## SUBSEQUENT PAROLE CONSIDERATION HEARING

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

BOARD OF PRISON TERMS

In the matter of the Life Term Parole Consideration Hearing of:

LESLIE VAN HOUTEN

CDC Number W-13378

ORIGINAL

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTION FOR WOMEN

FRONTERA, CALIFORNIA

JUNE 6, 2000

3:10 P.M.

PANEL PRESENT:

M. ORTEGA, Presiding Commissioner SHARON LAWIN, Commissioner ELIZABETH RICHARDSON, Deputy Commissioner

## OTHERS PRESENT:

LESLIE VAN HOUTEN, Inmate
CHRISTIE WEBB, Attorney for Inmate
STEPHEN KAY, Head Deputy District Attorney
JOHN DESANTIS, Victim's Next-Of-Kin
LOUIS SMALDINO, Victim's Next-of-Kin
ANGELA SMALDINO, Victim's Next-of-Kin
MR. BEE, Victims' Services Coordinator
FELICIA CARDONA, Reporter, Los Angeles Times
CHRISTOPHER URSO, Photographer, Los Angeles Times
DENNIS LYNCH, Camera Operator, Court TV
MARY JANE STEVENSON, Reporter, Court TV
STEVEN HERNANDEZ, Deputy Commissioner, Observer
DR. MCDANIEL, Staff Psychiatrist, Observer
SALLY DIFRANCESCO, Kern County District Attorney's Office,
Observer
ERIN STRAUSS, Observer
LIEUTENANT ROBERT SEBALD, Public Information Officer

CORRECTIONS/ TO THE DECISION HAVE BEEN MADE

✓ No Yes

See Errata Sheet

Patricia Johnson, Transcriber Capitol Electronic Reporting

## INDEX

Pac	e
roceedings	1
ase Factors 1	3
re-Commitment Factors	6
ost-Commitment Factors 4	0
arole Plans 4	5
losing Statements 5	2
ecess 8	2
ecision 8	3
djournment 8	7
ranscriber Certification 8	8

1	P	R	0	C	E	E	D	I	N	G	S
	10000			-			-			-	-

2	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: This is going
3	to be a Subsequent Parole Consideration Hearing for
4	Leslie Van Houten. I've got to find my paperwork
5	here. I had it here just a second ago. Well, I had
6	it. Why don't you go off the record just briefly.
7	Never mind, I found. We can go back. Now we're
8	ready. As I stated before, this is a Subsequent
9	Parole Consideration Hearing for Leslie Van Houten,
10	CDC number W-13378. And on 8/17, 1978 (sic), the
11	prisoner was received at CDC pursuant to Penal Code
12	Section 1168 for violation of Penal Code Section 187,
13	that's murder in the first degree. There were
14	actually three counts and I will read those onto the
15	record. Counts six and seven were 187, first degree
16	murder, and count eight was 182.1 P.C., conspiracy to
17	commit murder. And this LA County case number
18	A253156. There's a minimum eligible parole date of
19	8/17 of 1978. We are located at Chino Institution for
20	Women at Frontera. Today's date is 6/6 of the year
21	2000. The time now is approximately 3:10 p.m. At
22	this time, Ms. Van Houten, as you know we've got to go
23	around the room and identify ourselves, giving our
24	first name and spelling our last name. As I indicated
25	before, and to the people in the room, we will
26	introduce ourselves at this table and then I will go
27	around and introduce those, at least spelling their

names of those people that are here, and then a	nyone
---	-------

- 2 whose name I do not call then I'll have them introduce
- 3 themselves and state their name for the record. I'll
- 4 begin and we'll go to my left. My name is M. Ortega,
- 5 O-R-T-E-G-A, Commissioner.
- 6 COMMISSIONER LAWIN: Sharon Lawin, L-A-W-I-N,
- 7 Commissioner.
- 8 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON: Elizabeth
- 9 Richardson, R-I-C-M-A-R-D-S-O-N, Deputy Commissioner.
- 10 HEAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY KAY: And I'm
- 11 Stephen Kay, S-T-E-P-H-E-N K-A-Y, Head Deputy District
- 12 Attorney for Los Angeles County.
- 13 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank you.
- 14 Ma'am, if you would go, go ahead.
- 15 ATTORNEY WEBB: Oh, I'm Christie Webb,
- 16 C-H-R-I-S-T-I-E W-E-B-B. I am Ms. Van Houten's
- 17 attorney.
- 18 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank you.
- 19 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Inmate Van Houten, Leslie,
- 20 capital V-A-N capital H-O-U-T-E-N, W-13378.
- 21 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank you. We
- 22 also have an officer here and she'll be here for
- 23 security purposes only. Let me go around the room
- 24 starting with the victims' next-of-kin that are
- 25 represented here. I have John DeSantis, capital D-E
- 26 capital S-A-N-T-I-S. Thank you. Louis Smaldino,
- 27 S-M-A-L-D-I-N-O. Thank you, sir. And Angela

- 1 Smaldino. Thank you. We also have the Victims'
- 2 Services Coordinator, Mr. Bee, capital B-E-E. LA
- 3 Times representatives, we have Felicia Cordova,
- 4 C-O-R-D-O-V-A.
- 5 MS. CARDONA: C-A-R-D-O-N-A.
- 6 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: I'm sorry,
- 7 spell it, Cardona?
- 8 MS. CARDONA: C-A-R-D-O-N-A.
- 9 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right, I
- 10 apologize. C-A-R-D-O-N-A. And also photographer for
- 11 the LA Times is Christopher Urso, U-R-S-O. From Court
- 12 TV, we have Dennis Lynch, L-Y-N-C-H, who is running
- 13 the camera on the -- in the hearing room, and the
- 14 reporter is Mary Jane Stevenson, S-T-E-V-E-N-S-O-N.
- 15 We do have some observers. We have Steven Hernandez,
- 16 H-E-R-N-A-N-D-E-Z, who is a Deputy Commissioner for
- 17 the Board of Prison Terms but here to observe only.
- 18 We also have Dr. McDaniel, capital M-C capital
- 19 D-A-N-I-E-L, and Dr. McDaniel is the psychiatrist here
- 20 that works out of this institution. There are several
- 21 other people that I have not identified. Ma'am, if
- 22 you would start please.
- 23 MS. DIFRANCESCO: Sally DiFrancesco,
- 24 D-I-F-R-A-N-C-E-S-C-O. I'm an observer from the Kern
- 25 County District Attorney's office.
- 26 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank you.
- 27 MS. STRAUSS: Erin Strauss, S-T-R-A-U-S-S. I'm

1	here	as	an	observer	
-	***	0.70	(35.16)		σ

- 2 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank you.
- 3 LIEUTENANT SEBALD: Lieutenant Robert Sebald,
- 4 S-E-B-A-L-D, Public Information Officer.
- 5 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Good, I think
- 6 that identifies everyone who's in the room at this
- 7 time. And the purpose of today's hearing, of course,
- 8 Ms. Van Houten, is to again consider your suitability
- 9 for parole. In arriving at that decision, we'll
- 10 consider your commitment offenses, your prior
- 11 criminality and your social history, as well as your
- 12 behavior since your commitment. I've had the
- 13 opportunity to review your Central File. I've also
- 14 looked at the prior transcript. Matter of fact, I was
- 15 here on that hearing. And I've also looked at all the
- 16 documents that we have here. Any time during this
- 17 hearing you wish to make any corrections or
- 18 clarifications to the record, feel free to do so.
- 19 What I'd like to do, Counsel, is I'm going to
- 20 incorporate by reference a Statement of Facts as it
- 21 was presented in the probation officer's report quite
- 22 a few years back. We'll just incorporate it by
- 23 reference and then we'll talk a little bit about that,
- 24 if you have no objections to that.
- 25 ATTORNEY WEBB: I have no --
- 26 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Is it different than the
- 27 one that we've been using?

1	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: I don't believ
2	so. I think it all started with that document. And
3	of course during the hearing if I'm asking you
4	questions and you want to clarify, of course feel fre
5	to do so.
6	ATTORNEY WEBB: I thought the Statement of
7	Facts actually came out of the
8	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Appellate
9	decision.
10	ATTORNEY WEBB: appellate decision.
11	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: I would imagin
12	it probably did.
13	ATTORNEY WEBB: Okay.
14	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Sure.
15	ATTORNEY WEBB: If that's the one
16	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: And I saw the
17	appellate decision as well.
18	ATTORNEY WEBB: then I have no objection.
19	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Sure. Oh,
20	good. All right, thank you. Then we'll go directly
21	to your progress since your last hearing. We'll go
22	through the new psychological reports and psychiatric
23	reports, and any other documents which may have a
24	bearing on your suitability. Then before we recess
25	for deliberation, the Deputy District Attorney, your
26	attorney, and you are given an opportunity to make a
27	short statement regarding your suitability and length

- of confinement. We will then also have statements
- 2 from the victims' next-of-kin who are represented here
- 3 today also. Then we will recess for deliberation and
- 4 then call you back with our decision. Now, the law
- 5 and the Board of Prison Terms' rules state you are to
- 6 be denied a parole date if your release would pose an
- 7 unreasonable risk of danger to others. You are
- 8 afforded certain rights by law. I would ask at this
- g time of Ms. Webb if those rights have been met to the
- 10 best of your knowledge?
- 11 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
- 12 ATTORNEY WEBB: Yes, they have.
- 13 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank you. One
- 14 of the rights you didn't get to exercise before today,
- 15 Ms. Van Houten, was the right to an impartial Panel.
- 16 Do you have any objections to any of the members of
- 17 the Panel that are seated before you today?
- 18 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: No, I don't.
- 19 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank you. You
- 20 know, I might ask you just to speak up just --
- 21 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Oh.
- 22 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: -- a little
- 23 bit --
- 24 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: No.
- 25 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: -- so we be
- 26 sure we get it on the tape.
- 27 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes, I have no objection.

1	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank you very
2	much. You also signed Board of Prison Terms' form
3	1073 and that's the Americans with Disabilities Act
4	Reasonable Accommodation Request, and I noted on that
5	form that you initialed it that you have no
6	disabilities that would preclude you from
7	participating in this hearing today; is that true?
8	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: That's true, I have no
9	disabilities.
10	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right,
11	thank you. Do you have any documents, Counsel, you
12	want to present that we may not have received already?
13	ATTORNEY WEBB: All I have is a request that I
14	put in writing and it's just a brief request. It has
1.5	to do with the confidential parole plans materials.
16	And I've written it out so you can see the names of
17	the individuals to the residence and job places that
18	Ms. Van Houten would parole to, and I've done that
19	because I think those letters may be intermixed with
20	the other support letters that she has, and I'm just
21	concerned if we could make those names and addresses
22	confidential I would appreciate that.
23	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Most certainly
24	we will honor that request if you
25	ATTORNEY WEBB: So I've written those down and
6	I've actually attached for whoever's handling
7	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER OFFEGA. All right

1	ATTORNEY WEBB: that section.
2	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Sure, we will
3	keep that confidential of course.
4	ATTORNEY WEBB: Okay.
5	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Any other
6	documents you want to present?
7	ATTORNEY WEBB: No, that's the only document.
8	There's another small section asking about crime
9	partners. It's just a minor thing. Bobby Beausoleil
10	is included in the miscellaneous materials in the
1)	Board packet as a crime partner and I think it's been
12	dealt with in the past
13	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Yeah, I know he
14	was not
15	ATTORNEY WEBB: he was not, so
16	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: involved I
17	don't think in any of this.
18	ATTORNEY WEBB: Right.
19	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Mr. McKay can
20	probably Mr. Kay can probably confirm that.
21	HEAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY KAY: Bobby
22	Beausoleil was convicted of the Gary Hinman murder.
23	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Right, he was
24	not involved in the charges that
25	HEAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY KAY: No, he
26	was
27	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Ms. Van

```
Houten -- Okay.
 1
            ATTORNEY WEBB: -- in custody at the time.
 2
            PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: I saw that in
 3
      there and I didn't know how that managed to get in
 4
 5
      there.
            ATTORNEY WEBB: I think it's happened before
 6
     and --
 7
            PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay.
 8
            HEAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY KAY: He's a
 9
10
     member of the Manson family and I think they --
11
            PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: They
     automatically incorporate it all. All right.
12
            HEAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY KAY: I might
13
     just say that in the past we have never stipulated to
14
     the probation report being used. It's always the
15
     Statement of Facts in prior hearings. The problem is
16
     the probation report is very skitty, so I would have a
17
     problem stipulating to that, but I would have no
18
     problem stipulating to the Statement of Facts as read
19
     into the record of the prior hearings, the --
20
            PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Well, that's
21
     been done quite a few times.
22
            HEAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY KAY: Yes, yes.
23
            PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: And I don't
24
     have any objection to that, Counsel, if you don't
25
```

27 ATTORNEY WEBB: I have no --

either.

