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PROCEEDINGS
PRESIDING COMNTSSIONER ORTEGA: Thank you. This is going to be a subsequent parole consideration hearing for Leslie Var Houten, CDC number W-13378. And on 8/17, 1978 (sic), the prisoner was received in CDC pursuant to Penal Code section 1168 for violation of Penal Code section 287 , that was first degree murder. That was counts six and seven, and there was also count eight, I believe that's what it is, conspiracy to commit murder was, first degree murder, was count eight. That was PC 182.1 PC. That was Los Angeles County case number A253156 with a minimum eligible parole date of $8 / 17,1978$. Today's date is 5/28, 1998, we're located at the Chino (sic) Institute for women. The time now is approximately 12 noon. At this time, we're going to establish voice identification, each of us giving our first and last names, spelling our last name. When we come around to you, give us your first name and spell your last name and also please give us your CDC number. I'm going to begin, we're going to go to my left. My name is M. Ortega, O-R-T-E-G-A, Commissioner.

DEPUTY COMMISSIORER DOUGLAS: Mike Douglas, D-O-U-G-L-A-S, Deputy Commissioner.

HEAD DEPUTY DISVRICT ATTORNEY KAY: And I'm Stephen Kay, Head Deputy District Attorney from Los Angeles County.

IMMArE VAN HOUTEN, Lemlie Van Houten, capital V-A-N capital H-O-U-T-E-N, W-13378.

COMMISSIONER GIAQUINTO: TOM Giaquinto, G-I-A-Q-U-I-N-T-O, Commissioner, Board of Prison Terms.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank you. That identifies everyone in the room, however there are some observers that are here who will not be participating in the actual hearing. There are in addition to some of the observers from CDC, there is some media people that are here. And you're well aware of that, I'm sure.

INMATE VAN HCUTEN: Yes.
PRESIDING CONMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right, thank you. And the purpose of today's hearing is to again consider your suitability for parole. In arriving at a decision, we're going to consider the commitment offense, your prior criminality and your social history, as well as your behavior since your commitment. Now, we have reviewed your central file and the prior transcripts, and we'll first give you an opportunity to make any corrections or clarifications to the record. Then what we're going to do, Ms. Van Houten, I'm going to take and incorporate by reference from the decision of the hearing that was held two years ago. That was on $4 / 30,1996$, that was pages one through five. Simply what that means is that we're
not going to read into the record the offense. I know that you went through that the last time with Mr. Giaquinto.

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes, that's the statement of Facts?

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Yeah, well, it's the decision summary itself. There is a short Statemunt of Facts in there as to why you were in prison. And if you look in your packet, you received a packet, I'm sure, very similar to ours.

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: No, I didn't.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: AIl right. In your C-file, did you get a chance to see -- Well, you got a transcript of your last hearing, didn't you? INMATE VAN EOUTEN: Yes, I did. PRESIDING CCMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay. The last five pages -INMATE VAN EOUTEN: Oh, sure, okay. PRESIDING COMMISSIONGR ORTEGA: -- of that are the decision, okay.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay?
INMATE VAN HOUTEN: I thought you were talking about in the beginning.

COMMISSIONER GIAQUINTO: Are you going to inquire as to whether she received the packet of (inaudible)?

PRESIDING COMMISSIGNER ORTEGA: I will.

COMMISSIONER GIAQUTNTO: Oh, okay.
RRESIDIMG COMMISSIONER ORTMGA: Then we're going to go directly to your progress since the last hearing, the new psychiatric reports and any information which has a bearing on parole suitability. Any changes in your parole plans should also be brought to our actention at that time. Then before we recess for deliberation, the Eistrict Attorney and you will be given an opportunity to make a short statement regarding parole suitability and length of confinement. Now, the law and the Board of Prison Terms rules state that you are to be denied a parole date if your release would pose an unreasonable risk or danger to others. At this time let me review with you, very briefly, your rights. You have a right to a timely notice of this hearing, availability for review of your c-file, and a right to present any relative documents. Did you receive all of those rights, Ms. Van Houten?

INMATE VAM HOUTEN: Yes.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Did you get a chance to look at your C-file?

INMATE VAN HOUTSN: Yes, I did.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Did you receive a packet very similar to what we have here, a thick packet that contained all of the reports?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: NO.
 you a list of those reports here. I noticed at the last hearing when you when you had, two years, when Mr. Giaquinto asked the same question and your response was the same, that you didn't receive that full packet, but you did get an opportunity to look at all those reports. Because all that material is contained in your c-file. You got a chance to look at your psych report, I'm sure. Did you read that that was written by Dr. McDaniel?

INMATE VAN HoUTEN: Yes, yes, I did.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay, how about your Board report?

IMMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay, so you've seen all that material.

IMMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes, I have.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Is there
anything on there that you're not aware of?
IMMATE VAN HOUTEN: NO.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay, thank
you. Let me take that and we'll pass that on to the Deputy District Attorney.

HRAD DEPUT? DISTRICT ATTORNEY KAY: I've seen everything.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right,
thank you. One of the rights that you didn't get to
exercise prior to today, and that was a right to an impartial Panel. Do you have any objection ro any member of the panel seated before you today?
indats van zouten: No, I don't.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay. Before we go on, I wanted to be sure, the last hearing that you had, you did not, you were never represented by an attorney, either; is that correct?

IMMATE VAN HOUTEN: NO, yes.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: And I know again, referring to Mr. Giaquinto's questions at that particular time, he asked you about it and you just felt that you could represent yourself better than, or at least as equally as well as you could with an attorney; is that correct?

INAMTE VAN EOUTEN: Yes.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right. And you still don't see the need for an attorney?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: NO.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right.
You're not undertaking any medications at this time, or are you still?

INMATE VAN GOUTEN: I'm on hormone therapy, but other than that, not at all.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay, so it's not going to affect you in understanding what we're talking about .-

JMNATE VAM 耳OUTEN: NO.
PRESIDING COMMIESIONER ORTEGA: -- or making any decisions.

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: NO.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: And I know you do have a Bachelor of Science degree, so you do have a higher level of education than a high school diploma. IMNATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: I have no objection to you representing yourself. Mr. Giaquinto?

COMMISSIONER GIAOUINTO: NO.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Mr. DOuglas?
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: No, I have none.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank you. NOW you will receive a copy of the tentative written decision today, and that decision becomes effective 90 days after review. But you will receive a copy of the decision and a copy of the transcript. And then you'll have 90 days co appeal that decision if you wish. Now you're not required to discuss the matter with the Panel, and you're not required to admit to the offense. However, as you well know, the Panel does accept as true the court findings. Very simply stated, that means you stand convicted of the crime and that's not going to change today. Is there any confidential information being used, Mr. Giaquinto?

COMMISSIOMER GLAQUTMTO: Yes.
RRESIDING COMMTSSIOMER ORTEGA: Okay, thank you. Now, I gave just recently, and I've already gotten a response to that, the documents check-list, and Ms. Van Houten stated that she did receive those or just had an opportunity to read them, as well as Mr. Kay froni the District Attorney's office. You will be speaking with us today?

