuming that there's a date given, I think Steve has, in his work in the prison, especially since after the first few years in which he was here, his post-conviction behavior has been excellent. I think he's entitled to, not only the normal amount of credit given, but also to extra credit for the last few years for the work that he's done.

Just to conclude, I would point the Board to the vest support that Steve has, not only from his friends and his family, but from a lot of people within the institutions who see criminals all the time and know the height that criminals can put out and have trusted this man as a human being and have really gone out of their ways.

As I recall, Representative Vineyard, in one of the hearings previously, he was shocked. I can't remember which lieutenant it was, but one of the lieutenants had written acreport. He was surprised. He said this guy doesn't write these reports. Apparently, there are some

people who is favorable .... This identement didn't do it. er the is Speve's record. He's I think there's really an exem human being. To me and I'm sure to members of the as well, it's incredible how someone can bake a peril incarceration like this and use it oke the tremendous amount of change that to tremendously he's brought for and in himself to grow, to really mature into a grown use man being, to come to grips with this whole offense

the Legislature has in fact abandoned a model, but I don't think any of us, rehabilitation or the people your position should ever abandon rehabilitati I think Steve has gone through a In think that if Steve Grogan gets a tremendous size. date today : really going to give him something to live It's y going to give him something to work for, not, that he h't had that already because he seems to be really was ng towards that right now.

whatever the date is going to be, he has a time in he can make real plans with the real people med with his life. I think that's very that are whink all of the factors which I mentioned important: have show do longer a threat to the public, if he's in't triak there's any real danger of him

10

H

12.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

. 23

**25**\

are the price states. Certainly, he's not only willian and the stated he wants to be implied in therapy I think that can be a condition of parole. if we's given parole, it propedly ought to be a condition of parole.

With that, I'll conclude my remarks.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS - Thank you, Mr. Robinson.

Mr. Grogan, anything you want to say? You want to add to your counsel's lengthy statement on your behalf?

INMATE GROGAN: If I could talk like that all the time, I would.

You've seen what I've presented to you today. ladies and gentlemen. That's all'I can do to be a better person, not that I'll ever make up or balance the scales on what I've doner that maybe, as I stated in my statement, maybe I can do some of the good that Jerome might have done if he was still alive.

I have addressed almost all the areas -- in fact, I think I addressed all the areas that I think the Board would consider as being found suitable for parole. I feel within myself that I have built the safeguards up to never ever regress to a state where I could be involved in a situation like that to where I kall another human being. The pain that I endured and that I will endure till I die, you know, it reminds me everyway. And I'm driven on to

10

"

12

13

14

15

16

.17

18

19

20

21

22

23

**[2** 

1

the and strive hander and work harder than most people in

So much I can say that it already been said today.

This is my life so far. I feel that I am suitable to be paroled and getting a date. I realize that given a date. I'm not going home to more or maybe in a few -- several years, but I feel I've met all the guidelines set forth in your matrix system in the way that your procedures have carried them out. And, again, it's up to you guys. You're the ultimate decision. You decide.

PRESIDING MEMBER ROCS: Okay, thank you.

The time now is ten minutes after five. We're going to declare a recess for deliberation purposes. We'll call everyone back in as soon as we've reached a decision.

I would suggest, Mr. Grogan, as you go out, you know, pick up materials that you want to keep, the photographs and the nice art work here.

We'll go off the record at this time.

(Thereupon the room was cleared and the hearing of the Board of Prison Terms recessed for deliberation.)

---000---

## REGISTETTON OF PROCESSANGS

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS: Okay. The time is now 9:32. The panel -- that's 9:32 p.m. The panel has reconvened. We have reached a decision. This is a unanimous decision of the Board, and I'm going to ask Mr. Tong to read that decision.

BOARD MEMBER TONG: Mr. Grogan, it's the unanimous decision of the panel that we find you suitable for parole. at this time for the following reasons:

- 1. The prisoner has a felatively minor prior 7. criminal history.
- 2. While the prisoner actively participated in the commitment offense, his rele and culpability was mitigated because of his age (18 at the time), the act being committed in concert with three others, and the fact that one of the other crime partners was the first to stab the victim,

Panel also notes that after his incarceration, prisoner lead authorities to the victim's body, where it was determined that the victim had not been mutilated.

- The prisoner's institutional adjustment since his reception in CDC custody on 12-29-71 has been generally positive.
  - (A) He has received only four CDC 115's over

11

12

18

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

n

12

13.

14

15

16

17

Ĭŧ

20

21

22

the past approximately in wears as a minor dministrative nature, and none in the last two years. Prisoner has completed his high school requirements and attained his don it what year did you obtain your GED? INMATE GROGAN: '76. BOARD MEMBER TONG: In 1978 and has also completed additional college class work. (C) Prisoner is certified as an aircraft welder. having completed 2,355 hours of vocational airframe mechanics in 1977 while at DVI. Prisoner has actively participated in project Last Chance, and has also volunteered his time as a music instructor. (E) Prisoner has also volunteered his skills as a painter in helping to beautify the new child care center at CMF and is to be commended for this. Prisoner's psychiatric evaluations of 1980 and '81 by Arthur Mattocks, with concurrence by Psychiatric Council, are supportive of a finding of parole suitability. Prisoner's 1980 Psychiatric Council of G. Haiberg, M.D., Senior Psychiatrist; T. Aller, Program Administrator; R. J. Braun, Correctional Counselor II; D. L. Fickens, Ph.D. Staff Psychologist; and R. L. West, Program Sergeant, agreed

with the diagnostic impression and conclusions of

2# 24 25 Arthur Mattacks, Ph.D., Sentor Psychologist, in parts

"Pree of past pseudo-philosophical rationales and chaotic logic, he now faces the enormity of the offense with real and appropriate feelings. His violence sotential is considered to be minimal, considerably improved by his own personal and more realistic appraisal of values. Continued exposure to more conventional and disciplined thinking and life styles can be expected to further strengthen these gains."