ATTORNEY WEBB: objection to that.  PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right of the facts as I have read them. If there's any you want to correct, of course, Mr. Kay or Ms. feel free to do. I don't have any will have problem with that as well. Any confidential information to be used?  DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON: None.  PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank you want to your attorney just a few moment copy of the hearing checklist and that's the last the documents that we're going to use today assist us. I would ask of Ms. Webb if she has those? I'm sure with the possible exception of the state of the s	go over thing Webb,
I stated before, since we are incorporating by reference, all I'm going to do is just kind of the facts as I have read them. If there's any you want to correct, of course, Mr. Kay or Ms. 8 feel free to do. I don't have any will have problem with that as well. Any confidential information to be used?  DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON: None.  PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank y I've passed to your attorney just a few moment copy of the hearing checklist and that's the last the documents that we're going to use today assist us. I would ask of Ms. Webb if she has those? I'm sure with the possible exception of	go over thing Webb,
I stated before, since we are incorporating by reference, all I'm going to do is just kind of the facts as I have read them. If there's any you want to correct, of course, Mr. Kay or Ms. feel free to do. I don't have any will have problem with that as well. Any confidential information to be used?  DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON: None.  PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank your problem with the hearing checklist and that's the last the documents that we're going to use today assist us. I would ask of Ms. Webb if she has those? I'm sure with the possible exception of the same with the possible exc	go over thing Webb,
reference, all I'm going to do is just kind of the facts as I have read them. If there's any you want to correct, of course, Mr. Kay or Ms. feel free to do. I don't have any will hav problem with that as well. Any confidential information to be used?  DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON: None.  PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank y I've passed to your attorney just a few moment copy of the hearing checklist and that's the l all the documents that we're going to use toda assist us. I would ask of Ms. Webb if she has those? I'm sure with the possible exception of	go over thing Webb,
the facts as I have read them. If there's any you want to correct, of course, Mr. Kay or Ms. feel free to do. I don't have any will hav problem with that as well. Any confidential information to be used?  DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON: None.  PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank y I've passed to your attorney just a few moment copy of the hearing checklist and that's the l all the documents that we're going to use toda assist us. I would ask of Ms. Webb if she has those? I'm sure with the possible exception of	thing Webb,
you want to correct, of course, Mr. Kay or Ms.  feel free to do. I don't have any will have problem with that as well. Any confidential information to be used?  DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON: None.  PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank y I've passed to your attorney just a few moment copy of the hearing checklist and that's the l all the documents that we're going to use toda assist us. I would ask of Ms. Webb if she has those? I'm sure with the possible exception of	Webb,
9 problem with that as well. Any confidential 10 information to be used? 11 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON: None. 12 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank y 13 I've passed to your attorney just a few moment 14 copy of the hearing checklist and that's the l 15 all the documents that we're going to use toda 16 assist us. I would ask of Ms. Webb if she has 17 those? I'm sure with the possible exception of	
problem with that as well. Any confidential information to be used?  DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON: None.  PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank y  I've passed to your attorney just a few moment copy of the hearing checklist and that's the lall the documents that we're going to use toda assist us. I would ask of Ms. Webb if she has those? I'm sure with the possible exception of	re no
10 information to be used?  11 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON: None.  12 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank y  13 I've passed to your attorney just a few moment  14 copy of the hearing checklist and that's the l  15 all the documents that we're going to use toda  16 assist us. I would ask of Ms. Webb if she has  17 those? I'm sure with the possible exception of	
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON: None.  PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank y  I've passed to your attorney just a few moment  copy of the hearing checklist and that's the l  all the documents that we're going to use toda  assist us. I would ask of Ms. Webb if she has  those? I'm sure with the possible exception of	
12 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank y 13 I've passed to your attorney just a few moment 14 copy of the hearing checklist and that's the l 15 all the documents that we're going to use toda 16 assist us. I would ask of Ms. Webb if she has 17 those? I'm sure with the possible exception of	
13 I've passed to your attorney just a few moment 14 copy of the hearing checklist and that's the l 15 all the documents that we're going to use toda 16 assist us. I would ask of Ms. Webb if she has 17 those? I'm sure with the possible exception of	
copy of the hearing checklist and that's the last the documents that we're going to use toda assist us. I would ask of Ms. Webb if she has those? I'm sure with the possible exception of	rou.
all the documents that we're going to use toda assist us. I would ask of Ms. Webb if she has those? I'm sure with the possible exception of	s ago a
assist us. I would ask of Ms. Webb if she has those? I'm sure with the possible exception of	ist of
17 those? I'm sure with the possible exception of	y to
	all of
an side will important	f
18 confidential information.	
19 ATTORNEY WEBB: Yes, I believe I do.	
20 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Would y	ou pass
21 that on to Mr. Kay please if you would.	
22 ATTORNEY WEBB: Um-hmm.	
23 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: And I w	ould ask
24 the same question of the District Attorney.	
25 HEAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY KAY: That	nk you.
26 Yes, it appears that I have everything.	
27 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Good, t	hank

- 1 you. You will receive a copy of our tentative written
- 2 decision today. That decision will be effective 90
- 3 days after it's reviewed. We'll then send you a copy
- 4 of the transcript and we also send you a copy of the
- 5 decision. Then you have 90 days from the effective
- 6 date of that decision to appeal it if you wish.
- 7 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Okay.
- 8 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Now, you're not
- 9 required to talk to us today, as you know. You're not
- 10 required to admit to the offense; however, we do
- 11 accept the findings of the court. Are you going to
- 12 talk to us today?
- 13 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
- 14 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right.
- 15 Would you raise your right hand please. Do you
- 16 solemnly swear or affirm in the hearing now pending to
- 17 tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
- 18 truth?
- 19 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: I do.
- 20 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right,
- 21 thank you.
- 22 ATTORNEY WEBB: I have a brief preliminary
- 23 matter.
- 24 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Surely.
- 25 ATTORNEY WEBB: Just I'm not sure this is the
- 26 time.
- 27 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Well, let's

find out.

1

23

24

ATTORNEY WEBB: Okay. I just want to explain 2 for the record why we're here after two continuances. 3 Last summer you may be aware that when Ms. Van Houten 4 was representing herself, she had written a letter to 5 the Board raising certain questions, legal questions, 6 a number of them, and when she got here for that 7 hearing, apparently the Panel members weren't aware of 8 her letter and prepared to address her questions and 9 rightfully so I believe, advised Ms. Van Houten to --10 gave Ms. Van Houten a continuance and advised her to 11 get an attorney, and I came into the case at that 12 point. And then a week before the November 4th 13 hearing, I asked for a continuance in order to prepare 14 myself the hearing since I hadn't been involved in the 15 case before that and it's a voluminous record. So my 16 request was initially denied, and then on the morning 17 of that hearing the request was granted by the Board 18 and I received a call from Mr. Ortega. And there's 19 been some damaging speculation outside this hearing 20 room that the reasons for those continuances had 21 something to do with the presence of the victims' 22

25 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Well, and I
26 certainly appreciate your comments and I can attest to

that speculation doesn't hurt my client here today.

family members here, and I just want to make sure that

27 both of those continuances because I was a part of

- 1 both of those, and as you stated, the reasons that you
- 2 just articulated of course were the ones and the sole
- 3 purpose behind the postponements at that time. So
- 4 that will not have -- carry any weight at any this
- 5 particular time. So --
- 6 ATTORNEY WEBB: Okay, thank you.
- 7 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right.
- 8 We'll proceed with the hearing then. Ms. Van Houten,
- 9 on the two charges of first degree murder, of course
- 10 those dealt with the case of the LaBianca family.
- 11 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
- 12 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: The conspiracy
- 13 if I'm not mistaken, I was corrected I think by
- 14 Mr. Kay at our last hearing back in 1998, that not
- only included the LaBiancas, but also the Tate murders
- 16 if I'm not mistaken.
- 17 HEAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY KAY: Well, it
- 18 was an ongoing conspiracy --
- 19 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: No.
- 20 HEAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY KAY: -- but she
- 21 joined it after the Tate murders --
- 22 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay.
- 23 HEAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY KAY: -- so she
- 24 had nothing to do with --
- 25 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: With the Tate
- 26 murders.
- 27 HEAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY KAY: -- the Tate

- 1 murders. But it was one count of conspiracy to commit
- 2 murder that they were all convicted of. She had
- 3 nothing to do with the Tate murders.
- 4 ATTORNEY WEBB: Okay. Well, that conspiracy
- 5 relates only to the LaBianca murders it is my
- 6 understanding, and that was cleared up in -- I think
- 7 early on in the 1980s. And you have in the Board
- 8 packet an order from San Bernardino --
- 9 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay.
- 10 ATTORNEY WEBB: -- County --
- 11 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Yes, I saw
- 12 that.
- 13 ATTORNEY WEBB: -- about that.
- 14 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: That was the
- 15 habeas corpus.
- 16 ATTORNEY WEBB: That it does -- it relates only
- 17 to the LaBianca murders.
- 18 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay.
- 19 HEAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY KAY: Her
- 20 participation was only at the LaBianca murders.
- 21 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Correct. All
- 22 right, fine. So at least we know exactly what charges
- 23 we're talking about. But anyway, you and I talked the
- 24 last time and apparently on -- specifically, and I
- 25 want to address primarily my thoughts and my comments
- 26 to the LaBianca murders. On the nights that these
- 27 crimes were committed, and I have the date written

- 1 here and it was some time in August, August the 8th I
- 2 believe.
- 3 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Tenth.
- 4 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Tenth? You and
- 5 Charles Mason, along with Patricia Krenwinkel and Tex
- 6 Watson, and I thought there was one other person. I
- 7 don't know if that was Susan --
- 8 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: There was Susan Atkins,
- 9 Steve Grogan --
- 10 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: You were all in
- 11 the car.
- 12 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: -- were also in the car.
- 13 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right.
- 14 Now, just to put it in proper context, the evening
- 15 before, Mr. Manson had gone out with several of those
- 16 same people.
- 17 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
- 18 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: And they -- And
- 19 it was at that time that the Tate murders had been
- 20 committed.
- 21 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
- 22 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: And you knew
- 23 that something had happened when they got back.
- 24 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
- 25 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: They got back
- 26 to the Spahn Ranch I believe.
- 27 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.

1	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Is that where
2	you were staying? And I remember you and I talking at
3	the last hearing and it was interesting to note that
4	your comment was that you really felt left out when
5	they had gone out the first night.
6	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
7	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: And you wanted
8	to be sure that the next time that they went out to do
9	whatever they were going to do that you wanted to be a
10	part of that.
11	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
12	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Did you talk to
13	Mr. Manson about that that night, do
14	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: No.
15	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: you
16	remember?
17	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: No. I
18	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: You didn't?
19	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: I remember that he
20	approached me on the boardwalk of the movie set the
21	night before the LaBianca murders and asked me was I
22	crazy enough to believe in him and what he was doing
23	and I said yes.
24	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: So
25	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: But I didn't directly ask
26	him can I go.
27	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: When you said

1	 When	you	knew	you	wanted	to	go	and	you	had	
-		1		3		1000	2	- 55 75 55	* 1 to 1 to 1 to 1		

- 2 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yeah.
- 3 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: -- articulated
- 4 that --
- 5 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
- 6 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: -- did you know
- 7 what they were going to go out to do?
- 8 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
- 9 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right. And
- 10 so you went willingly?
- 1) INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
- 12 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: You knew that
- 13 the night before they'd gone out and they had killed
- 14 numerous people?
- 15 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: They told me when they got
- 16 back.
- 17 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right. But
- 18 you didn't talk to Mr. Manson that night?
- 19 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: No.
- 20 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: You got it from
- 21 the other family members?
- 22 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: I got it from Patricia
- 23 Krenwinkel and Susan Atkins.
- 24 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right. So
- 25 the next night Mr. Manson comes to you and says come
- 26 on, we're going. Doesn't say where you're going as I
- 27 recall.

	)
1	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: No, he said get a change of
2	clothes and get in the car.
3	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right. So
4	then you drive into the Los Angeles Hollywood area.
5	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
6	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Drove around
7	for quite some time as I recall?
8	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
9	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: It didn't
10	appear from what the statements that you made and
17	from what I read in the documents that they had
12	already selected the LaBianca residence because they
13	drove around and went to several locations.
14	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
15	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: So you don't
15	you didn't know for sure where you were going to go?
17	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: No.
18	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: You arrive at
19	the LoBianca the LaBianca residence.
20	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
21	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Mr. Watson and
22	Mr. Mason leave the car.
23	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
24	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: They came back
25	about how some much later?
26	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: I don't Not long.
27	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Fifteen

- 1 minutes?
- 2 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Maybe 15, 20.
- 3 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay. So he
- 4 comes back to the car, Mr. Manson. Mr. Manson came
- 5 alone back to the car; is that correct?
- 6 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
- 7 FRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: And he said to
- 8 you and Ms. Krenwinkel, go in the house and do what
- 9 Tex tells you to do?
- 10 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
- 11 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Words to that
- 12 effect?
- 13 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
- 14 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right.
- 15 Mr. Manson stayed at the car?
- 16 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
- 17 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Do you know at
- 18 that time did he leave?
- 19 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: I was under the impression
- 20 that they were leaving.
- 21 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right. But
- 22 I mean after this crime was committed and you came
- 23 out, they were gone?
- 24 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: They were gone.
- 25 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right. So
- once you go into the house, Mr. Watson had them at
- 27 knifepoint I understand.

1	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
2	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Had a bayonet I
3	think you had mentioned earlier the last time we
4	talked. Directed you and Patricia Krenwinkel to take
5	Mrs. LaBianca into a bedroom
6	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
7	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: and to hold
8	her there.
9	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
10	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: And so you laid
11	her out or had her lay down on the bed.
12	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
13	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Is that correct
14	so far?
15	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Well, we were supposed to
16	kill her.
17	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: That was the
18	direction?
19	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
20	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Now, I believe
21	also what I read is that while you were there,
22	Patricia Krenwinkel went into the kitchen and brought
23	back some knives
24	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
25	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: and gave you
26	one.
27	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: I think she did.