IMMATE VAN ROUTEN: Yes.
PRESIDING COMM:SSIONER ORTEGA: Please raise your right hand. Do you solemnly swear or affirm in the hearing now pending to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

INMATE VAN HOUREN: Yes, I do.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: At your last hearing, which was two years ago, and that was on April the 20th, 1996, there was a great deal of conversation regarding the crime involving you, and I think more specifically, the charges against you was the count seven, six and seven, which was the murders of Mr. and Mrs. LaBiarca.

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: There was also a conspiracy charge which dealt more specifically with the killings as we called them at the last hearing, the Tate murders.

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.

HEAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY RAY: It was actually for both murders, the conspiracy covered the whole thing.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Oh, LaBianca as well as the Tate murders?

EEAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY RAY: Yes.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay, thank you. We stand corrected on that. Let me ask you a couple of questions. In reading this last transcript, Mr. Giaquinto was asking you questions about your involvement in the Tate murders and there was an indication, albeit anonymous, it was obvious that you did not participate in those killings.
ingate van aouten: No, I did not.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Did you participate in any way in the planning of those murders?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Well, inasmuch as Manson was talking about something was going to happen, not in specific detail and not in a way where I was aware, but before it happened specifically.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: NOW, when we talk about planning, there is, you know, I guess it means different things to different people. To you, what did it mean by the planning stages of that crime?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: That prior to the Tate murders, Manson became anxious saying that he was
going to have to be the one to start the revolution and that those of us that were part of the family would be the ones that would have to do that.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: So when
Mr. Manson said that, was it your belief that he meant that you were going to have to go out and start killing people?

INMATE VAM HOUTEN: Yes.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay, so when the people left on the evening that the Tate murders occurred, were you aware that that's where they were going?

INMATE VAM HOUTEN: Not specifically. I felt something was going to happen, but I didn't know precisely what.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: TO YOUT knowledge, did ary of the people that were involved in the Tate murder, were they aware of where they were going?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: I couldn't answer that.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay. Then in your conversations, I know you, I think you talked to Pat, she was --

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: After.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: -- (inaudible) from when she returned.

INMATE VAN HOUTES: Yes.

PRESIDIMG COMIESIOMRR ORTEGA: Okay. So you didn't know that they were going tc kill specifically the people at the Tate residence?

INMATE VAN GOUTEN: NO.
PRESIDING COMAISSIONER ORTEGA: All right.
When you decided to kill, or when the decision was made, I guess to out again, and I know that there was a great deal, again, conversation between you and Mr. Giaquinto relative to that, you definitely wanted to be there --

INMATE VAN GOUTEN: Yes, I did.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORT:GA: -- for the
LaBianca murders. You felt that was a good way to prove your support and your love for, not only Charles Mason, but for the family itself.

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Did you know at the time that you left the ranch where you were going? INMATE VAN GOUTEN: Yes, I did.

RRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right. Was it discussed?

IMMATE VAN HOUTEN: Not the specific residence, but I knew that that murder was going to happen that night.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Well, someone must have chosen the LaBianca residence as the target. INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Manson.

PRRSIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: DO you know who that was?

IMMATE VAN BOUTBN: Manson.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Manson had done that all ready?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Oh, I don't know if he had done it before or not. He drove us around the LA area for a long time, stopping at various places and changing his mind and so I don't know if he had previously targeted them or not.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay. So as far as you know, it was a residence, that looks good, we'll go there.

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay. Who went into the residence?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Manson and Watson.
PRESIDING COMHISSIONER ORTEGA: They went in
initially?
INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
PRESIDING COMUISSIONER ORTEGA Okay. And when did you and I think it was Patricia Krenwinkel that was with you?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
PRESIDING CONHISSIONER ORTEGA: Was that just the four of you?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: There were more people in
the car.
PRESIDIMG COMMYBSIONER ORTEGA: Okay, but how many went to the residence, exactly?
rmmats van houten: In all, four.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right, so it was you, Patricia Krenwinkel, Tex Watson and Chazles Manson.

INMATE VAN HOUTBN: He had left by the time I went in.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Mr. Manson had left.

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTBGA: Okay, so the only people that were left there when you walked into the residence was the LaBiancas, Mr. Watson, you and Patricia Krenwinkel.

Immate van houten: yes.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay. When You walked in the door, what was the state of Mr . and Mrs. Labianca, were they tied up, were they seated, what exactly was there position?

Immate van houtbn: seated.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay. And Mr. Watson, was he holding a gun on them or was he -inmate van houtgn: I don't remember. I don't believe there was a gun. He had a bayonet.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay. Had they
been injured at all before you arrived?
IMMATE VAN HOUZZN: NO, no.
PRESIDING COMDISSIONRR ORTEGA: Okay. So they were then -- What happened then?

IMMATE VAN GOUTEN: Tex told Pat and I to go into the kitchen and get a knife and Pat went and got knives.

ERESIDING COMMISSIONEP ORTEGA: Got the knives, more than one?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes, and she gave me one, and we took Mrs. Labianca into the bedroom and separated them. And I was to hold Mrs. LaBianca down on the bed and we heard Mr. LaBianca being killed in the living room.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: BY Mr. Watson.
INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes, and Mrs. Labianca came forward.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Tried to sit up on the bed.

IMMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yeah, yelling, what was happening to her husband, what are you doing. And I tried to hold her down and Pat went to stab her and the knife hit her collar bone and it bent, and I ran into the bedroom doorway and I yelled to Tex watson that the, Pat wasn't able to kill Mrs. LaBianca and Watson came into the room and I stood in the doorway and Watson turned me around and handed me a knife and
said, do something.
RRESIDING COMMISSIONRR ORTRGA: NOW, he had -Did he already stab Ms. LaBianca?

INMATR VAN HOUTEN: Yes, she was laying on the floor.

PRRSIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay, so she got up off the bed and now she was on the floor. IMMATE VAN EOUTEN: I didn't see any of the actual physical moving, I stared into a different room at the time that watson came into the room and Krenwinkel had left and gone into the living room with Mr. LaBianca.

PRESIDING CCMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right, so she was laying on the floor at the time that Mr. Watson had gave you the knife?

INMATE VAA EOUTEN: Yes.
PRESIDING CCMMISSIONER ORTEGA: And said do something.

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Do something, yes.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: And that's when you stabbed her?

INMATE VAN EOUTEN: Yes, I did.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: I think it was stated that you stabbed her about 16 times?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: In the lower, yeah, in the lower back.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: She was on her

## stomach at the time?

IMMATE VAN IOUTEN: Yes.
RRESIDING COMASSIONER ORTRGA: And so you stabbed her about 16 times in the back?

IMMATE VAN EOUTEN: Yes.
PRESIDING CCMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay. There was also then statements made that then your responsibility was to wipe away fingerprints.

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: And I think
Mr. Watson went in to take a shower.
INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yeah.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Yeah, how callous to just decide to go take a shower. What was Ms. Krenwinkel doing?

IMMATE VAN HOUTEN: She was in the living room writing on walls.

PRESIDING COIMISSIONER ORTEGA: Oh, because she was the one who was putting the words Helter Skelter, I think, and some of the other words that were on the wall. All right, then you all went back outside. Was Mr. Manson still there?

INDATE VAN HOUTEN: No, they had left. We were going to hitchhike back to the ranch --

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTBGA: Oh, so you guys were left there?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: -- the next day.

PRERIDIRG COMMISEIONER ORTEOA: That was a long way, wasn't it?