The psychiatric evaluation of 8-13-79 by Bruce Sanders, Ph.D., Clinical Psychologist, and concurred by the Psychiatric Council, signed by T. M. Doody, Staff Psychiatrist, gave the diagnostic impression of immature personality and concluded:

"The diagnosed psychopathology has been related to criminal behavior indirectly. During observation in the institution he has psychiatrically improved moderately. In a less controlled setting such as return to the community this inmate is considered likely to hold present gains.

"Violence potential outside a controlled setting in the past is considered to have been

10

.12

13

14

15

16

17

**) (** 

19

20

21

22

23

24

greater than averag self Conditions of parole should include parole outpotient clinic for writing after parole.

soner little been able to su psychiatrically Mains made and recognized by Melvin Macdamben, in his seport of 8-1-78, Ph.D., Seniorwij Psychologist at DVI, Tracy, where he indicated:

"For the last several years he has been quite confused, guilt ridden, and fearful. has shown considerable improvement at this time and there is no evidence of psychopathology which would indicate a potential for violence in the future. Grogan is not in need of psychotherapy. Violence potential appears to be below everage. Prognosis for successful adjustment in the community appears to be very good."

This evaluation was also consistent with the report of 12-5-77 by Edmund Mackenberg, Ph.D. Senior Psychologist at DVI. Tracy, who diagnosed schizoid personality, associated with dyasocial behavior and recommended:

"It would not appear that Mr. Grogan is. an imminent menace to anyone at this point in

22 21

14

15

17.

19

20

21

24

- Z1

ഗ

his life. His ability to function on parole would appear to be quite within the bounds of a good probability. His likelihood of regression a schizophrenic condition would not appear to be high at this point. His violence potential within the instantions would appear to be quit low, and is not seen as more than low to moderate the community."

5. The prisoner has supportive social relationships through his wife Kathryn and his father and mother and has three offers of employment from both family and friends in the community.

With regards to the term of confinement:

The base offense is murder, first degree, action 187 of the Penal Code, Case No. A-267861, Count No. 171.

The term is derived from use of CRB Section 2282(b), Section 3(c), wherein -- I'm sorry. Section 2(c), wherein there was a prior relationship by the victim with the prisoner and death resulted from severe trauma inflicted with seadly intensity. The time within that matrix is 13, 15 and 7.

The time chosen by the panel is outside of that range and reasons for aggravation, the selection of an aggravation term are:

1. The prisoner initiated the crime by strong the first blow to the victim.

ZÔ

	24 The	or i year	or had	OPPET VAN	ity to	<b>cease</b>	but
, Total	A Section 1		<b>V</b>	<b>*</b>			` '
árið Lriu <b>nd</b>	at tag	* (***	vietim.			عي د:	

The prescher went to tensive efforts to hide the victim's body.

The prisoner bragged about mutilating the victim's body, even though this was not true, for the purpose of instilling fear in other members of the group.

The panel assessed 226 months for the base offense and noted that this was beyond the aggravated term suggested by the matrix. There was a total of 26 months post-conviction credit given from the time of 12-29-71 to 10-20-81. So the total period of confinement is 228 months for the base term, less 26 months for post-conviction credit. Total period of confinement is 202 months.

Special conditions of parole include anti-narcotic testing and parole outpatient clinic.

Let me return it to the chair and ask -- well, before returning it to the chair, ask other panels members if they have other comments or are there any points of omission or addition that they would care to comment to.

Mr. Roos?

PRESIDING MEMBER ROOS. The panel took a long time to deliberate in your case. I'm sure you realize that if you have some negative contact or conduct in here, that your date can be taken away. You realize that?

FINESTE CHOCKEY Under The The

MERHSTDING MENDER ROOS You have a rescission hearing and then you have no date. You're back with a life sentence. Also you'll get periodic reviews for good time. Based on the total length of confinement that you have to do, you might be seen every year or two years or three years, and at that time it will be considered year by year whether or not you've earned good time.

I think you've gotten more than the standard guideline calls for because of the reasons Mr. Tong elaborated.

> I don't think I have anything else to say. Mr. Epperly?

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: I'd like to ask -- I'm not sure if it was read into the record at all, one of the reasons and that was wherein he had assist d. in the location of the body and it was determined that the body had not been mutilated.

BOARD MEMBER TONG: That was included.

HEARING REPRESENTATIVE EPPERLY: Okay. I wasn't sure if I recalled hearing that or not.

I have no other comments.

The time is now BOARD MEMBER TONG: All right. 9:45 p.m. and the hearing is concluded.

> (Thereupon the hearing before the Board of Prison Terms was adjourned at 9:45 p.m.)

2

10

,11

12

13

1,4

15

. 16

. 17

18

t9

20

21

22

23

State of California, do hereby certify;

That I am a disinterested person herein; that the foregoing Board of Prison Terms hearing was reported in shorthand by me, Julieann Hamill, and thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or: attorney for any of the parties to said hearing, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the said hearing.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand day of November, 1981.

CSR No. 5151

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23