1	PRESIDING	COMMISSIONER	ORTEGA:	All	right.

- 2 That was what you testified to at the last hearing.
- 3 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Okay.
- 4 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: That's why I --
- 5 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
- 6 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay.
- 7 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
- 8 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: So she gives
- 9 you the knife. About this same time you can hear in
- 10 the other room as I understand, as I remember,
- 11 Mr. Watson literally killing Mr. LaBianca.
- 12 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
- 13 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: You heard --
- 14 What did you hear, screams?
- 15 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: The sound of him being
- 16 stabbed and a guttural sound of his breathing.
- 17 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Did you hear
- 18 Mr. Watson say anything?
- 19 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: No.
- 20 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right.
- 21 Mrs. LaBianca obviously heard the same thing.
- 22 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
- 23 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: She attempted
- 24 to get up --
- 25 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
- 26 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: -- of the bed.
- 27 At that time, apparently from what I recall,

- 1 Ms. Krenwinkel then attempted to stab her --
- 2 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
- 3 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: -- hit her in
- 4 the collarbone though.
- 5 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
- 6 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: And it kind of
- 7 bent the knife.
- 8 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
- 9 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Was it you then
- 10 that went in and told Mr. Watson that you were having
- 11 difficulties or you needed his help?
- 12 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
- 13 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right. He
- 14 comes into the room. By then Mrs. LaBianca's already
- 15 on the floor.
- 16 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: No, she was still on the
- 17 bed.
- 18 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay.
- 19 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: He came into the room and I
- 20 don't -- I turned my back to the room and I looked out
- 21 into the hallway or, you know, out of the bedroom
- 22 door. And I stood there, and then that's when he
- 23 turned me around and handed me a knife and said do
- 24 something, so --
- 25 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Where was
- 26 Mrs. LaBianca at that time?
- 27 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: At that time she was in the

- 1.00		9	oldes.	200	
- 73	-		1	~	
- C	-	10	•	_	

- 2 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: And where was
- 3 Ms. Krenwinkel?
- 4 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: In the living room.
- 5 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: She had gone
- 6 out into the living room?
- 7 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
- 8 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: When you turned
- 9 around and saw Mrs. LaBianca on the floor, what else
- 10 did you see relative to Mrs. LaBianca?
- 11 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: She was laying there
- 12 lifeless.
- 13 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Do you know for
- 14 sure she was dead?
- 15 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: I felt she was, but I
- 16 didn't know for sure.
- 17 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right. So
- 18 she could have been alive still?
- 19 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
- 20 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Mr. Watson says
- 21 to you do something.
- 22 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
- 23 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: What did that
- 24 imply to you?
- 25 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Manson had been talking
- 26 about mutilating bodies and so it meant to do
- 27 something to her. He had also told Tex to make sure

1	everyone in the house did something.
2	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right, but
3	you were not privy to that conversation?
4	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: I heard part of it out by
5	the car.
6	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay. Then you
7	heard that portion of it, that Mr. Manson said that
8	to
9	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
10	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Tex?
7.1	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
12	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right, so
13	when Mr. Watson said that to you, you assumed he
14	wanted you to stab
15	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Stab Mrs. LaBianca.
16	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: And you stabbed
17	her numerous times.
18	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yeah, 14 or 16.
19	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: And you stabbed
	her I think you said mostly in the back.
21	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: All in the lower back.
22	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right. And
23	so it's conceivable that she might still have been
	alive at that point in time?
24	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: She could have been.
25	
26	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right. So
27	you stabbed her the 14 or 15 or 16 times, whatever it

1	was.	Then	what	happened?

- 2 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Then I started wiping off
- 3 fingerprints.
- 4 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Did Tex direct
- 5 you to do that?
- 6 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: No.
- 7 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Why did you
- 8 begin to do that then?
- 9 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: For one thing, we weren't
- 10 supposed to be detected that it was us that committed
- 11 the crime because it was supposed to be blamed on
- 12 blacks. And I didn't want to have any more contact
- 13 with the body of Ms. LaBianca and I felt that if I
- 14 kept busy that I wouldn't be asked to do anything
- 15 else. And so I --
- 16 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay, so you
- 17 were busy wiping fingerprints.
- 18 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: And I wiped them until we
- 19 left.
- 20 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: And what was
- 21 Ms. Krenwinkel doing?
- 22 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: She was in the living room
- 23 and I didn't know what she was doing until we walked
- 24 out of the house and I had to walk through the living
- 25 room to get to the kitchen to go out the back door,
- 26 and she had written on the walls.
- 27 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: And she had

1	written what, do you recall?
2	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: I didn't really see it
3	clearly that night, but I know since then she wrote,
4	"arise," and "helter skelter." I'm not sure exactly.
5	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay. But that
6	was part of what she wrote?
7	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
8	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right. And
9	Mr. Watson was doing what during this time?
10	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: He took a shower.
11	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right. So
12	he went into the LaBianca's bathroom and used the
13	shower?
14	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
15	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right.
16	Now, you said that Mr. Manson told you to bring a
17	change of clothing.
18	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
19	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: What was the
20	purpose of that?
21	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: To change our clothes if
22	they got bloody.
23	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Did you do
24	that?
25	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Mine weren't. My clothes
26	weren't bloody. And Tex didn't have a change of

clothes, so he said for me to give him mine. And at

1	the	ranch	we	all	shared	the	same	clothes,	80	the	jeans
---	-----	-------	----	-----	--------	-----	------	----------	----	-----	-------

- 2 I was wearing would fit Tex because I would just tie a
- 3 belt around them to hold them up. And he told me to
- 4 change clothes by wearing Mrs. LaBianca's clothes, and
- 5 so I went into her closet and I got a pair of shorts
- 6 and a top.
- 7 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: What happened
- 8 to the clothes that you had been wearing while you
- 9 were there?
- 10 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: We dumped them in a
- 11 dumpster on the way out of the area.
- 12 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Did
- 13 Ms. Krenwinkel also change clothes while she was
- 14 there?
- 15 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: I really -- I assume she
- 16 did, but I didn't see it or -- I don't know if she did
- 17 or not.
- 18 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: So you leave
- 19 the residence. You go out the back door. You're
- 20 pretty sure now at this point in time of course that
- 21 both Mr. and Mrs. LaBianca are dead.
- 22 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
- 23 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: You walk out
- 24 the back door. Now, because Mr. Manson has left you
- 25 there, you now have to find your own way to get back
- 26 to the Spahn Ranch.
- 27 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.

	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
1	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: And how did you
2	do that?
3	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Hitchhiked.
4	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: So you
5	hitchhiked from there. In terms of distance and time,
6	how long do you think it took you?
7	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: We got a straight ride
8	through, so it was sunrise.
9	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: What time did
10	the
11	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Actually, we slept in the
12	bushes until morning.
13	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: What time did
14	the killing occur, do you recall approximately?
15	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: It was late at night, but I
16	have no idea what time.
17	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Was it before
18	midnight?
19	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: It could have been. I
20	You know, we didn't have clocks and things
21	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Sure.
22	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: and so I really I
23	don't have any idea.
24	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Well, then let
25	me ask you another question. Approximately how much
26	time do you think you spent in the LaBianca residence?
27	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: I would look back and

- speculate maybe a half hour. 1 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: So all this 2 occurred in a half hour? 3 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Quickly. It all happened 4 very quickly. 5 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Was there any 6 thought to steal anything? 7 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: No, although I think Tex 8 took a bottle of change. 9 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay. But that 10 was it? 11 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes. 12 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Nothing else 13 14 was stolen? INMATE VAN HOUTEN: No. 15 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right. You 16 mentioned earlier that Mr. Manson wanted people to 17 believe that it was blacks that had committed this 18 crime. 19 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes. 20 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Was that part 21 of the reason why nothing was taken? 22 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: I don't know. The -- He 23 took a wallet. 24 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: He being? 25
- 27 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Took it from

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Manson.

1	Mr. LaBianca?
2	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes, I didn't know that
3	until after we were arrested. But I think that
4	stealing wasn't a thought because it wasn't burglary.
5	You know, it was a specifically designed to go kill
6	people to start the revolution, and so taking things
7	wasn't I didn't think of doing that.
8	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: And it was
9	never mentioned to you to do that, it was never
10	mentioned to you not to do that either?
17.	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: No.
12	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay. So now
13	you're back at the ranch. You hitchhiked back. Did
14	you ever talk to Mr. Manson when you got back about
15	what happened that night?
16	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: No, not any I don't
17	remember a conversation with him.
18	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay.
19	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: I We got back the next
20	day
21	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay.
22	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: and I went to the back
23	farm house and then burned the clothing and
24	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Which clothes
25	clothing was this?
26	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Mrs. LaBianca's.
27	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Oh, the one

1 that you had on	1	that	you	had	on?
-------------------	---	------	-----	-----	-----

- 2 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes. And whatever else
- 3 connected us to the crime.
- 4 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay.
- 5 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: And I had talked to Diane
- 6 Lake, and Tex found out that I was speaking with Diane
- 7 Lake and became very angry.
- 8 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Diane Lake was
- 9 another one of the Manson family?
- 10 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Snake. Yeah, her nickname
- 11 was Snake.
- 12 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay. Last
- 13 name was Lake, L-A-K-E?
- 14 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes. Also -- You know, we
- 15 all had several names. So --
- 16 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Um-hmm.
- 17 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: -- Bluestein or Lake. And
- 18 Tex Watson got very upset that I was speaking to her
- 19 and told me not to talk about it anymore.
- 20 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: So you never
- 21 talked to anybody else about that?
- 22 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Later at the desert I did,
- 23 but Manson had Pat Krenwinkel and I go to another
- 24 place to stay for a little while.
- 25 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right. So
- 26 right immediately after this killing when you got back
- 27 to the Spahn Ranch?

- 1 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: I'd say within a few days
- 2 he got us over to this other place that was more
- 3 isolated.
- 4 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: So it was just
- 5 the two of you there?
- 6 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes, until we went to the
- 7 desert for the last time.
- 8 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Which was about
- 9 how much time?
- 10 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Couple of weeks maybe.
- 11 I --
- 12 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay. That's
- 13 okay. I just -- Approximately is all I need.
- 14 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yeah.
- 15 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right.
- 16 This happened in 1969.
- 17 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
- 18 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thirty-one
- 19 years ago, almost thirty-one years ago. I asked you
- 20 the last time and I ask you again about your feelings
- 21 now, what you went through, your remorse, what exactly
- 22 are you feeling now over these horrendous crimes? I
- 23 think there's no other way to describe them. How do
- 24 you feel about it now?
- 25 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: I feel that no matter what
- 26 my particular participation is or was in the murders,
- 27 it doesn't diminish or make me feel less responsible.

1	I feel very responsible for Mr. and Mrs. LaBianca's
2	murder. And I feel that it used to be important to me
3	to hope that she was dead and to believe that my
4	feelings that she was when I stabbed her, it made it
5	easier for me to live with. But as I've aged and as
6	I've really come to terms with the loss, it doesn't
7	matter whether I wielded the fatal blows or not, I
8	feel responsible for both their deaths. As time's
9	gone on, it's gotten more difficult to live with, and
10	the only way I know to express my remorse is to be who
11	I am today in the world I live in and it helps some.
12	And some days are easier than others. But I'll be
13	living with this crime for the rest of my life
14	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: In the
15	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: and I know that.
16	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Yeah, thank
17	you.
18	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: In a very real way.
19	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Let me ask you
20	this. In the context of the people that were there,
21	and we're talking about not only Charles Manson, Tex
22	Watson, Patricia Krenwinkel, Susan Atkinson (sic), is
23	any one of you or any one of you more culpable for
24	this crime; any one more responsible than the other?
25	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Manson.
26	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: And the reasons
27	why.

1	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: I believe that he was
2	already criminally oriented. And I don't know
3	everybody else's personal histories and I've often
4	wondered what was going on inside of Tex Watson. I
5	didn't You know, I don't think any of us as kids at
6	the ranch really knew each other truly. We knew what
7	we were wearing for each other at the ranch to be part
8	of the group. But I feel that Manson had a plan and a
9	design, and when he left prison I think that he
10	intended to use people, which is what he did, and I
11	believe he was the most culpable certainly in planning
12	it.
13	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: You were
14	came from a very good background
15	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes, I did.
16	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: family. You
17	were an intelligent young woman
18	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
19	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: at that
20	time. How old were you then?
21	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Nineteen eighteen.
22	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: The reason I
23	ask that is you were just out of high school.
24	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
25	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: A year or two
	out of high school. Yet you were what you're
	saving is Mr. Manson had such control over you that

1	And I'm not going to try to put words in your mouth,
2	you correct me if I'm wrong, but what it sounds that
3	you're saying is he was more responsible for this
4	crime than you were.
5	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: No, I When you said
6	culpable I thought you meant in the designing of the
7	crime.
8	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Oh, no. What I
9	was talking about I'm And I apologize, maybe I
10	didn't make myself
11	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Because
12	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: clear.
13	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: I certainly believe that
14	whatever he became was equally my responsibility for
15	allowing him to become that. You know, people can
16	pull reins in on other people and I never did that. I
17	never said to him, you're really getting out there.
18	Instead I tried to please him more. And I feel in
19	that way that if it's like whose fault it is, I don't
20	I carry this crime with me as though I were the
21	only one.
22	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: So I guess
23	you've answered my question. My question was who
24	shares the most responsibility and you're saying that
25	everyone shared responsibility for this.
26	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Everyone.
27	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Equally?

1	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
2	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay. There
3	maybe other questions from the other Commissioners.
4	I'm going to move on then and talk a little bit about
5	your prior arrest history as well as your family
6	history, and I'll depend on a lot of help from you on
7	that portion of the hearing. But I noted that you had
8	all your arrests all happened in 1969.
9	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes, they were all
10	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All centered
11	around the Manson family.
12	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
13	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: I noted that
14	there was about three grand theft auto charges, but
15	there was
16	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
17	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: never any
18	prosecution of those.
19	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: No.
20	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: There was also
21	a burglary charge were you used a stolen credit
22	card
23	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
24	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: to buy some
25	items, and there was no disposition on that either.
	Nothing happened as a result of that?
26	
27	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: I wasn't the one signing.