IMRATE VAN BOUTEN: Well, we hitchhiked.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: But it's still a long way.

IMMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yeah.
PRESIDIMG COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: How long did it take you to get back to the ranch?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: About an houx, maybe.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay, and this happened about what time, the killing?

INRATE vAN HOUTEN: At night. I don't know precisely the time.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: There was also some indication that you were under the influence of drugs on that evening; were you?

INMATE VAN GOUTEN: NO.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Had you been drinking alcohol?

INLATE VAN HOUTEN: NO.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right. So you were sober?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes, I could have smoked marijuana throughout the day, I smoked marijuana all the time, but $I$ was not under any influence like LSD or anything like that.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: SO you knew
what you were doing.
IMNATE VAN IGOTGTRN: Yeah.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: And you did it willingly, just to impress Mr. Manson. When you got back to the ranch, did Charles Manson then ask you what had happened?

INPAATE VAN ITOUTEN: NO. ZRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: He never did? INMATE VAN EOUTEN: NO. PRESIDING CCMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Did he talk to Mr. Watson about it, do you know?

INAATE VAN BOUTEN: I don't know if he did or not, I assume he did.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay. Was the -- Was there ever a conversation between you and Mr. Manson about what had happened there?

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: They never did. IMMATE VAN HOUTEN: NO.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay. Let me ask you again, because the question was asked last time, and I think the answer continues to be important. How do you feel about this today, looking back on what you did?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: It's harder to live with. As the years go on, it gets harder. Maybe it's because I'm aging, I'm not sure why, but --

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: SO You think of
it constantly.
IMARTE VAN EOUTgav: Sure.
RRESIDIAG COIDESSIONER ORTEGA: It never leaves your mind?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Well, it's there somewhere inside of me, I'm certainly aware of what I've done.

PRESIDING CORMISSIONER ORTEGA: When did that. if we can it a revelation, when did that revelation finally hit? Because obviously, after the crime, the description was there was some elation, there was some emptiness in you. But nevertheless, you had gone there for a reason, that was to impress Mr. Manson and the family. When did this suddenly hit you that what you done was such a horrible, atrocious crime?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: I'd say it took a few years, here, in the isolation unit that they had women on death row, and at one point, they, the prison system brought two of the women that we had lived with at that time and they committed a bank robbery, another bank robbery, some kind of a robbery, gun store, I think, and then they put them in the unit with Pat and Susan and $I$, and as they began to talk, I realized that I had noved away from their world and how they were thinkirg and I had started to identify more with the personrel here, because that was basically who I had to talk to, it was a very isolated area. And I would say that probably started it and
over the years ..
PRERIDING COMMTSSIONER ORTEGA: Was that after your death sentence had been overturned?

IMMATE VAN HOTTEN: Yeah. Also that kind of kicked it in, when it was no longer the matter of an eye for an eye and a tooth for a tooth, and I realized that I would be living with this and I better learn how.

PRESIDING COMIISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay. Well, there may be other questions from the other Commissioners. I'm going to move along and just talk a little bit about your prior criminal history. And you had several arrests. It seems they all started in 1969.

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: They were all -- All of my arrests were at the time that I lived with Charles Manson.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay, yeah, they were all somehow related --

INMATE VAN HOVTEN: TO the group.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Yes, exactly. I noticed that in 19, April of 1969, you had been arrested for grand theft auto, then it turned out that that was one of the vehicles that had been, I guess, donated to the family, I think it was.

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Maybe, maybe. What the local police would do is some and arrest all of us in
hopes of weeding us out and then we wouldn't be arraigned.

RRESIDING COMMISSIOMER ORTEGA: There were several arrests for grand theft auto, at least three. One in April, one in August, and one in October of 1969, all three of them for grand theft auto. There were no charges. You were released on the first one, no charges on the second one, and then there was no disposition shown on the third one. And you also had an arrest in September of 1969, and that was for burglary, but really what in essence it was is you had gone into a store to use a stolen credit card. INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes. PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: DO yOu know where that credit card came from? IMMATE VAN HOUTEN: It came from a burglary. PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay. Had you been part of that burglaxy?

INRATE VAN IHOTEN: No.
PRESIDING CCMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right, you just knew that it was a stolen card.

INMATE VAN EOUTEN: Yeah.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: And you got it from who?

INMATE VAN houten: Nancy Pittman had it, and I'm not sure exactly where it had come from.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: So from what

I'm hearing you say, and from what all that we've read, this family that Mr. Manson talked about, was really kind of a criminal group, it was kind of a gang of criminals, and you sustained yourselves by going out and stealing and robbing.

INMATE VAB HOUTEN: In the beginning, it was more of a communal in the sense of the $60 s$. In the wintex', early wirter of '69, that's when Manson began to take on a more omirusus tone. Up until then, there weren't burglaries, there weren't the little games he'd set up of us trying to sneak up each other and preparation for the revolution. In the beginning, it was that he was a Christ figure, if not Christ, and we lived in a different way like that. So it escalated into exactly what you said.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Became a criminal enterprise almost. That began a gang of -IMMATE VAN HOUTEN: We weren't together enough. At one point, at one point when he realized how devoted each of us were, then he tried to set up like a prostitution, and that didn't work because we were a little too far out on the drugs. I was playing hide and seek with elves in the woods and all of that, and I think that if he could have probably exploited us in a different, it might have gone there.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: SO, YOU mentioned the drugs and I wanted to get to that very
briefly. On the night of the crimes you were not under the influence of drugs, but you were using psychedelic drugs quite a bit during that period of time?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes. PRESIDING COMMISSIOMER ORTEGA: Acid. IMMATE VAA HOUTEN: Yes. PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: LSD. Did you use anything else, any stronger drugs, cocaine, heroin?

INHATE VAN HOUTEN: NO.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: It was
primarily marijuana.
INMATE VAN HOUTEN: LSD and marijuana, hashish. PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay, those are the things --

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Now and then a little bit of methamphetamire, but mainly LSD.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay. Just to talk very briefly about your personal history. you were born in Altadena, California.

INMATE VAM HOUTEN: Yes. PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: You had an older brother, Paul.

INMATE VAM HOUTEN: Yes, I do.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: You have, I
think, a stepbrother and sister?

Immars vand aoutza: They were adopted.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: They were adopted. Okay, you graduated from Monrovia High school.

Did you go to high school at all?
inmate van houten: yes.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Did YOu, I mean, high school, I'm sorry, college?
inmate van hotten: Not until I came -- Oh, I went to a year of business school.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay, and that was your only scheol that you had?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Were you ever married?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Once, in here.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTBGA: And that was
it?
inmate van houten: yeah.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTBGA: And that ended in a divorce.
immate van houtbn: Yes, it did.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: So you have no children.

INMATE VAN EOUTEN: NO, I do not.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Okay. What I want you to do now is direct your attention to Mr. Giaquinto. He's going to talk to you about your
institution adjustment, and more specifically, since your last hearing.