1	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Oh, okay. It
2	was somebody else that was signing?
3	INMATE VAN FOUTEN: Yes.
4	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: You were
5	arrested but you were not charged?
6	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yeah.
7	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Now that
8	happened in September of 1969.
9	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
10	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: You committed
11	this crime, the murder for which you're in prison in
12	August of 1969.
13	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
14	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: When were you
15	arrested?
16	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: October 10th.
17	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Of 1969?
18	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
19	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay. So
20	you've been in custody literally ever since?
21	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: No.
22	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Did you get out
23	for a period of time?
24	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes, in 19 I believe it
25	was '78, the early part of '78 I was out on bail for
26	six months.
27	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right.

Tell me a little about your family history, do you 1 have any brothers and sisters? 2 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes, I have two brothers 3 and a sister. My younger sister and brother are 4 Amerasian and were adopted when I was seven and they 5 were two and three, and we had a good life. And my 6 dad left when I was I believe 13 or 14, and that kind 7 of affected -- didn't kind of, it definitely affected 8 our family structure. And I took it hard and I --9 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: How about your 10 11 mom? INMATE VAN HOUTEN: My mother, she's a gentle, 12 loving, kind woman who is very involved in the church. 13 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Are they still 14 alive today, your parents? 15 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes, they both are. 16 They're in their eighties now. And when my dad left, 17 my mom had to go back to renew her teaching 18 credential. And I began to -- When I was 15, I 19 started dating a young man and I was experimenting 20 with drugs. And I ended up pregnant when I was 17 and 21 that ended in an abortion that affected me very 22 deeply, and I believe that that's what left me so open 23 to kind of dropping out and going with Katherine Share 24 and Bobby Beausoleil that eventually took me to the 25 ranch. 26 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Do you keep in 27

1	contact with your family members now?
2	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes, I do.
3	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: With your
4	brother and sister and both?
5	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
6	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: And your
7	parents, obviously you still keep in contact with
8	them?
9	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
10	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right.
11	Have you ever married?
12	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes, I did. I married an
13	ex-con while I was in here I believe in the early
14	eighties, and I was married for six months and I
15	divorced him.
16	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right. And
17	that's the last marriage that you've ever had?
18	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
19	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay. Anything
20	else you want to talk to us about in your family
21	history or anything in this portion of the hearing
22	that we haven't covered that you feel is important?
23	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: It's all pretty documented.
24	I think everything is
	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Yeah, there's
25	
26	quite a bit.  INMATE VAN HOUTEN: really well documented.
27	INMALE VAN HOULEN: leally well documented.

1	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Yeah, there is
2	quite a bit. All right, with that then I'll ask you
3	to direct your attention to Ms. Richardson. She'll
4	cover your prison adjustment
5	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Okay.
6	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: and
7	specifically more so from the last hearing, which was
8	in 1998.
9	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Okay.
10	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON: Good
11	afternoon.
12	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Hi.
13	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON: What I'm going
14	to do is I'm going to cover both the laudatory chronos
15	that you received, information chronos, as well as
16	some of the vocational work you were doing. The Board
17	file is a little confusing, so I'm actually
18	incorporating a couple of reports because there was
19	one done in April of 2000, but what they did was they
20	referenced the prior one because of the continuance.
21	So I'm going to be referring to one from November 4th
22	as well of 1999, and these were both done by Counselor
23	Alderson. In relationship to what you were doing
24	work-wise, it's my understanding that you were working
25	as a clerk on the third watch.
26	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
27	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON: Now, when did

```
that actually start?
 1
            INMATE VAN ECUTEN: That started last August.
 2
            DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON: Okay. So that
 3
 4
     started in August of 1999.
            INMATE VAN HOUTEN:
                               Yes.
 5
            DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON: And that's a
 6
     program II clerk?
 7
            INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
 8
            DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON: Okay. I'm
 9
     going to note for the record that you have remained
10
     disciplinary-free, that your classification score is
11
     zero, and that from my review has reflected that you
12
     have nothing but exceptional grades while you were
13
     working -- while you have been working in that
14
     capacity. Now I know that there -- that has actually
15
     made it difficult for you in some of the participation
16
     that you had prior to that as far as self-help groups.
17
            INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes, and the institution or
18
     the prison just passed a rule that you can't work in
19
     the same work area for more than two years, and even
20
     though I went from the second watch to the third
21
     watch, I was in the same area. So now I have day job
22
     again and so I'll be able to resume my programs as I
23
     was --
24
            DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON:
                                            Okay.
25
            INMATE VAN HOUTEN:
                               -- before.
26
```

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON: I am going to

- 1 note some of the things that you were participating in
- 2 through that same time frame though. Like I say,
- 3 that's from the time of your last hearing in 1998 to
- 4 present. You were commended for participating in May
- of 1998 for a program called Yes, I Can Too, and that
- 6 was a tutor program. Now, is that when you're
- 7 assisting other inmates?
- 8 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes. And also I continued
- 9 tutoring people even though I was working at night. I
- 10 just didn't have the documentation --
- DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON: Okay.
- 12 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: -- to present to you.
- 13 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON: All right.
- 14 And that is actually to -- Is that to assist them in
- 15 achieving GEDs and those types of those goals or
- 16 just --
- 17 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
- 18 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON: -- literacy?
- 19 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yeah. Yes, I Can Too deals
- 20 with women basically that aren't functioning above
- 21 sixth grade.
- 22 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON: Okay. So it
- 23 actually addresses their literacy --
- 24 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
- 25 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON: -- in
- 26 particular? All right. I noticed that you were
- 27 thanked for participation in September of 1998 at the

- Women's Advisory Council barbecue, and in December of 1 1998 an active participant in the Native American 2 religious service. There are numerous chronos in 3 relationship to participation in the Al-Anon, the 4 AA/NA, and the CODA. Can you just briefly describe 5 these three programs that you've participated in? 6 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes, they were -- What it 7 is is every Wednesday night of the month, each 8 Wednesday there's a different group that comes in and 9 talks to the women. I particularly am involved in 10
- 12 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON: Okay.
- 13 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: And for a while I was a 14 12-step facilitator, which just, you know, means that
- 15 we would meet and discuss each step.

Narcotics Anonymous.

- 16 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON: Okay. So when
- 17 you say facilitator, you would actually lead the
- 18 group?

1.

- 19 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
- 20 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON: Okay. And
- 21 these chronos rate -- or actually dated from July of
- 22 1998 through April of 1999. I'm just going to back up
- 23 here and make sure there wasn't anything else that I
- 24 left out. Okay. And this summary in relationship to
- 25 the counselor's report, he indicates that: "If
- 26 paroled at this time, Ms. Van Houten would appear to
- 27 present a low degree of threat to the community.

	170-3
1	Prior to release, Ms. Van Houten would benefit from
2	continuing her exemplary program efforts." And that
3	was his last comment. At this time what I'm going to
4	do is move into the psychiatric report. This was done
5	by Dr. McDaniel. It's dated May 1st of the year 2000.
6	He again comments about how well you have been
7	programming since you've been at CIW and talks a
8	little bit about your actual job duties on the third
9	watch. This is from 2:00 to 10:00, so many of the
10	late afternoon/early evening classes or groups you
11	were not able to participate in. He indicates a
12	diagnosis no diagnosis on Axis I or II. And his
13	comment in relationship to assessment of
14	dangerousness:
15	"I do not believe the inmate would be
16	dangerous if released to the community.
17	This is based upon the internalization
18	of societal norms and her feelings of
19	her shame, guilt, and remorse of her
20	past behavior. In addition, the inmate
21	has a greater understanding of how to
22	maintain her own mental health and deal

- 24 With that, I'm going to go ahead and return it to the
- 25 Chair.

26 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank you.

with issues of loneliness."

27 Mrs. Lawin now will cover your parole plans.

1 COMMISSIONER LAWIN: Thank you. 2 ATTORNEY WEBB: May I just remind you again about my confidential request about those --3 COMMISSIONER LAWIN: Yes, I was just --4 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: No, we will --5 COMMISSIONER LAWIN: -- reading a note about 6 7 that. ATTORNEY WEBB: Oh, okay. 8 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: That was 9 10 what --11 ATTORNEY WEBB: Thank you. PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: -- the note was 12 13 in reference to. 14 ATTORNEY WEBB: Oh, okay. PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: I don't think 15 we need to make the ruling --16 COMMISSIONER LAWIN: As the Chair, he needs to 17 18 make --19 ATTORNEY WEBB: Thank you very much. PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: -- simply 20 because they are confidential, and of course of the 21 concerns the notoriety of this case we would deem 22 those to be confidential in terms of addresses and any 23 other things that would --24 ATTORNEY WEBB: Okay. It's just in the past I 25 think people have inadvertently said the names and I 26 understand that the --27

1	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Yeah, we will
2	honor that request.
3	COMMISSIONER LAWIN: Thank you. In terms of
4	notices in the Board report, let me indicate to you
5	that we did not have copies of actual letters. We
6	have a list of support letters that were received.
7	There are 64 in total dating from 19 May 4th, 1998,
8	the earliest, up to July 23rd, 1999. So in terms of
9	individual letters, there is actually only one in our
10	Board report and I'll go through that letter in a
11	moment.
12	ATTORNEY WEBB: Okay. I actually have I
13	think I have actually original signatures and I don't
14	know why because they were forwarded from the Board
15	so Well, do we assume that the Board has them?
16	COMMISSIONER LAWIN: They're Undoubtedly the
17	institution has them, but probably due to the large
18	number, they chose not to include them in our packet.
19	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: We will most
20	certainly accept those as valid in terms of letters of
21	support for
22	COMMISSIONER LAWIN: And if you would like, I
23	can read the names into the record. If you would
24	rather not, I think that call should be yours.
25	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: I don't You have them
26	available to read the letters and to see what the
27	people wrote, right?

1	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: And I think you
2	also would have that opportunity too.
3	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: If you have that, there's
4	no need to read every name into the record.
5	COMMISSIONER LAWIN: Okay. Because just from
6	my list, I don't have an indication of a relationship
7	or their level of support. That's why I was a little
8	hesitant to just read the names because it really
9	doesn't indicate
10	ATTORNEY WEBB: It doesn't
11	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yeah.
12	ATTORNEY WEBB: mean much.
13	COMMISSIONER LAWIN: No, it doesn't.
14	ATTORNEY WEBB: You know, and I certainly
15	I'm disappointed that you don't have the letters
16	because she has letters from professionals in the
17	community, religious leaders. She has a letter from a
18	former CDC a 23-year CDC employee who was very
19	supportive of her and talks about the record that he
20	observed, school teachers and other people, including
21	her family members of course. And, you know, these
22	are people who have known her for a long, long time
23	personally known her.
24	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right,
25	thank you.
26	COMMISSIONER LAWIN: Then let me go through the
27	letters that I do have. There's one letter in the

- Board packet and that's a supportive letter indicating
- 2 a great deal of support for the release of Ms. Van
- 3 Houten and that letter is from a R. Poland. The
- 4 letter talks about the fact that this individual has
- 5 never met the inmate, however, she has communicated
- 6 with her verbally. And there is some discussion in
- 7 here about a website which is supportive of release
- 8 and the fact that this individual wrote the letter
- 9 contacted Ms. Van Houten relative to that website and
- 10 found out that it was a website that was not generated
- 11 by the inmate and she really had no direct
- 12 relationship to the website at all. And that's what
- 13 the letter --
- 14 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Me?
- 15 COMMISSIONER LAWIN: Yes.
- 16 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yeah, since it came up, I
- 17 hope you all understand I have nothing to do with
- 18 websites, I never have, and I requested that the woman
- 19 that had something on there take me off. I don't
- 20 believe it's appropriate to solicit or communicate in
- 21 that way in my situation, and just so that's very
- 22 clear. These letters like from this woman come
- 23 forward and I don't know who she is and I'm not really
- 24 sure of her interest in me. And I just want it real
- 25 clear that I don't solicit support on the Internet.
- 26 ATTORNEY WEEB: I have the letter, Ms. Van
- 27 Houten wrote to the person who has the Internet site

- 1 asking that it be discontinued. I also have the
- 2 letters of support if you'd like to --
- 3 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Well, what we
- 4 can do --
- 5 ATTORNEY WEBB: -- have them.
- 6 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: -- Counsel, is
- 7 with -- if you have no objection, we can review those
- 8 as we go through.
- 9 ATTORNEY WEBB: Sure.
- 10 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Then we can
- 11 consider those for our -- in our deliberations if
- 12 that's agreeable to you.
- 13 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: That's fine.
- 14 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Or we can read
- 15 them on the record. That's up to you. I don't want
- 16 to -- I want to make sure that we do everything --
- 17 ATTORNEY WEBE: I understand.
- 18 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: -- to preserve
- 19 Ms. Van Houten's rights.
- 20 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: I don't -- I don't see
- 21 (inaudible).
- 22 ATTORNEY WEBB: Okay. I think I'll give them
- 23 to you. I don't see any reason to read them on the
- 24 record.
- 25 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right,
- 26 thank you. We will review those --
- 27 ATTORNEY WEBB: You can take a look at them.

1	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Surely.
2	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON: I have to
3	change the tape.
4	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Oh, we're going
5	to turn the tape now because we are running out, so
6	we'll have just a very brief recess.
7	[Thereupon, the tape was turned over.]
8	COMMISSIONER LAWIN: Thank you. In terms of
9	parole plans, it has been indicated that you do have a
10	longstanding job offer
11	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes, I do.
12	COMMISSIONER LAWIN: in Los Angeles County,
13	and that you also have a longstanding offer for a
14	place of residence in Los Angeles County. And due to
15	the confidential nature of that, I will not be
16	revealing the names or locations. Suffice it to say
17	we do have documentation in the file to bolster both
18	of those statements. And is there anything you'd like
1.9	to add relative to those plans?
20	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: It's my understanding that
21	if the day comes where I am found suitable and parole
22	plans are to be made that they'll be investigated very
23	thoroughly.
4	COMMISSIONER LAWIN: Yes.
25	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: And that I will have a
16	12-step or NA group that I will be able to go to.