COMAISSIONER GIAgUINTO: The last time you appeared before the Board was April 20th, '96. And according to the Board report, there's a number of informational chronos that have been generated since that time. Thanking you for being a tutor Yes, I Can II, and voices Within project., and you assisted with the NA, AA banquet, and CODA. And you had exceptional grades as a program II relief clerk, and there were many positive comments on those indicating things like meets job expectations, demonstrates good work ethics, needs minimal supervision. Your classification score is zero. You have also been involved in save our Stitches, let's see, reading projects for the visually impaired, you've been involved in group psychotherapy. You've completed electronic data processing. Now, was that since the last time?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: NO, I completed that before this last -- In '96 I had already completed it. COMAISSIONER GIAQUINTO: Okay, because I, you were in word processing and then $I$ thought -IMMATE VAN HOUTEN: No, I was in data. COMMISSIONER GIAOUINTO: Oh, you were in data? INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER GIAQUINTO: Okay, then you went to this.
mmeate van gourgiv: well, they have software packets of word.

COMMISSIONER GIAQUINTO: Oh, I see.
IMMATE VAN EOUTEM: Yeah.
COMMISSIONER GIAQUINTO: Okay, and that was prior to ' 96 .

INRAZE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
COMMISSIONER GIAOUINTO: Okay, yeah. I saw the completion certificate in that. Anything else we should know about what you've been doing the last couple of years in terms of upgrading educationally or vocationally or therapy?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Well, I've been in therapy. I was in therapy group prior to this hearing. I may have been in two. I was in one-on-one for a while and then that was stopped. And I've been active in continuing in my AA, NA program.

COMMISSIONER GIAQUINTO: DO YOu know the 12 Steps?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
COMMISSIONER CIAQUINTO: I'm not going to ask you to repeat them for me, because obviously you and I have met before and 2 know you've been examined in that area before. You're working the 12 Steps? INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes. COMMISSIONER GIAQUINTO: Okay. And what step do you work more often than others, or is there that
you work more often than others?
IMMATE VAN HOUTEN: TEn.
COMMISSIONER GIAOUINTO: What is ten?
INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Ten is the maintenance that you continue to keep a personal inventory and when you're wrong, promptly admit it. I do that daily. Really ter, 11 and 12 on a daily basis. I have started facilitating NA groups which I find very rewarding. And I would say Step four stays close to me, the inventory. I don't think that there's even enough of that. And I use that as a means to make sure that $I^{\prime} m$ staying abreast of things, because that's the crux of the program is that you don't allow yourself to get out of touch with who you are today. And so I work at that regularly. I'm thankful for the program, I'll say that.

COMMISSIONBR GIAQUINTO: I'm going to, as far as the therapy, I'm going to kind of switch here.

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Okay.
COMAISSIONER GIAQUINTO: It was Dr. Ponath group?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: I was in a group with Dr. Lee. I may have been in one with $D x$. Ponath before she left, in between '96. I may have been in two since then.

COMMISSIONER GIAQUINTO: Okay, it was Dr. Lee's group and Dr. Ponath was the Chief Psychiatrist. I
saw the name on the chrono here.
ImMATE VAN EUUTEN: Oh, yeah, okay, yes. COMCISSION:BR GIAQUINTO: That's what it was. immate van mouten: yes, his wife was the therapist.

COMMISSIONSR GIAgUINTO: SO I didn't miss, I didn't see (inauadible).
inmate van houten: Yeah. COMMISSIONSR GIAQUINTO: The last chrono I see in that is like October, ' 97.
inmate van houtgn: yes.
COMMISSIONER GIAQUINTO: And that was at about the time you completed that therapy?
inmate van houten: yes.
COMMISSIONER GIAQUINTO: Okay. And it said
that you were an active, supportive, and caring member in all sessions. You were able to listen and utilize constructive feecback of other issues. You also give back constructive feedback to other inmates. All right. Anything else we should know in that area, otherwise I'm going to go to your psych report.

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Okay. Just that I stay busy and I try to do good things in the prison and I would say what's different, perhaps, in the last two years is I've put myself more forward in the community as far as the NA program goes. I'm more available to other women in that way.
comarssiovili ganguinto: In (inaudible)?
INNATE VAN EOUTEN: My community. COMMSSIONISR GIAQUINTO: Oh, your community. impats van moutgn: yeah. COMMISSIONISR GIAOUINTO: I thought you meant out there.

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: NO. COMMISSIONISR GIAQUINTO: I understood that, I read someplace you had a website? INMATE VAN HOUTEN: NO, I don't. COMMISSIONER GIAQUINTO: YOu don't. INMATE VAN HOUTEN: No, no. COMMISSIONIER GIAOUINTO: Okay. INMATE VAN HOUTEN: I think that there's a person out there that does, but I asked them not to do that. I think that's inappropriate. I can't help what they do.

COMMISSIONGR GIAQUINTO: And they utilize your name as --

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: I think $I$ 'm on there. You know, I get letters from people that say they found me there, but I've, you know, discouraged her from doing that.

COMMISSIONISR GIAQUINTO: DO you provide her with any information? It's a her, I guess.

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yeah, no, no. The one that I know about, her name is Linda Mann, and I have
repeatedly asked her not to and I don't contribute to it or update her, so where ever she gets her information, I'm not sure.

COMMISSIONIR GIAQUINTO: Yeah, I was wondering if there was, my concern was that you didn't contribute to tha: and then maybe in some way benefit from it and one of those things you were benefitting from might have been something that we need to be concerned about.

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yeah.
COMMISSIONIR GIAOUINTO: But you say you're not participating in that?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: No, no.
COMMISSIONBR GIAQUINTO: Because I have
received letters from --
INMATE VAN HOUTEN: I get letters of support from people that say they read about me on internet. COMMISSIONBR GIAQUINTO: HOw do you know if they're reading accurate information?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: I don't.
COMMISSIONER GIAQUINTO: Yeah. Okay, well.
you've answered --
INMATE VAN HOUTEN: It's kind of $a$, you know, it's kind of a catch 22. If I write and correct it, then I'm contributing.

COMMISSIONER GIAQUINTO: Right.
INMATE VAN HOUTEN: You know, so I just leave
it alone.
commssioner ciagurwto: okay. The most psychiatric report is dated $3 / 26 / 98$, and in that particular report consulted with Dr. McDaniel.

COMMISSIONER ORTRGA: Yes.
COMMISSIONER GIAQUINTO: Correct? And I'm not going to read the whole report, because the doctor basically goes into the some of the things that you've been doing in prison, he talks about AA, he talks about your involvement with Charles Manson and things of that nature. Under Diagnosis, the doctor says there is no diagnosis under Axis numbers $I$ and II. And then in part, the doctors says:
"In verbal communication with the inmate's treating group psychologist, the psychologist was concerned that the inmate did not express the normal and expectant arger that should be reflected upon her cocefendant, Charles Manson, when the issue came up in the group. I would, in fact, tend to agree with the inmate's view that indifference is a more powerful way of breaking the relationship then either anger or love. Typically in a scene that when anger exists towards a person, there is still some emotion that can be utilized and
manipulated. Totally indifference, however, would suggest that she is far more free of the influence of Charles Manson then if anger were the predominant theme."
Under psychiatric conclusions, the doctors states: "The diagnosed psychopathology has been related to criminal behavior in the past due to drug abuse, anti-social behavior, and dependant personality behavior. None of these conditions, however, are presently found either by subjective reform or objective findings. During observation in the institution, she is psychiatrically improved greatly in that she has dealt with issues such as her past, restriction of food as a way of coping with anger and she has looked deeper into issues of culpability and dealing with shame and guilt. In a less controlled setting such as return to the community, this inmate can be considered likely to continue improving. Violence potential outside of a controlled setting has been considered to have been greater than average in the past, has now decreased. Condition of parole
should include outpatient group psychotherapt and no alcohol."