There are very skeletal, you know. I have a wonderful

1	support network of people who have been in the
2	community as contributing members that I have known
3	for most of them 18 to 30, 40 years, and each of them
4	will offer whatever will be needed. The
5	materialistic, like car, house, food, would be taken
6	care of, but more importantly they'll be there for me
7	in a very supportive way, which I have found in my
8	therapies that is primary that I have people to turn
9	to when I have issues that I'm dealing with. I assume
10	the Board would look up these people and know them and
11	be comfortable with them before I am paroled, so.
12	COMMISSIONER LAWIN: Thank you. Long term
13	goals, I see from the Board report that you would
14	ultimately like to return to college and seek
15	employment in the editorial field. Would you care to
16	elaborate on that?
17	INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Well, I got my Bachelor's
18	degree in English Literature and I felt that And I
19	don't know the world out there, but if I could it felt
20	like a good thing for a woman in her fifties to be
21	able to do book editing for maybe a small book company
22	and I could do that from my home and not be in a high
23	profile kind of situation because I if that day
24	ever comes, I certainly want to become anonymous and
25	live very quietly if I can.
26	COMMISSIONER LAWIN: Thank you. We send out
27	what are called 3042 notices and those notices go out

- 1 to the agencies that have an interest in your case,
- 2 and the District Attorney's office is here and they'll
- 3 make their own comments at the appropriate time. We
- 4 received no other written response to those 3042
- 5 notices. Anything else you'd like to add about parole
- 6 plans before we move on?
- 7 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: No.
- 8 COMMISSIONER LAWIN: Thank you. I will review
- 9 these letters in the interim. And I'll return to the
- 10 Chair.
- 11 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank you. Any
- 12 additional questions, Ms. Richardson?
- 13 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON: No questions.
- 14 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Mrs. Lawin?
- 15 COMMISSIONER LAWIN: No, I have no questions.
- 16 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Questions,
- 17 Mr. Kay?
- 18 HEAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY KAY: No.
- 19 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank you.
- 20 Questions, Counsel?
- 21 ATTORNEY WEBB: No.
- 22 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: We'll go to
- 23 closing statement from Mr. Kay.
- 24 HEAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY KAY: Thank you
- 25 very much. Although over 30 years have passed since
- 26 the time of the LaBianca murders, we must never forget
- 27 the main reason why we're here today. The main reason

that we're here is not to hear how Ms. Van Houten has 1 done in prison or what psychiatrists or psychologists 2 think about her. The main reason that we're here is 3 because in the early morning hours of August 10th, 4 1969, Ms. Van Houten and her crime partners, led by 5 Charles Manson, viciously and brutally murdered Leno 6 and Rosemary LaBianca in the supposed sanctity of 7 their own home. Leno and Rosemary LaBianca were two 8 decent, hardworking, law-abiding people. They never 9 did anything to Ms. Van Houten or her crime partners. 10 They didn't even know them. They were complete 11 strangers. At the time of his murder, Leno LaBianca 12 was 44 years old. He was the president of a chain of 13 small markets called the Gateway Markets. Rosemary 14 was 40 and she owned her own dress shop, the Carriage 15 Boutique. The motive for these murders is something 16 that should give each one of you great reluctance in 17 ever finding Leslie Van Houten suitable for parole. 18 Leno and Rosemary LaBianca were killed for one reason 19 and that was because Ms. Van Houten and her crime 20 partners wanted to blame their murders on innocent 21 black people in hopes that white people would rise up 22 in anger and go down into the black communities and 23 start indiscriminately murdering black people. 24 Pursuant to this motive, Charles Manson took Rosemary 25 LaBianca's wallet; that's the only thing he took from 26 the house. He had it planted in a gas station of what

1 he felt was a predominantly black area in hopes that a 2 black person would find the wallet, would use the 3 credit card and get blamed for the murders. Now, this 4 motive for the murder wasn't all Mr. Manson's doing. 5 This was a group project. They used to sit around in a house on Gresham Street in Canoga Park and listen to 6 7 the Beatles White Album and they'd be on LSD and they 8 theorized what the Beatles, the prophets, were saying to the black people to get them to rise up. Because, 9 10 you see, the beneficiaries of this revolution were not 1going to be the black people. The black people would 12 kill all non-blacks, but the beneficiaries were going to be the Manson family because they were going to be 13 hiding out in Death Valley and then when the 14 revolution was over, they felt the blacks were too 15 stupid to maintain power so they were going to come 16 17 back and the blacks would turn over power. Now, the 1.8 thing that I would like you each to consider when you're deliberating here today that Ms. Van Houten and 19 the others, if their plan came to fruition, none of us 20 would be here today. The LaBianca murders is just the 21 tip of the iceberg. They wanted to start a revolution 22 where literally millions of people would be murdered. 23 24 The rendition of the facts of Ms. Van Houten's participation in the murders, she always leaves out 25 some very salient points pointing to how active a part 26

she played in these murders. And I know she's taken

responsibility, at least she has mentioned those words 1 and I commend her for that, but she did so much more 2 than she told you when she was in the LaBianca house. 3 And Manson came out of the house, had Watson, 4 Krenwinkel, and Ms. Van Houten get out of the car. He 5 didn't tell them to do what Tex tells you to do. He 6 told them that there were a man and woman up in the 7 house, that he had tied them up, that he had told them 8 that it was just a robbery and that he wasn't going to 9 hurt them. He said, don't let the man and woman know 10 that you're going to kill them and don't cause fear 11 and panic like the night before. Now, Ms. Van Houten 12 knew exactly what happened the night before because 13 she and Ms. Krenwinkel, her best friend in the family, 14 spent the day watching news accounts of the murders on 15 TV and Ms. Krenwinkel filled her in on what happened 16 in the murders. When Watson, Van Houten, and 17 Krenwinkel approached the house, when they walked in 18 the front door, Watson was the only one who was armed. 19 He had a bayonet. Krenwinkel immediately went to the 20 kitchen to get kitchen knives for herself and Ms. Van 21 Houten without any direction from Tex Watson. Tex 22 Watson addressed Mr. and Mrs. LaBianca on the sofa, 23 told them that it was just a robbery and asked if they 24 had any money. Mrs. LaBianca said, yes, she had a 25 small box of money, and so Watson untied her hands. 26

Mr. LaBianca still had his hands tied behind his back

- with leather shoelages. Mrs. LaBianca went and got
- 2 the box of money. In the meantime -- Well, when she
- 3 came back, Van Houten and Krenwinkel took her to the
- 4 bedroom for the purpose of killing her, just as
- 5 Ms. Van Houten said today. But what she didn't tell
- 6 you is what happened when they got in the room. When
- 7 they got in the room, Ms. Van Houten took a pillowcase
- 8 off of one of the pillows, put the pillowcase over
- 9 Rosemary LaBianca's head. She unplugged the lamp cord
- 10 from the night table, the lamp that was on the
- 11 nightstand by the bed, wrapped the cord around
- 12 Mrs. LaBianca's neck and pushed Mrs. LaBianca down to
- the bed and started to hold her down so that
- 14 Mrs. Krenwinkel could stab her. At this point,
- 15 Rosemary could hear Leno getting stabbed to death in
- 16 the living room and she got a sudden burst of energy
- 17 and she bolted up from the bed crying out her
- 18 husband's name. And she reached with the lamp that
- 19 was at the end of this cord that was around her neck
- 20 and swung it in the direction of Ms. Van Houten.
- 21 Ms. Van Houten knocked the lamp out of her hands,
- 22 wrestled her down to the bed, pinned her down, and at
- 23 that point Ms. Krenwinkel, with the knife, plunged the
- 24 knife into Rosemary, hitting her collarbone and
- 25 bending the knife blade. Now, Ms. Van Houten was
- 26 together enough to know that maybe these kitchen
- 27 knives weren't going to work, so -- and she knew that

- 1 Mr. Watson had the bayonet, so she ran to the hallway,
- 2 called for Tex, said, Tex, it's not working. Watson
- 3 comes into the bedroom then and then Watson and
- 4 Ms. Van Houten proceeded to stab Rosemary LaBianca to
- 5 death. Rosemary was stabbed 42 times. She had eight
- 6 fatal stab wounds. That means each of those wounds in
- 7 and of themselves would have killed her, and seven of
- 8 those eight fatal stab wounds were in her back.
- 9 Ms. Van Houten has admitted at prior hearings that she
- 10 stabbed Mrs. LaBianca 16 times. She admitted, again
- 11 as she did today, to Board member Rudolph Castro in
- 12 the '86 and '87 hearings that she did not know whether
- 13 Mrs. LaBianca was alive or dead at the time. The
- 14 testimony by Diane Lake that Ms. Van Houten brought up
- 15 at her trial, she was asked did she say whether or not
- 16 she enjoyed stabbing this person and Diane Lake said
- 17 yes. And the question was what did she say? "She
- 18 said at first she did not want to do it, but that the
- 19 more she did it the more fun it was." After stabbing
- 20 Rosemary to death, Ms. Van Houten went around wiping
- off fingerprints, and she did such a good job that the
- 22 experts from the LAPD found nothing but wipe marks.
- 23 They did find lots of wipe marks, but they didn't find
- 24 any fingerprints, they didn't find Ms. Van Houten's
- 25 fingerprints, they didn't find Mr. Watson's
- 26 fingerprints, or Ms. Krenwinkel's fingerprints. Now,
- 27 Ms. Van Houten tells you a story today about giving

her clothes to Tex. The problem is remember that she 1 also said that she brought a change of clothes with 2 her. So which clothes did she give Tex because as I 3 argued at her second and third trial, she changed her 4 clothes because she had blood on those clothes. 5 she gave Tex clothes, well, did she give Tex the 6 clothes she brought along with her? Did she give Tex 7 the clothes she was wearing? But she could have 8 changed -- If she didn't have any blood on the 9 clothes, she didn't need to change her clothes, but if 10 the gave Tex those clothes she could have changed into 11 the clothes she brought. But, no, she changed into 12 Rosemary LaBianca's clothes. And then one other thing 13 she didn't tell you. That she worked up such an 14 appetite from murdering Rosemary LaBianca that she 15 drank chocolate milk from the LaBianca refrigerator. 16 When she got back to the ranch, again her actions show 17 how together she was that night because the first 18 thing she did, she went to a back house on the ranch, 19 went outside and started collecting firewood. 20 collected firewood and built a fire in the fireplace 21 and took off Mrs. LaBianca's clothes and burned those 22 clothes, and while the clothes were burning, she was 23 sitting on a bed counting the money from 24 Mrs. LaBianca's box, and it turned out to be a little 25 over eight dollars and change. Ms. Van Houten tends 26

to always minimize what she did, not accepting the

1	full story, the true story of what she did. I think
2	one of the clearest examples of this was in the
3	psychological report done by the UCLA psychologist. I
4	was a little amused to find that he said that he was
5	nominated by Ms. Van Houten to do the report like
6	somebody would be nominated for an Academy Award or
7	something. But anyway, in the report he concluded
8	that Ms. Van Houten was reduced to an unthinking
9	person at the time of the murders. Well, nothing
10	could be further from the truth. Not only the actions
11	that I've gone over, calling for Tex, wiping
12	fingerprints, burning clothes, but at her 1977 trial
13	on cross examination I asked Ms. Van Houten, I said
14	before the time of the LaBianca murders, before that
15	night, did you think about whether or not you could
16	participate in murder, and her response to me was, you
17	mean how long did I weigh it in my head, and I said,
18	yes, how long did you weigh it in your head, and she
19	said for two days. She weighed it in her head for two
20	days. Now I know that you're going to come across
21	people in your hearings that you're lucky if they
22	thought about it for five seconds before they
23	committed murder, but Ms. Van Houten weighed and
24	considered it for two days. She said that Further
25	on cross examination, she admitted that she decided to
26	participate in murder before Manson or any other
27	member of the family asked her to do so. And as

- Mr. Ortega brought up, she was upset when she found 1 out that the night before that people had gone out 2 without her. She knew fully what they had done. By 3 the time she went out on the LaBianca murders she knew 4 that it was wrong to commit murders. She had given it 5 a lot of thought, but she did it anyway. Well, her 6 desire got fulfilled on the evening of August 9th and 7 the early morning hours of August 10th. She got to go 8 along. And for her participation in these brutal 9 murders with this unimaginable motive, probably the 10 worst motive that you can come across in any of your 17 hearings, I feel Ms. Van Houten should again be found 12 unsuitable for parole and should be found unsuitable 13 for a minimum of two years. Thank you very much. 14 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank you. 15 Ms. Webb.
- ATTORNEY WEBB: Thank you. I have just a brief 17 rebuttal of some of his -- the prosecutor's factual 18 statement. First, I think Ms. Van Houten is at a 19 little bit of a disadvantage here because after so 20 many years of going over the facts, she'd asked 21 questions in a way that doesn't seem to require the 22 recitation of all the facts that Mr. Kay seems to 23 require. And I've just read all the past Board 24 transcripts and she's gone over her participation in 25 great, great detail. And I feel that if Mr. Kay feels 26 that she can be more explicit about her participation, 27

- 1 I wish he would ask her questions that he feels that
- 2 the Board perhaps hasn't elicited response from her
- 3 adequately. And, you know, I think it's not something
- 4 that's easy for her to talk about, but I feel that her
- 5 expression today of responsibility for what she did is
- 6 very genuine and very clear about how she feels about
- 7 her participation. I note that Mr. Kay says that
- 8 seven of the eight fatal stabs were to Mrs. LaBianca's
- 9 back and Ms. Van Houten has admitted stabbing her 14
- 10 times in the lower back and that's true, but that
- 11 leaves in inaccurate implication from the record that
- 12 Ms. Van Houten inflicted the fatal stab wounds.
- 13 Mr. Kay doesn't say that the medical examiner's
- 14 testimony shows that the fatal wounds were inflicted
- 15 with a bayonet that Tex Watson had and not the smaller
- 16 kitchen knife that Ms. Van Houten had. I think
- 17 Section 2030(d) requires the prosecutor to cite
- 18 supporting documentation for his factual statement.
- 19 Ms. Van Houten certainly, as she said, takes
- 20 responsibility for all her actions on the night of the
- 21 murders. I'm sure at this stage, at this time after
- 30 years, the Board doesn't want her to take
- 23 responsibility for something that she didn't do and
- 24 which the trial transcript confirms that she didn't
- 25 do. I'm correcting the facts. I'm not diminishing
- 26 her responsibility at all for the deaths of the
- 27 LaBiancas.

1	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right.
2	ATTORNEY WEBB: Okay. I'd also like to make
3	one other point. When Mr. Kay says that motive was a
4	group project, I think the evidence also supports that
5	what the group did while listening to the White Album
6	on Gresham Street on LSD was to try to find messages
7	for Charles Manson to fit in with his plan, and I
8	think the Board's Statement of Facts reflects that
9	this was his plan, that they went out that night under
10	his orders, and that the to instigate, I think the
1.7	words are, to instigate or to trigger his helter
12	skelter plan. So I don't think we can revisit the
1.3	facts now to show that this was some kind of a group
14	project because it just wasn't. I think one other
15	thing about the Dr. Wellish (phonetic) report that you
16	have, that's the UCLA doctor, that was an independent
17	report and I don't see that Ms. Van Houten had any
18	reason to shave the truth in that report because she
L 9	knew it was coming here and I think it's you know,
20	it's If they have made mis-statements about her
21	recitation of the facts or used certain words to
22	describe what she told them, then that's certainly not
23	her responsibility. And I think that you'll see also
24	in support of the fact that Ms. Van Houten is pretty
2.5	explicit about her understanding of what her role was
6	in these murders in the June 1999 psych report
. 7	naughistnic wanner from the Board naughistrict

Dr. McDaniel, she describes in quite great detail 1 holding Ms. LaBianca down, and calling to Tex Watson 2 in the living room, holding her again and then 3 stabbing her in the back. So I don't think that she 4 shirks responsibility at all for the particulars about 5 what she did. In terms of suitability, the question 6 for the Panel is who is Ms. Van Houten today and is 7 she suitable for parole. Suitability is based solely 8 on the question of whether this woman is going to be a 9 danger to the public if released. It's not based on 10 who her crime partners were or what they may have 11 done. The Board must look at what she did, the 12 motivation for the crime, what's happened since, and 13 who she is today, and all the evidence you have shows 14 that Ms. Van Houten would not be a danger to the 15 public if released today. Dr. McDaniel, the Board 16 psychiatrist, says two things very eloquently in his 17 current report. He says: "I do not believe the 18 inmate would be dangerous if released to the 19 community." What's important is why Dr. McDaniel says 20 this. He says because he sees her internalization of 21 society's norms, her feelings of her shame, guilt, and 22 remorse for past behavior. In addition, Dr. McDaniel 23 says: "The inmate has a greater understanding of how 24 to maintain her own mental health and deal with issues 25 of loneliness." This is not Dr. McDaniel's first 26 report. I think that's very significant. He said in 27

his 1996 report that he needed to see more of Ms. Van 1 Houten to assess if she's internalized society's 2 external controls and now he sees that she has. 3 Dr. McDaniel also says that Ms. Van Houten now knows 4 how to deal with the issue of loneliness which was a 5 part of her situation here, that she has a strong 6 desire for self-preservation so that she can see 7 8 detrimental behavior and act upon it before it gets out of control. I think he puts to rest any lingering 9 doubt about her judgments about relationships and her 10 ability to function in a situation here within the 11 prison where she encounters a lot of dangerous people 12 and she certainly knows how to live responsibly in 13 this community. She's never gotten into any trouble 14 with other inmates. One of her support letters, a 15 23-year employee of the CDC says there have been many 16 volatile situations, major riots, locksdowns, sit-down 17 strikes, racial incidents, escapes, and other lesser 18 situations, but that she has had the good judgment 19 never to get into any trouble like that here in 30 20 years. Dr. McDaniel has also found that Ms. Van 21 Houten is much more of a leader than a follower now. 22 One factor alone has gone against her in the past, the 23 nature of the commitment offenses, and no one denies 24 that these were terrible, horrible crimes. 25 read thousands of pages of transcript, as I said, and 26

I found that going back to her trial, Ms. Van Houten

has never denied that she owed a good portion of her 1 life for what she did and that she deserved to be 2 punished. We've presented the opinion to the Board 3 this year, leading cult expert, Dr. Margaret Singer, 4 to answer questions the Board has asked in the past. 5 Questions like how could Ms. Van Houten have gotten 6 involved in this considering her background and why 7 didn't she leave. The cult evaluation also answers 8 the question of whether there's something about 9 Ms. Van Houten's moral base that's askew. The answers 10 to these questions go directly to the issue of whether 11 she would or would not be a danger to the public 12 today. Dr. Singer's opinion also goes to the Panel's 13 consideration of suitability because it goes to 14 motivation for the crime and truly explains how 15 Ms. Van Houten came to believe in the motive helter 16 skelter, although it was not her motive, and how that 17 created an enormous amount of stress leading up to the 18 time of the murders because she truly believed that 19 there was going to be a terrible Armageddon. The cult 20 report is not an excuse for what she did. It's not a 21 legal defense. But it explains how a vulnerable, 22 naive, idealistic teenage girl in the 1960s, who like 23 a lot of kids was searching for something, could be 24 taken in and her belief system turned upside down so 25 that wrong was right. By the night of the murders, 26 Ms. Van Houten's motive was to be a good soldier.

1	believed she had to do what Manson ordered for helter
2	skelter. Dr. Singer's report says:
3	"While in no way minimizing the horror
4	of the crimes, it is important to
5	realize that Leslie Van Houten was not
6	acting with free will at that time.
7	Unpeknownst to her, Manson had
8	successfully broken down her
9	personality, substituting his sick world
10	view in its place and taught her that
11	his way was the right way and that what
12	he said was tantamount to a directive
13	from God."
14	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: One moment
15	please.
16	HEAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY KAY: I'm sorry.
17	I hate to interrupt, Counsel, but I was not provided
18	with Dr. Singer's report so, you know, I just
19	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: That is true.
20	HEAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY KAY: have to
21	objection.
22	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: We did not
23	receive it, Counsel, until today.
24	HEAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY KAY: I would
25	ATTORNEY WEBB: I provided it
26	HEAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY KAY: object
27	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Well, we never

```
1
     got it --
            ATTORNEY WEBB: -- you know, ahead of time to
 2
     the --
 3
            PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: And it was
 4
     never given to the District Attorney --
 5
            ATTORNEY WEBB: I provided the prison with a
 6
     copy to give to him.
7
            PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Well,
 8
 9
     apparently --
            ATTORNEY WEBB: You know --
10
            PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: -- he never --
71
            HEAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY KAY: I object
12
     since I wasn't given it ten days before the hearing.
13
            ATTORNEY WEBB: I'm not -- I don't know that
14
    the rules require that he be given that. I thought we
15
     had to be given a ten day notice, but I did provide --
16
            PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: That is
17
     correct --
18
            ATTORNEY WEBB: -- the copies through the Board
19
     to give to him.
20
            PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: That is
21
22
   correct.
            ATTORNEY WEBB: I can't, you know --
23
            PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Let me move on
24
     that, Counsel, before you --
25
            ATTORNEY WEBB: Oh.
26
            PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: -- go any
```

- 1 further.
- 2 ATTORNEY WEBB: Okay.
- 3 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: That is
- 4 correct, the ten day rule applies only to documents
- 5 that come to --
- 6 ATTORNEY WEBB: Um-hmm.
- 7 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: -- the inmate.
- 8 They don't cover that, Mr. Kay. We have a copy of
- 9 that. We will most certainly make that available to
- 10 you if you wish to look at that, but the Counsel is
- 11 correct. They gave it to the institution. The
- 12 institution had a responsibility. They didn't get it
- 13 to you. I will make a -- I'll get the copy for you
- 14 now and you can follow along if you wish.
- 15 HEAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY KAY: Okay. I'd
- 16 appreciate that.
- 17 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: You're welcome.
- 18 Continue, Counsel.
- 19 ATTORNEY WEBB: Okay, thank you. According to
- 20 Dr. Singer, a methodical process of cult
- 21 indoctrination took place. Ms. Van Houten was no
- 22 match for Manson, a 34-year-old con man. He used
- 23 classic cult techniques: geographical and emotional
- 24 isolation from her past and from her family. He used
- 25 intimidation. He made Ms. Van Houten feel stupid,
- 26 ridiculing her past beliefs, totally controlling her
- 27 environment. Family members couldn't even have their

own clothes or toothbrushes. He stripped away her 1 identity. He also promoted an us versus them 2 mentality which worked with what was already going on 3 in the 1960s. Manson convinced Ms. Van Houten that 4 they had to prepare for imminent race war. And by the 5 way, that was a war that they believed was going to 6 happen anyway, but that -- And in the late sixties, 7 you can imagine why they might have believed that war 8 was going to happen. But that Manson came to believe 9 that his group had to start the war and that's what 10 happened with helter skelter and that's why he sent 11 them out to do the murders when he did. Manson 12 convinced Ms. Van Houten also that to leave him would 13 be to die, just like the 913 people who died at 14 Jonestown couldn't leave with Congressman Ryan when 15 given a chance. During countless LSD sessions, Manson 16 preached the Armageddon he called helter skelter and 17 wouldn't even let any of his followers leave the room 18 during those sessions. LSD was one of his most 19 effective tools and it was really working against her 20 without her knowledge. According to Dr. Singer's 21 report, LSD can induce personality changes, 22 depersonalization, magical thinking, and 23 suggestibility. Now, I'm not saying she hadn't taken 24 LSD before meeting Charles Manson because that -- she 25 had many, many times, but he used it to effectuate his 26

change in her personality and certainly the

suggestibility part of it worked with what he was 1 doing. Since 1969 we know more about the power of a 2 charismatic cult leader. We've seen Jonestown where 3 mothers killed their own children and Jones' followers 4 killed Congressman Ryan and other observers and that 5 was in 1978, just to put it in a perspective 6 historically, just after Ms. Van Houten's third trial. 7 Since then we've seen David Koresh, and Heaven's Gate, 8 and the Japanese Solar Temple, and I think just last 9 month in Uganda hundreds of people were murdered. 10 These cults all mix violence and Armageddon in a 11 lethal mix. And it should be obvious by now in the 12 year 2000 that even normal, good people under a cult 13 leader's influence do horrible things. At Jonestown 14 even medical doctors passed out the poison drink that 15 they took. By the time Ms. Van Houten weighed in her 16 mind if she could participate in murder for Manson, in 17 Dr. Singer's clinical judgment, she was fully 18 indoctrinated into the cult and into his warped belief 19 system. She acted that night not in a daze or a 20 trance. She did know what she was doing, but she was 21 in a state of depersonulization and when in a state of 22 depersonalization, according to Dr. Singer, people are 23 capable of going through the motions of an activity 24 without fully realizing what they are doing. What 25 Ms. Van Houten did in the LaBianca's house and what 26

she takes responsibility for was horrible, but it was

1	not done without some conflict on her part, and
2	Dr. Singer explains about that as well. Ms. Van
3	Houten has testified in the past about feeling guilty
4	at the time that she wasn't able to be a better
5	soldier. She participated, but there were some things
6	like turning away and looking into the hall that's
7	she's always testified about, there was something
8	comfortable that situation. A part of her didn't want
9	to obey Manson. Dr. Singer says:
10	"This is like a soldier who knows his
11	duties but feels a momentary conflict
12	the first time he's asked to kill after
13	being a lifetime of being taught that
14	killing is wrong. Ms. Van Houten
15	believed in helter skelter because she
16	had been brainwashed. She acted not out
17	of motivation, the cause of revolution;
18	her motive was to do what Manson
19	ordered."
20	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Let me stop you
21	just a moment, Counsel. You're not going to read this
22	whole report are you? We do have it
23	ATTORNEY WEBB: No, I'm not.
24	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right,
25	because it's getting
26	ATTORNEY WEBB: And I've
	presented country over opers rather

- 1 lengthy.
- 2 ATTORNEY WEBB: Okay. Well, I'll speed it up
- 3 then. Ms. Van Houten's belief in that motive 30 years
- 4 ago should not prevent her parole today. The cult
- 5 report does not shift responsibility for her violent
- 6 acts, it only explains how she could have done what
- 7 she did and not be an inherently evil human being or a
- 8 danger today. According to all the psychiatric
- 9 reports over the past 26 years, the psychological
- 10 tests you have by Dr. Wellish and Dr. Singer's
- 11 evaluation, Ms. Van Houten now understands what
- 12 happened to her, and with the help of correctional
- 13 facility personnel and through her own determination
- 14 to understand how she could have fallen under Manson's
- 15 influence, she has regained her personality and the
- 16 moral view that was taught to her by her parents. And
- we see that in everything she's done over the past 30
- 18 years, and I think that is a large part of your
- 19 consideration today. Those same tests also confirm
- 20 one other thing, and that's very important to the
- 21 Board. In Dr. McDaniel's report: "There's no
- 22 evidence that Ms. Van Houten will be prone to
- 23 involvement with another cult or with people who will
- 24 harm her or others." And according to Dr. McDaniel,
- 25 he sees that her desire for self-preservation is part
- 26 of the reason for that. Ms. Van Houten was never a
- 27 person without a moral base and there's nothing flawed