I wish Dr. MeDaniel had kind of given us an idea of where, when he's talking about violence potential being greater than average, now decreased, I don't know what he means. Is it still a little bit about average, a little bit below, or is it minimal, what? He kind of left us. He used the term greater than average and it didn't, kind of, didn't tell us how much it has decreased. Did he comment to you at all about that?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: No. I think that when you take into consideration that when my one act of physical violence was August 10, 1969, and in the report he speaks also of my taking things out on myself, like my eating disorder and what have you. I would feel that my violence potential is low. I don't respond in an aggressive manner of violence. That one night and that one act and the more $I$ 've dealt with, as you and I talked about two years ago, what was going on inside of me, and when $I$ was dealing with one-on-one with Dr. Janish, there was, we were looking into the release of pent up things that I'm the, by being what he called the people pleasing and dependant on other people, I was stuffing so much that that one act of violence was allowing all this stuff to come out, and that certainly is a sobering thought. And
when I talk to you akout the 12 steps and working it, you know, that's the one thing that I do now is that I make sure that if something is not right with me, I take care of it right away so that nothing does build up, that there's not the shoving it down. And I would say that my violence potential is very low.

COMMISSIONER GIAQUINTO: Anything else we
should know about the area I just covered?
IMMATE VAN HOUTEN: NO.
COMMISSIONER GIAQUINTO: Okay. I return it
back to the Chair.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank you. Now, please direct your attention to Mr. Douglas to talk to you about your parole plans.

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Okay. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Good afternoon, Ms. Van Houten.

INMATE V2N HOUTGN: Good afternoon. May I say something just cuickly?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Yes. INMATE VRN HOUTEN: Because the cameras are here, could we avoid using direct names and addresses --

DEPUTY CCMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: YeS. INMATE VAN HOUTEN: -- of the people that I'm going to live with? Okay, thank you. DEPUTY CCMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Of the people
that you are going to live with?
IMMAYE VAM EOUTEM: Yeah, or where I'm going to work or something.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Okay. HOW about telling me a little bit about your parole plans.

INAATE vAN EOUTEN: Okay, I would live with a woman that $I$ met about 16 , well maybe now 18 years ago. When I was working on my Bachelor Degree, there were outside studerts from the community that came in to have the classes with those of us that were inside, and it was sort of a way that we subsidized the program. I met hex then and we have remained friends since then, and it's in the LA area. My job is a job offer that this mar has offered it to now for perhaps 15, 16 years, and, it would be working at a yardage shop for perhaps, $J$ think I would start perhaps at minimum wage. I'm not sure of all of the, I'm not sure how much money I would be making. But it would be a humble job that I feel would suit me. I've met him and he's a kind man that would work with me as I learned to integrate.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: How did you meet him, how did you get to know him?

INMATE VAN EOUTEN: A friend of mine from high school used to buy all of her cheerleading yardage when she was a teacher.

DEPUTY CONRISSIONER DOUGLAS: OkaY.

IMMATE VAM BOUTExt: And then there's a gecond job that would be one I could do in my home, where I would be making costumes for a ballet company and that would, that's estinated to in one of the letters that I could make approximately five hundred a week doing that.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: YOu could do that from the house?

INMATE VAN HOUTENz Yes. My ultimate goal would be that I could become the, as my mother gets older, she's a single woman, and my goal would be that I could perhaps focus on that and work it into a way that I could sustain myself and take care of mom when she gets to the point where she's not able to take care of herself.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONBR DOUGLAS: Have you been able to save any money since you've been in prison, do you have, have you ever had a pay number?

INMATE VAN IOUTEN: No. I make 20 dollars a month.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: You make 20 a month. Are you getting any help from the outside?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: I see. Okay, well, you have several letters of support, and I will not read all of them, but I will read some of them, particularly from your family.

INMATE VAN 药OTEN: Yes.
DEPUTY COMMISBIONER DOUGLAS: And then I will make a note to you and the committee as to the others and who they are with the exception of the person that you want to live with; is that right?

IMMATE VAN HOUTSN: Yes, if you don't mind.
DEPUTY COMAISSIONER DOUGLAS: OkaY. And you have a number of letters of support. You have one from, well, the first is Herbert Barron. Is he the gentleman?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Okay, and he's the one with the furniture, or the fabric outlet, right?

INMATE VAN BCUTEN: Uh-hmm.
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Okay, well, he writes a good letter and says that he would give you a job upon release and that you would start out the same as all of his employees. It says my name if Herb Barron. I own two fabric stores for many years. I have written you offering Leslie Van Houten employment upon release from prison, this year is no different. And then he says, naturally, Leslie would begin work at the same entry level as all my employees. All right, and then you have from your, I guess, the wife of your father?

IMNATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.

DEPUTY commissioner Dovaras: Kay Van houten. IMMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Okay, Kay writes you a letter to whom it may concern, and then she said thank God for the California prison system who used to believe in rehabilitation, not just punishment. Under the system, Leslie is able to earn a BA degree, gain computer skills and even have enough counseling to become a contributing member of society. Forgiveness is as important for a person forgiving, as for a person to be forgiven. And then you have a letter here from Paul Van Houten, your dad?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: And Your dad said that he was 50 years old at the time you were committed. He is now 80 years old. He said 18 years later, what happened to good behavior? But Leslie got along in prison. Your last report said Leslie was a danger to society, shame on you. You have run out of excuses, give Leslie a date. So your dad is impatient for you to get out.

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes, he is.
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: And then there's another letter here written by Lana McMaster, and she was a graduate from Monrovia High School and went to school with Leslie's older brother, Paul. INMATE VAN HOUTENs Yes.

DEPUTY COMOMSSIOMSR DOUGLAS: And I knew
Leslie. Anyway, she's a friend and she's very supportive of your release. And then there's a letter here from your, well, I'll get to that in a minute, from your mother, of course, June Edwards Van Houten. IMMATE VAN HOUTEN: Jane, yes.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Jane, okay. And then it says, I strongly urge you to set a date for her parole, and she has handwritten, so it's somewhat difficult, so that we all may plan for a life combined with her after she leaves prison. Please consider her eligibility this year for a parole date. And then you have a letter from your sister who wrote a long letter in handwriting, and she said that on behalf of my sister, Leslie Van Houten, she is very supportive and wants a date, for you to get a date and also notes that you may live with her and her husband and her son, is it?

INMATE VAN HOUTSN: Yes.
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: If need be, and is very supportive of your getting out on parole. And then you have some other letters here. You have letters from Lana McMasters, who I read, Robin Allen, a friend and executive director of a program for abused program, is she?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: OkaY. And

Hershel Barron, of course of Barron's Furniture, and Rosemary Degracia knows Leslie. Dc you know her very well?

IMMATE VAM HOUTEN: NO. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Through a friend. INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: She knows you through a friend.

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes. We correspond, we haven't met.

DEPUTY COMNISSIONER DOUGLAS: Okay. And then Peket Martez?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
DEPUTY COMLISSIONER DOUGLAS: Wrote a longer in support. He is a friend. Then Christie Oglesby who is a friend and Carl Shavely, Jr., who is a pastor of the church that you attended?

INMATR VAN HOUTEN: Yes, he's left now, but he was.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Okay. And Paul Skelman who is a friend, and Jane, of course, your mother, and Dan Morotek, attorney.

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: He was my attorney. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: He was your attorney, all right. He wrote a letter mostly quoting legal ease rather than talking about given how you've changed. But at any rate, and then you got two from

Michael Farquar?
INMATE VAN HOOTEN: Farquar?
DEPUTY COMASSSIONER DOUGLAS: Farquar, and he is a reporter and also a friend, right?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: And he was a reporter in your last hearing?

IMMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes, and chen we maintained -- No, he wrote an article at the 25 year, and then we maintained corresponcience.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Okay, and then you got one from Lil Utsey? Is it Lil?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: I'm not sure who that is.
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Okay. And Olga Buchanan, also a friend, and Richard Ellis, a friend, and Patricia Ravinsky from Canada, she wrote a --

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: I'm not sure who that is, either.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: She may be a website fan, maybe.

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Some of those names I don't know.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: I think they are, because some of them do not identify themselves as friends, they simply support you getting out.

INRATE VAN HOUTEN: Right.
DEPUTY COMMISSIONBR DOUGLAS: And Maryann

Halborough, a friend.
ImMATs VAN BOUTEN: Yes, I've known her since I was four.

DEPUTY COMAISSIONER DOUGLAS: And Dwight Blackstop, a friend, and also went to church with you in Arcadia.

INMATE VAN ROUTEN: Yes.
DEPUTY COMNISSIONER DOUGLAS: Okay, and William Hubbard, a friend, and Samuel Lucian, who was a social here, right?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: She lives in New York now. She was one of my educators.

DEPUTY COMNISSIONER DOUGLAS: But was that not here?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Yes, at CIW. Okay, and then steven Rice, a friend, and Renee Snow, a friend. And then you got a letter from a sister Antoinette Moon?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Who was a Notre Dame sisters and she said she doubts if they could give you any money, but they would support you in anyway possible. And then you have a letter from Vera Newman, who is a friend, and had a letter from David Moon, who is a teacher at CIW.

INMATE VAN HOUTSN: He used to be a computer
teacher.
DEPUTY COMMIESTONER DOモGLAS: A computer teacher, well, he write a favorable letter, and Dave Spidel, a friend, and Sammy Blank, an inmate who you used to know, right?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes, she was here years and years and years ago.

एEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: She wrote a handwritten letter, very supportive, and Dale Gidley, a friend and supporter.

INRATE VAN HOUTEN: My mother's old boyfriend from high school.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Your mother's old boyfriend, okay. Now those are letters that you have gotten in support for your lease. You got a letter, also -- We sent out 3042 notices to the different jurisdictions asking if they care to write us a letter relating to your hearing, and we did get a letter from the Los Angeles Police Department, which notes the Los Angeles Police Department remains adamantly opposed to the release of Ms. Van Houten. Leslie Van Houten was an active and willing participant in the brutal murder and mutilation of several persons in the Los Angeles area. The actions of Ms. Van Houten during and subsequent to brutal murders are well documented and have been established beyond a possible doubt. To release her back to society would be to further
victimize it. And then we have with us today a member of the District Attoraey's office who will speak at the appropriate time sepresenting the people of Los Angeles County. And having done that, I will return it to the Chair.

PRESIDING COMAISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank you. Any additional questions. Mr. Giaquinto? CCHMISSIONER GTAQUINTO: I was just wondering about this -- No, no, no. No questions. PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Any questions? DEPUTY COMMISSZONER DOUGLAS: NO, I have no questiors.

PDESIDING COMM:SSIONER OR'LSGA: Any questions from the District Attorney.

HEAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTOFVEY RAY: NO questions.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: OkaY. NOW, we'll have a closing statement from the District Attorney. Before we do that, is there any comments that you would like to make regarding the procedure and others you want us to know before we go into closing statements, of would you wait to make your closing statements?

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: I'll wait until I make a closing statement.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right. HEAD DBPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY RAY: Just as far
as the procedure, I notice at this time in the packet, I didn't get any of those letters. Usually I get copies of letters that are both pro and con, and I didn't get any.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Well, what I think is it was just so many of them, I think we had a box full over here.

GEAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY KAY: Okay. PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: That may have been probably one of the reasons.

HBAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY KAY: Okay, I understand now.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: You get to chance to look at them if you'd like. There's quite a few of them. Go ahead with your closing statement.

HEAD DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY KAY: Okay, thank you, very much. I am respectfully asking you to again find Leslie Van Houten unsuitable for parole for two main reasons. One, she was a very active participant in two of the most senseless, senseless muraers I think in the history of American crime. We Leno and Rosemary LaBianca were totally innocent people. Lenc was a 44 year old man who owned a chain of small grocery stores, his wife Rosemary owned a dress shop called the Carriage Boutique. They didn't know these people, they were in the supposed sanctity of their own home and they were literally butchered by Ms. Van