- about her morality. She has now and for over 26 years
- 2 regained that morality and kept true to it ever since.
- 3 Today the Board's rules apply equally to her as to any
- 4 other prisoner appearing before you, and that's not
- 5 easy because her case is not one that no one knows
- 6 about and it also involves Charles Manson. The
- 7 Panel's role, however, is to see Ms. Van Houten as an
- 8 individual. Your decision to release her on parole
- 9 would not open the flood gates to Charles Manson as he
- 10 has 56 more years or something like that to serve in
- 11 enhancements alone. In terms of all the other
- 12 suitability factors, all of them support her release.
- 3 She has no juvenile record at all. She has a stable
- 14 social history. And I think you have those letters
- 15 now. She has an enormous network of long-term friends
- 16 and family, including friends from high school who
- 17 have known her for 30 or 40 years and they see today
- in this nearly 51-year-old woman the girl they knew
- 19 before Manson and drugs. She has support from a lot
- 20 of people I said before: religious leaders,
- 21 psychiatrists, and other people who have been on the
- 22 staff here, all who knew her personally and do not
- 23 believe that she is a danger. In terms of signs of
- 24 remorse, all the psychiatric reports confirm that her
- 25 remorse is genuine, but I'm going to let Ms. Van
- 26 Houten talk about that. The motivation for the crime,
- 27 I've talked about that in terms of the cult

indoctrination, but it's important to distinguish the 1 Manson cult from a gang of criminals I think. A gang 2 of criminals are out for personal gain, but a cult 3 member's motivation is to fulfill the vision of the 4 leader, however warped, after being indoctrinated and 5 brainwashed. Lack of criminal history, you've gone 6 through that. There's no history of convictions and 7 all occurred while she was with Manson, her minor 8 arrest record. In terms of age, the next category, 9 there's nothing really to say about that except that 10 no one is the same person at 51 as at age 19, 11 especially not someone who's had years of therapy and 12 self-reflection. I think her institutional behavior, 13 the record speaks for itself and you've gone over that 14 too. And the parole plans also, I think they are in 15 place and they're not that sketchy. She does have a 16 definite job plan and she does have a place to live 17 with someone that she's known for 20 years. After 30 18 years, the gravity of the offense is outweighed by the 19 suitability factors and the lack of any evidence of 20 danger to the public if released. She can be 21 considered for parole not just because of all she's 22 done here, but because of your regulations. In terms 23 of the matrix, what kind of a term are we talking 24 about? It looks like you have her on C-3 of the 25 matrix and that's realistic. Ms. Van Houten's former 26

attorney, Dan Rotech (phonetic) went into the

- 1 calculations under the matrix in great detail in the
- 2 past and I won't repeat that except to summarize.
- 3 Under C-3, she has a base term of 14, 16, or 18 years.
- 4 With enhancements under Section 2286, that adds 14
- 5 years. Mitigation outweighs the aggravating factors.
- 6 I believe it's -- we've shown it's an unusual
- 7 situation not likely to reoccur. And the cult expert
- 8 opinion is not an excuse, but it certainly suggests
- 9 that there were extenuating circumstances which do not
- 10 amount to a legal defense. Ms. Van Houten had no
- 11 apparent pre-disposition to commit the crime, but was
- 12 induced by others to participate. That takes us to
- 13 around 30 years without good time credits.
- 14 Calculation of the credits takes us to 22 to 24 years.
- 15 What we're talking about is punishment and how much is
- 16 enough. I don't think it's reasonable to use the
- 17 commitment offenses as the justification for more time
- 18 given that it's been 30 years and the law says the
- 19 punishment she should serve is what the law and the
- 20 matrix says she should serve and that has been
- 21 satisfied. To summarize, is Ms. Van Houten suitable
- 22 for parole? You have plenty of evidence of that. You
- 23 have 30 years of evidence from CDC authorities. You
- 24 have the Board psychiatrist this year and over 12
- other psychiatrists going back to before 1978 who find
- 26 that she would not be a danger if released. You have
- 27 no acts of violence before or since that one night of

- 1 horrible violence. The work Ms. Var. Houten has done
- 2 here to understand and to change and to make up for
- 3 what she did has been going on for 30 years and it
- 4 will continue. She'll just be starting the next stage
- of the corrections process when you give her a date.
- 6 No one could live a lie for 30 years under the
- 7 scrutiny of guards and counselors. Her true character
- 8 and her heart is revealed in her everyday life. She
- 9 is the woman you see and the woman all the evidence
- 10 says is not a danger to the public. She's a nearly
- 11 51-year-old woman who lives every day with remorse and
- 12 who is now the decent, good, law-abiding woman she
- would have been if not for drugs and Charles Manson's
- 14 cult. We respectfully request that you find her
- 15 suitable and give her a date in the year 2000. Thank
- 16 you.
- 17 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank you.
- 18 Ms. Van Houten, is there anything you would like to
- 19 add?
- 20 INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes, very briefly. It's
- 21 important to me that the Board of Prison Terms knows
- 22 that I accept responsibility. And I know that this
- 23 year there were a lot of documents and that is as my
- 24 attorney said to explain the behavior. I don't excuse
- 25 what I did. I know that what I did is inexcusable.
- 26 And it's important that you all know that, that I
- 27 don't try to skirt my responsibility. I've been asked

- 1 in the past if I had written letters of amends to
- 2 those -- the family of Mr. and Mrs. LaBianca and I
- 3 responded that I had not because I felt to do so would
- 4 be very presumptuous on my part. And I had no
- 5 indicator one way or the other how the family felt,
- 6 both Mr. -- Well, to be perfectly honest, I had never
- 7 even know of Mr. LaBianca's family, only
- 8 Mrs. LaBianca's from her prior marriage. And I've
- 9 often thought how would I feel had it been my own
- 10 mother and father, and I'm not so sure that I would
- 11 have wanted the perpetrator to try and contact me.
- 12 I'm relieved that family members have come forward.
- 13 It's really hard to live with the murder, and when
- 14 there was no one there, it was an incomplete dealing
- 15 with it. My years have been trying to find how you
- 16 live with taking a life because you can never make it
- 17 right. And I sincerely apologize for all the pain
- 18 that the family went through. I apologize for the
- 19 sadness and the loneliness, and I feel that it's very
- 20 important that the family know that I live with this
- 21 in a true and real way. I would want to know that if
- 22 it were my mother and father. I would want to know
- 23 that the person knew. I try not to be dramatic up
- 24 here because it's always subject to everyone else's --
- 25 This isn't a private hearing. And I don't want to --
- 26 I don't want to do what I'm starting to do. I just
- 27 want to say that I apologize deeply for what I did. I

- 1 also want to say that if the family works with the
- 2 institution that I certainly would welcome the
- 3 opportunity to apologize to them in a more personal
- 4 way. That's all I have to say, thank you.
- 5 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank you.
- 6 Mr. John DeSantis, sir, if you would.
- 7 MR. DESANTIS: I am John DeSantis, nephew of
- 8 Leno and Rosemary LaBianca. My family has suffered a
- 9 great emotional, financial strain as a result of the
- 10 brutal killings of my aunt and uncle. My dad had to
- 11 go to the morgue to identify their bodies. This has
- 12 tormented me and him even to this day. He was also
- 13 considered a suspect at the time and had to take a
- 14 polygraph test, which was very upsetting to him and
- 15 all of us. With the death of my uncle and aunt, the
- 16 family business suffered a financial loss and was
- 17 eventually sold, causing great burden for the entire
- 18 family. My mom, the sister of Leno, has silently
- 19 mourned the loss of her brother and sister-in-law and
- 20 her health suffered. She is now in a nursing home,
- 21 unable to take care of herself. The damage done to my
- 22 family emotionally keeps multiplying. I feel Ms. Van
- 23 Houten should remain in prison without parole due to
- 24 the violent nature of her crimes. I thank you for
- 25 giving me this opportunity to speak.
- 26 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank you, sir.
- 27 Mr. Louis Smaldino.

```
COMMISSIONER LAWIN: We need to change the
1
2
     tape.
            PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Oh, we're going
3
     to change the tapes, Mr. Smaldino. Just take the
4
             That's okay.
     chair.
5
               [Thereupon, the tapes were changed.]
6
            MR. SMALDINO: I'm the nephew of Leno and
7
     Rosemary LaBianca and the oldest of the grandchildren.
8
     My mother was Stella LaBianca Smaldino, and I have not
9
     spoken out during any of the Manson family hearings
10
     for parole as it was my firm belief that such heinous
11
     crimes would never allow these convicted, cold-blooded
12
     murderers to see freedom again. If not for the
13
     erroneous thinking of the Bird court, the Manson
14
     family would be on death row awaiting execution for
15
     some of the most tragic and despicable murders of our
16
     century. I cannot begin to express what these murders
17
     did to our loving family. Excuse me. I saw my
18
     grandmother, Karina, suffer and finally die of a
19
     broken heart. She was always such a joyful and loving
20
     image for me and this crime destroyed her emotionally.
21
     My mother, Stella, suffered many years of depression,
22
     and my dad, Peter, was called to identify the
23
     brutalized bodies and lost much of his joy for life
24
     after that. The family grocery business, over 50
25
     years old, never recovered from the loss of its
26
     family, from its leader and CEO, Leno. The family's
27
```

- 1 hard earned net worth was destroyed by these savage
- 2 acts. LaBianca children, Leno and Rosemary's
- 3 individually, were emotionally and financially
- 4 handicapped from that day forward. Our entire family
- 5 is forever damaged beyond repair. We lost a vital
- 6 part of our existence because of these murders. As a
- 7 child with all my cousins and siblings, the Waverly
- 8 home was our family sanctuary where we grew up as a
- 9 true Italian family in the best sense of the term. We
- 10 laughed, played, cried, and loved each other as only
- 11 family can. One of the murder weapons used was the
- 12 carving knife and fork that was used for our holiday
- 13 festivities. I saw as a youngster my grandfather,
- 14 then Leno and my father use these instruments of joy
- 15 turned into tools of torture and death. These
- 16 murderers, including Leslie Van Houten, desecrated our
- 17 family home and sanctuary. Our family has never
- 18 recovered from this outrage to humanity. We are all
- 19 stained for life. These offenses affect all of us
- 20 daily forever. The idea that Leslie Van Houten has
- 21 rehabilitated and paid society's debt is outrageous
- 22 and absurd. She can never repay in this life what she
- 23 and her accomplices did to our family. The
- 24 responsibilities for these acts rest squarely with
- 25 each and every participant. Society cannot allow
- 26 notorious murderers onto the street ever again.
- 27 Never. You would have to know Leno and Rosemary to

- 1 understand my outrage at any suggestion of parole.
- 2 These were two of the kindest, nicest, loving and
- 3 generous people I have ever known. Leslie Van Houten
- 4 and her clan brutally murdered these gentle people for
- 5 no other purpose than a thrill. It will be a travesty
- of the highest order if any Manson family is ever let
- 7 out of prison. They are all responsible for their
- 8 vicious and selfish acts, and a just society must hold
- g them accountable. I pray that you understand the
- 10 terrible and unrectified damage done to our family by
- 11 Leslie Van Houten. She committed murder and still
- 12 lives. Our society is truly merciful. Leno and
- 13 Rosemary cry out through our voices for justice.
- 14 Thank you for your consideration of our plea for no
- 15 parole ever.
- 16 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank you,
- 17 Mr. Smaldino. Angela Smaldino.
- 18 MS. SMALDINO: I was 21 at the time of the
- 19 murder. I lived through the same times you did,
- 20 Leslie. I encountered the many people as you did. I
- 21 turned away and walked --
- 22 PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Ma'am, I want
- 23 you to direct your statements your statements to the
- 24 Board, not to the inmate.
- 25 MS. SMALDINO: I was a college student at the
- 26 time of the murder. I was 21. At the time of the
- 27 murder, I got in my car and ran. I ran out of the

1	city, I ran out of the state. I was terrified and
2	traumatized, which I did not realize at the time.
3	Only recently have I been diagnosed with bipolar manic
4	depression. Apparently I'm finding out through my own
5	research that trauma causes such a condition. I have
6	to live with that for the rest of my life. I'm going
7	to be getting therapy and I am being medicated. My
8	uncle Leno, as my brother said, and my aunt Rosemary
9	were the sweetest people I've ever met. Rosemary was
10	like a butterfly. I truly loved her. I feel like we
11	went through a bit of a retrial today. I don't see
12	any reason to do that because she was tried and
L3	convicted and sentenced to execution. And I don't
L4	personally support execution, but I feel that life in
L5	prison is an adequate punishment for what was done. I
16	don't know whether she has met the necessary criteria
17	for being excused for what she did. I feel life in
.8	prison without parole would be adequate. Thank you.
.9	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank you for
0	your comments. We're going to recess at this time,
1	ladies and gentlemen, and we'll call you back with our
2	decision. The time now is approximately 4:45 p.m.
3	RECESS
4	000
5	
6	

## CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

1	CALIFORNIA BOARD OF PRISON TERMS
2	DECISION
3	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay, we're
4	back on the record. The time now is approximately
5	5:05 p.m. The Panel has reviewed all the information
6	received from the public and has relied on the
7	following circumstances in concluding that the
8	prisoner is not suitable for parole and that she would
9	pose an unreasonable risk of danger to society or a
10	threat to public safety if released from prison at
1.7	this time: And the number one and most compelling
12	reason was the commitment offense. It was carried out
13	in an especially cruel and callous manner. Multiple
14	victims were killed in the same incident. And the
15	motive for the crime was inexplicable or very trivial
16	in relation to the offense. And the victims in this
17	case were mutilated during the offense. These
18	conclusions are drawn from the Statement of Facts
19	wherein the prisoner, along with her crime partners
20	who were part of a cult group I guess we would call
21	it, were known as the Manson clan, had gone to the
22	residence of the LaBianca family. At that time during
23	the course of that crime, the both victims were
24	repeatedly stabbed to death. The mother,
25	Mrs. LaBianca, was stabbed repeatedly. I believe it
26	was in excess of 40 times.
27	LESLIE VAN HOUTEN W-13378 DECISION PAGE 1 06/06/00

1	HEAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY KAY: Forty-two.
2	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Forty-two times
3	she was stabbed. And there was an intent on the part
4	of the inmate and her crime partners to make this look
5	like if it was a racial killing and that was done by
6	writing comments on the walls to make it look as if
7	blacks had committed this crime in order to perpetuate
8	the helter skelter as it was described by Charles
9	Manson, who was the leader of this group. Under
10	previous record, the inmate had a number of arrests.
11	They all appeared to be included in this particular
12	during this particular time when she was a part of the
13	Manson group. She had several arrests for grand theft
14	auto and she had one arrest for burglary, however,
15	there were never any charges filed on that. She did
16	indicate she had an unstable social history. She had
17	become pregnant while in high school. Had an
18	abortion. This seemed to deepen her problems. She
19	got into using drugs heavily, was using marijuana and
20	LSD a great deal of the time. And at approximately 19
21	years of age 18 to 19 years of age, became involved
22	with Charles Manson and his group. Institutionally,
23	she has not sufficiently participated in beneficial
24	self-help and therapy programs and needs more time to
25	complete that. We do note that the psychiatric report
26	is favorable in terms of what was written for this
	TROLER WAN HOMBEN W-12379 DECISION PAGE 2 06/06/00

hearing, as well as she does have parole plans. And 1 we did have the oppostunity, Counsel, to review those 2 letters. We did see the letters of support for jobs 3 as well as place to live, and as we stated earlier, we 4 will maintain that as confidential. Also, in response 5 to 3042 notices the Hearing Panel notes that responses 6 to P.C. 3042 notices indicate opposition to a finding 7 of parole suitability, specifically from the District 8 Attorney's office of Los Angeles County as well as 9 comments made here by family members of the LaBiancas. 10 The Panel makes the following findings: That the 11 prisoner needs therapy in order to face, discuss, 12 understand, and cope with stress in a nondestructive 13 manner. Until progress is made, the prisoner 14 continues to be unpredictable and a threat to others. 15 Nevertheless, the prisoner should be commended for her 16 extremely positive programming. She has been in 17 charge -- or been part of the Yes, I Can Too program. 18 That's a tutoring program for inmates trying to gain 19 more education. She has been a member of the WAC 20 Council, W-A-C. That's the Women's Advisory Council. 21 Also participating in the Native American religious 22 services. She was the chairperson of AA and NA, had 23 very positive work chronos related to her job as a 24 relief lieutenant clerk. She has been a facilitator 25 for the NA 12-step program as well, and has been very 26

LESLIE VAN HOUTEN W-13378 DECISION PAGE 3 06/06/00

active in the Al-Anon and 12-step programs. However, 1 these positive aspects of her behavior do not outweigh 2 the factors of unsuitability. This will be a two year 3 denial. In a separate decision, the Hearing Panel 4 finds that it's not reasonable to expect that parole 5 would be granted at a hearing during the following two 6 years, and the specific reasons for this finding are 7 8 as follows: One, the prisoner committed the offense in an especially cruel manner. Specifically, she and 9 her crime partners, with the sole intent of going out 10 and murdering individuals, had gone to the LaBianca 11 residence. At that time she and her crime partners, 12 13 Charles Tex Watson and Patricia Krenwinkel accompanied by Charles Manson, had gone into the residence of the 14 victims. At that time, they were tied up. They were 15 then stabbed repeatedly, Mrs. LoBianca -- or 16 Mrs. LaBianca stabbed 42 times. And the offense again 17 was carried out in a dispassionate and calculated 18 manner. The victims were mutilated during the 19 offense. And we also note that the motive for the 20 crime was inexplicable or very trivial in relation to 21 the offense. We also note that the prisoner has not 22 completed the necessary programming which is essential 23 to her adjustment and needs additional time to gain 24 such programming and gain further insight into her 25 involvement in this crime. And the recommendations of 26

LESLIE VAN HOUTEN W-13378 DECISION PAGE 4 06/06/00

1	the Panel are that the inmate remain disciplinary-
2	free, if available participate in self-help and
3	therapy programming, and cooperate with any clinicians
4	in the completions of any clinical evaluations that
5	may be done. That is a two year denial. Anything
6	you'd like to add, Mrs
7	COMMISSIONER LAWIN: No.
8	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Lawin?
9	COMMISSIONER LAWIN: Nothing.
10	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Ms. Richardson?
11	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON: Good luck to
12	you.
13	PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: That will
14	conclude the hearing at this time. Thank you. We'll
15	have the inmate leave first, if you don't mind.
16	000
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	PAROLE DENIED TWO YEARS
26	EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS DECISIONJUL 0 6 2000
27	LESLIE VAN HOUTEN W-13378 DECISION PAGE 5 06/06/00

## CERTIFICATE AND

## DECLARATION OF TRANSCRIBER

I, PATRICIA M. JOHNSON, a duly designated transcriber, CAPITOL ELECTRONIC REPORTING, do hereby declare and certify under penalty of perjury that I have transcribed tape(s) which total two in number and cover a total of pages numbered 1 through 87, and which recording was duly recorded at CALIFORNIA INSTITUTION FOR WOMEN, at FRONTERA, CALIFORNIA, in the matter of the SUBSEQUENT PAROLE CONSIDERATION HEARING of LESLIE VAN HOUTEN, CDC No. W-13378, on JUNE 6, 2000, and that the foregoing pages constitute a true, complete, and accurate transcription of the aforementioned tape(s) to the best of my ability.

I hereby certify that I am a disinterested party in the above-captioned matter and have no interest in the outcome of the hearing.

Dated June 29, 2000, at Folsom, California.

Patricia M. Johnson

Transcriber

CAPITOL ELECTRONIC REPORTING

BOARD OF PRISON TERMS	Φ	0	STATE OF CALIFO	AIMRC
LIFE PRISONER DEC	CANADA AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY	OF CONFINEMENT		
	AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF	OFFICER USE CNLY)		
	PECCHE	OFFICER COL CHETY	AM MO	DAY
Advanced Deviced of Confinen	222 Water-1997			
Adjusted Period of Confinem Date Life Term Begins				
At Large Time				
PAROLE DATE				
PAROLE DATE		CELLANEOUS		
-Panel recommendations a		av	Q. DENIAL	
Become Re	main disciplinary free.	47		
	cing his/her custody level	•2		
	ationallyeducations			
	self-help (and)the	rapy		
Transfer to	77	(Data) 5/3/00		
PENAL CODE SECTION 3042 NO	TICES A SENT	(Date) 5/3/00		
COMMITMENT OFFET SE				
	PC 187 M	URDER 1ST. MURDER 1ST.	CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURD	ER.
	(Code Section)		(Title)	1100
	A253156	6.7 &	8	
	(Case Number)		(Count Number)	
Date Received by CDC	Date Life Term Begins	Controlling MEPD		
8/17/78		8/17/	78	
Type of Hearing		If Subsequent Hearing,	Date of Last Hearing	
	DUENT (Hearing No.) 14			
	J.ALDERSON, CCI			1000
Department Representative				
Counsel for Prisoner CURTS	TIE E.WEBB	Address 5959 CENTO		
			S. CA 90045	-
District Attorney Representat	IVE STEPHEN KAY	County LOS ANGEL	\$	
	PAROLE F	EARING CALENDAR		
and order of the B decision review p	oard of Prison Terms. The	clusion of the hearing constit decision becomes effective w	ute a <u>proposed</u> decision nen issued following the	
By:	110 ATA	7	Date / /	Ċ
Presiding (Name)	Z Tilean		6/	
Concurring (Name)	D RAL		Date/G/	
Concurring (Name) Sha	ron Lawin		Date /00	
NAME	CDC NUMBER	INSTITUTION	CALENDAR HEARING DAT	
VAN HOUTEN, LESLIE	W-13378	CIW	JUNE 6/6/00	_

BPT 1001 (REV. 1/91)

2 TAPES

PERMANENT ADDEND

## LIFE PRISONER: PAROLE CONSIDERATION PROPOSED DECISION (BPT \$2041)

-	-	Lancing and the second	AND RESIDENCE AND RESIDENCE
	1		7.101
1	11	PAROLE DENIED	11/11/20

	12707	cision, includin	g the reasons for de	nial of parole, wi	thin 30 day	will send you s of the hearin	ig.	
. []	PA	ROLE GRANT	red					
	A.	Base Period o	f Confinement					Months
		Case No.	Count No.	Offense				
	В.	Firearm Enha	ncement	,			+	Months
	C.	Other Crimes	Total				+	Months
		Case No.	Count No.	Offense		mos	5.	
		Case No.	Count No.	Offense		mos	<b>.</b>	
			Count No.	Offense		mos	s.	
	D.	Total Term		177.00333863			=	Months
	E.	Postconvictio	n Credit From	- 10.1.1	_ То	(Date)		Months
The	nerio	d of confineme	ent indicated is a te	ntative decision p	roposed by	this panel. The	decision will	be reviewed
You pone	perio uant hat til will ement ne pro	d of confinement to BPT \$2041 me appropriate not engage in tof your parole oposed decision the reason	ent indicated is a te, and, if approved, e pre-prison credits any conduct specie date.  n denying or grants for disapproval.	ntative decision por a copy of the ap will be applied an fied in BPT \$245 ting parole is disa You will then re	proposed by proved decided a parole re	this panel, The sion will be se elease date con nduct may re ou will receive	nt to you wit mputed. sult in resciss e a copy of t	be reviewed hin 30 days. sion or post-
You pone	perio uant hat til will ement ne pro	d of confinement to BPT \$2041 me appropriate not engage in tof your parole oposed decision the reason	ent indicated is a te, and, if approved, e pre-prison credits and any conduct specie date.	ntative decision por a copy of the ap will be applied an fied in BPT § 245 ting parole is disa You will then re	roposed by a proved decided a parole recorded a parole recorded a parole recorded a parole recorded a paroved, year the paroved, year the paroved a parole recorded a parole r	this panel, The sion will be se elease date con nduct may re ou will receive	nt to you wit mputed. sult in resciss e a copy of t	be reviewed hin 30 days. sion or post-
You pone	perio uant hat til will ement ne pro	d of confinement to BPT \$2041 me appropriate not engage in tof your parole oposed decision the reason	ent indicated is a te, and, if approved, e pre-prison credits any conduct specie date.  n denying or grants for disapproval.	ntative decision por a copy of the ap will be applied an fied in BPT \$245 ting parole is disa You will then re	roposed by a proved decided a parole recorded a parole recorded a parole recorded a parole recorded a paroved, year the paroved, year the paroved a parole recorded a parole r	this panel, The sion will be se elease date con nduct may re ou will receive	nt to you wit mputed. sult in resciss e a copy of t	be reviewed hin 30 days. sion or post-
You pone  If the decise scheme	perio uant hat til will ement ne pro	d of confinement to BPT \$2041 me appropriate not engage in tof your parole oposed decision the reason	ent indicated is a te, and, if approved, e pre-prison credits any conduct specie date.  n denying or grants for disapproval.	ntative decision por a copy of the ap will be applied an fied in BPT § 245 ting parole is disa You will then re	roposed by a proved decided a parole recorded a parole recorded a parole recorded a parole recorded a paroved, year the paroved, year the paroved a parole recorded a parole r	this panel, The sion will be se elease date con nduct may re ou will receive	nt to you with mputed.  sult in resciss e a copy of the diffied decision  Date	be reviewed hin 30 days. sion or post-
You pone  If th decis scheme	perio uant hat til will ement ne pro	d of confinement to BPT \$2041 me appropriate not engage in tof your parole oposed decision the reason	ent indicated is a te, and, if approved, e pre-prison credits any conduct specie date.  n denying or grants for disapproval.	ntative decision por a copy of the ap will be applied an fied in BPT § 245 ting parole is disa You will then re	roposed by a proved decided a parole recorded a parole recorded a parole recorded a parole recorded a paroved, year the paroved, year the paroved a parole recorded a parole r	this panel. The sion will be se elease date con nduct may re bu will receive y of the mod	nt to you with mputed.  sult in resciss e a copy of the diffed decision  Date  Date	be reviewed hin 30 days. sion or post-he proposed n or will be
You pone  If the decis scheme	perio uant hat til will ement he pro sion a duled	d of confinemento BPT \$ 2041 me appropriate not engage in t of your parole oposed decision and the reason for a new hear	ent indicated is a te, and, if approved, e pre-prison credits any conduct specie date.  n denying or grants for disapproval.	ntative decision por a copy of the ap will be applied an fied in BPT § 245 ting parole is disa You will then re	roposed by a proved decided a parole recorded a parole recorded a parole recorded a parole recorded a paroved, year the paroved, year the paroved a parole recorded a parole r	this panel, The sion will be se elease date con nduct may re ou will receive	nt to you with mputed.  sult in resciss e a copy of the diffed decision  Date  Date	be reviewed hin 30 days. sion or post-

Distribution White-C, File Canary-BPT Pink-Prisoner