Houten and the others. And I'm not going to go over the facts again because I know Mr. Giaquinto could probably recite them in his sleep. But there are a few that were not talked about and I'd just to make the picture complete. Ms. Van Houten doesn't like to talk about what happened in the bedroom, but I think it's very important for you to know what happened in the bedroom. When Ms. Krenwinkel and Ms. Van Houten took Mrs. LaBianca into the bedroom, they took her there for the purpose of killing her. Ms. Van Houten put a pillowcase over Mrs. LaBianca's head and then unplugged a lamp that was next the bed and wrapped the cord around Mrs. Labianca's neck and then pushed her down on the bed and was holding her down so Krenwinkel could stab her. At that point, Mrs. LaBianca heard Mr. Watson killing her husband in the living room and she bolted up fron the bed, grabbed the cord of the lamp and swung the lamp in the direction of Ms. Van Houten, probably couldn't see, but just was swinging it in the general direction. Ms. Van Houten knocked the lamp out of her hand and wrestled her down to the bed and pinned her down so that Patricia Krenwinkel could stab her and Krenwinkel stabbed her with such force that the knife blade bent. Now Ms. Van Houten had a chance at that time to say, this is wrong, I'm not going to participate in this. We didn't kill her, we tried, but what does she do? She goes to the
hallway and calls for watson knowing that he has the bayonet and then he comes in and watson and Van Houten proceed to stab Mrs. LaBianca to death. She was stabbed 42 times. She had eight fatal stab wounds, seven of the eight fatal stab wounds were in her back and Ms. Van Houten has admitted that she stabbed Mrs. Labianca in the back at least sixteen times. Now, it doesn't end there. You talked to her about wiping the fingerprints off, which she did, and we didn't find fingerprints of any of these people in the house. We found wipe marks. I mean, our fingerprint experts from LAPD found wipe marks where things were written on the wall and everything. There were none of the fingerprints of the perpetrators. She drank chocolate milk out of the refrigerator, as if anybody could have an appette after these horrible murders. And then she changed her clothes and changed into some of Mrs. LaBianca's clothes, and I submit to you the reason she changed the clothes were because she had blood on her clothes and she changed into clothes that didn't have blood on them. And then, when she got back to the ranch, the Spawn Ranch where they were living, the first thing that she did was she collected firewood and built a fire in the fireplace and burned Mrs. LaBianca's clothes. You know, this was not some lost soul that didn't know exactly what she was doing. Ms. Van Houten, and I've been with these people ever
since September of 1970 , she's probably the brightest one in the family and she admitted under my crossexamination at her trial that she weighed and considered for two days whether or not she could participate in murder and made a decision in her own mind that she would participate before Manson or anyone asked her to participate. And the evidence at the trial was is that she was upset when she found out about the first night of murders, she was upset that she didn't get to go and she wanted to go the second night. So, a very active participant. That's one reason that I think she should be found unsuitable. The second reason that she should be found unsuitable is because even though I think the psychiatric report this year is more favorable than it was in '96, I don't think it's totally supportive of her release on parole. And I say that for two reasons, and I would suggest, and I know, obviously, from what you've discussed here, that you've all spent a lot of time looking into this, but I think it's kind of important to read Dr. McDaniel's report in 1996, because in his current report he cefers back to it a lot. And one of the things that he spends some time on in the 1996 report is saying how Leslie Van Houten historically submits to authority, whether it's good authority or evil authority, and she tends to flourish under both, and she flourished under evil outside the prison
fences, under Manson, and she's flourished under the prison authority here becoming, I think, pretty much a model prisoner. But the doctor said that he had a lingering doubt, or I think lingering doubts, whether or not she had finalized the process of internalizing the rules and regulations and the societal norms that she was learning here in prison. He had a doubt whether she had internalized it enough so that she would be able to stand on her own if she got out and not fall in with her historical inclination to say fall in with evil. And he had a doubt about this. And he said that, in the 96 report, that he felt that age and therapy would help her and she would improve, and he in the report this year, he said that he noted an improvement because with the passage of time, she's older and she's had some therapy. But importantly, he does not say in this report that she has yet finalized the process of internalizing these rules and regulations so that she can stand on her own and can resist her historical inclination to fall in with evil. And I think because of who Ms. Van Houten is and what she's done, that society would really require of all of us before we would consider her suitable for parole, that at least the psychiatric expert could give us some assurance that he felt that this process had been completed and that, you know, she should would be able to stand on her own and would be able
resist evil. Certairly as years go by, he feels that she will get better and noted progress in this year's report over ' 96 , and sne's headed in the right direction, clearly, clearly. The second thing that really troubled me about his report and he just brought this up on so many occasions, was his concern with Ms. Van Houten's weight and her eating disorder. And he said as a doctor he's soncerned because with her height, that she obviously is too thin. And usually when we see somebody, or at least when I see somebody with anorexia, my tendency is to feel sorry for the person, like, you know, gee, you see all these really thin models and stuff like that, and you just say, gosh, they're just too thin and it's not going to be good for them, and but yet on page five of the current psychiatric report, the doctor talks about, and he also talks about it earlier, how Ms. Van Houten has starved herself to basically suppress her anger and he says here on page five, it is to be encouraged that she not utilize self-starvation as a way of coping with anger, as to do so, would allow anger to build up and particularly in this individual, this would not be a desired outcome. So, all of a sudden, you know, feeling sorry for somebody that has anorexia or an eating disorder, all of sudden the doctors say, well, you know, maybe you should feel sorry for yourself. If this woman has a build up of
anger and she releages it, you know, you better not be around when this happers, because this would not be a desirable result and he reflects back on what Ms. Van Houten said how she felt when she was stabbing Rosemary Labianca and she said that it was a feeding frenzy. And what she actually said is she felt like a shark on a feeding fyenzy and it was a release of anger. Biell, you know, someore who's building up anger, starving themselves and building up anger, has to release that anger at some time. And I just, you can just see that throughout the current report that the doctor is concerred about that, and you know, because he is concerned, I'm concerned, and I think we should all be concerned. I clearly see this report as being more favorable than the last one in '96 to Ms. Van Houten, but $I$ don't think it's totally supportive of her release. And I would respectfully ask the Board for a two year denial.

PRESIDING COMIISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank you. Ms. Van Houten?
inmate van houten: yes. I have closing comments that I would like to make. I don't believe that some of the things that Mr. Kay has said are things that are part of the record, but I also don't want to begin picking. Is it necessary, I've done a lot of reading on as much legal work --

PRESIDING COMMISSIONBR ORTEGA: I would say
that if you have a closing statement, that that would sufficient. You don't have to (inaudible).

INMATE VAN HOUTEM: Okay, okay. As far as the where the milk was drank, why I changed my clothea, certainly I will be the first to admit that this was a cruel and callous and dispassionate crime, which is basically why I an found unsuitable and it's the one thing that I can never change. I would like to point out that there are what $I$ feel certain circumstances that allow me to be able to sit in front of you and hope that someday I will be released. And one of those is that I feel that in my trials, my second and third trials, there was a great deal of testimony by psychiatrists that led enough to a defense that the second trial was hung for 30 days, five manslaughter and seven first. It was when in my third trial felony murder, which is robbery murder, was read. There were four separate instructions requested by the people, they were read to the jury. The felony murder, robbery murder states that my state of mind at the time of the crime negates, in other words because it's a robbery, my state of mind at the time is not important, and Mr. Kay in the past has even said in this room that he agrees one hundred percent that it was not a robbery. So I believe that the psychiatric testimony that offered that 1 was not as capable as I am today in 1969, is one reason that I could be
considered for parole without diminishing the fact that these were horrible acts that were committed. The second thing is that the crime was committed during an unusual period of time not to reoccur. I was 19, I was a drifting hippie already with a history of using marijuana, I ran into a man who had just gotten out of prison, was very seasoned and enjoyed using people. I have worked very hard in my therapy to understand that relationship and to move on from it. The third thing is that during the committing of the crime that I was under extreme mental stress and if there's been any evidence at all or understanding of abusive relationships and cults, there was extreme stress at that tine in my life. The autopsy report verifies that there was superficial stab wounds in the lower back of Mrs, LaBianca. I have consistently testified and taken responsibility for those. The District Attorney's office never presented any evidence that would refute what $I$ have said. And so it's a matter of, I believe, my consistency long before $I$ ever heard of an autopsy report or anything else, that those wounds were post-mortem and they were in the lower torso. So any reference to other wounds, there's been no evidence to that. For suitability, I believe that I qualify under no juvenile, as you mentioned. All my crime activity occurred with Manson. I, today, have a stable social history. When
you read over the letters, a great many of those people I have known either all my life or at least 15 , 16 years. They're good people, they care about me, and they've helped me become who I am today. I lean on them and they are decent people. Signs of remorse, I feel that my life is a sign of remorse. I certainly take seriously what $I$ have done and it goes on when $I$ see youchful violence on television, it breaks my heart. There is nothing, and this is almost a cliche, I'm sure said by people in this seat, that there is nothing I can do to change the past. Certainly there is not, but I would hope that you would understand that I took the wreckage and I've tried to become a person that is contributing. Not only could I be contributing in that community if you let me go there, but certainly I'm also in this community, and I see that as part of my remorse and an expression of it. I talked about the motive. I have no violent history of violent crimes. As I've said before, August loth, 1969, was it. Any kind of suggestion that I have a suppressed anger, I live in a very, very difficult environment, and if any of you, I don't know your histories, but if you're all familiar with the $C D C$, even the gentlest of prisons, which I think this one is probably one of them, there's any number of ways to lose your temper, become frustrated, and at some point show if there was a tendency to blow up, it certainly
would have blown up in the last 29 years. I live in an environment that I have had to learn lots of coping skills. I feel that my age speaks for itself, I was 19 when the crime happened, I'm 48 now. I'm getting very dry, is there some water? Anyway, my age speaks for itself. No one at 48 is the same as they were at 19. And as I stated before, the older I get, the more difficuit it is to live with what I did. I don't ever believe that -- Thank you -- there will come a time in my life whether I'm in here or $I$ 'm in the community where I'm not acutely aware of what I did. I will live with that forever, and I've learned how to live with it in the best way I know how. I believe my parole plans are realistic, I believe they are humble and they are ones that I can meet. And my prison record speaks for itself.

PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: It that it? INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes. PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: All right. We do have one statement (inaudible) and this is from the LaBianca family and it will be read by Mr. Giaquinto at this time before we recess.

COMMISSIONER GIAQUINTO: Yeah, and also normally at this time, as you know, the next the kin could speak if they wanted to. And we also have a number of, there are several letters that we received, and they're in the confidential, that are in
opposition to the granting of a parole date, and one of them is a petition style letter. It says (inaudible) should be released and (inaudible). And then we got this letter and it says the LaBianca family wishes to have the attached two page personai letter to the Board of Prison Terms read into the record as allowed by statute. And it says, it's dated May 19ti, 1998. Subject: Perole hearing for Leslie Van Houten, May 28th, 1998.
"Manson and his companions thrust our family name into public focus when they murdered Sharon Tate and her houseguests one night, then they killed my former husband and his wife in the most brutal manner the next night. The shocking criminal actions became known as the Tate-LaBianca murders. We lost our privacy and cur obscurity. My family never became vocal, we did not become activists, we relied on the justice system to seek and find the justice that was due us. We have never been asked by the District Attorney's office to participate in opposing the release of any of the killers. After all, they all received the death penalty and that was all our family could expect. But we can
no longer remain silent. Let me preface my remarks by this statement: We do no desire to become activists, we do not desire to be bombarded by the media and having our privacy destroyed. Yet we must make a scatement about the parole hearing for convicted murderer, Leslie Van Houten. She entered the house of Leno, father of my children, on August 10th, 1969. She participated in the deaths in that home. I note she says she only stabbed Rosemary after she was already dead from the relentless stabbing of 7 ex watson, I know she denies having stabbed Leno, I even understand that she has been a model prisoner for all these years. It doesn't matter. Leslie Van Houten has been fed, given medical care, clothed and housed for nearly 30 years. I understand she even completed a college degree and a Master's degree. My children had no such gifts. They, like other law abiding citizens growing up, have had to face the realities of life without the help of their father. It has not been easy for them. I know

Ms. Van Houten has a website with Susan Atkins, I know she has a lot of friends who work for her freedom, that is unfortunate. Leslie Van Houten chose her own path, she chose to follow the instructions of Charles Manson, she chose drug crazed killers as her family and she became one of them. Well, what about my fanily? When do we get our parole? When does Leno get his parole? Sympathy for these killers, and especially this one, is misplaced. Sympathy, understanding and compassion should be given to the victims of murder and not the killers. In all these years, not one of the killers has expressed remorse to our family, not even Leslie Van Houten who says she did the least in the murders. If she is really ready to parole, then amends to the family should have already been done. When Leslie's father appeared on Larry King Live, my family was denied speaking on the air by the producers to answer Mr. Van Houten's accusations that the LaBiancas didn't care. Not one of us was allowed on the show. Make no
mistake about it, the entire LaBianca family has suffered untold depravation, frustration, anxiety, and financial ruin because of these murders. Leno's mother died of a kroken heart just six years after her son's murder, losing the business to merciless creditors, the family business that Leno was managing and she and Leno's father had founded in the late 1520 s. We emphatically oppose the release of any of the Manson menage. When the death penalty was repealed after their convictions, their sentences were converted to life with possibility of parole. Even the California state Legislature found that unacceptable. They voted to make the entire Manson family an exception. The California voters also took action and so we now have life without parole as it should be. It's a sacrilege to Leno's memory that the family has to be confronted with parole hearings for these individuals every few years. We are glad for her maturity and model prisoner status, but that does not equate to freedom. We also want to say that susan

Laverge, daughter of Rosemary, the murdered wife of Leno at the time does not represent the LaBianca family, She certainly did not represent us that May 4th, 1990, parole hearing for Tex Watson where she made that pathetic appeal for his release because she forgave him. As Ms. Van Houten continues her incarceration, let her continue to remember that what she did that fateful night was forever. The Manson family's mark on this society is deep, as deep as the stab wounds to their helpless victims."

That's it.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank you. At this time we're going to recess. We'll call you back with our decision. The time now is approximately 1:05.
RECESS
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## CALIFORMIA BOARD OR PRISON FERMS

## DECISION

COMAISSIONER ORTEGA: Thank you. Let the record reflect that all that were previously have returned. The time now is approximately 1:20 p.m. The Panel has reviewed all the information received from the public and has relied on the following circumstances in concluding that the prisoner is not suitable for parole and would pose an unreasonable risk or danger to society and a threat to public safety if released from prison. And the number one reason, the commitment offense. It was carried out in an especially cruel and callous manner. It was carried out in a manner which exhibits a callous disregard for the life and the suffering of another. In this crime, multiple victims were killed in the same incident. And these conclusions are drawn from the Statement of Facts wherein the inmate and her crime partners had responded to the residence of the victims. At that time, the victims had been tied up, had been subsequently both had stabbed to death and several comments and writings were placed up on the walls prior to their leave. Previous record: She had an unstable social history and prior criminality which included several arrests for grand theft auto. There were no convictions relative to those charges.
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However, she did have ar arrest for burglary where there were no charges. Also, she was an admitted user, a heavy user of LSD and marijuana during the time of the crime that the crime was committed. Institutionally: The prisoner has not participated in sufficient beneficial self-help and therapy programming, and neeis time to get that type of therapy programming that is needed. And the panel makes the following findings: That the prisoner needs therapy in order to face, discuss, understand and cope with stress in a non-destructive manner. Until the progress is made, the prisoner continues to be unpredictable and a threat to others. Nonetheless, the prisoner should be commended for completing her college education while she's been in prison, for the numerous programs in which she has participated, as well as for being disciplinary-free for, I was trying to figure it out, over 20 years?

IMMATE VAN GOUTEN: Yeah.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Your last 1.15, I thought --

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Was 76.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: -- was in ' 76 . so over --

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Twenty-two years.
PRESIDING COMNISSIONER ORTEGA: -- twenty-two
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years. However, these positive aspects of her behavior do not outweigh the factors of unsuitability. It will be a one year denial.

IMMATE VAN HOUTEN: One year?
PRESIDING COMAISSIONER ORTEGA: One year. The Panel finds what we'd like you to do for the next one year is to remain disciplinary-free.

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Thank you.
RRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: TO continue to upgrade in any vocation or education that you can possibly get into, and to participate in, continue to participate in any self-help and therapy programming. I know this is a kind of a change over the last few years, but you've been programming very well. I think that you're doing well. However, the seriousness of this crime is something that is very difficult to get around.

INMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: I think the Labianca family said it very eloquently in the letter.

IMMATE VAN HOUTEN: Yes.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: They lost somebody for the cest, they'll never know. So we want to wish you the best of luck. Mr. Giaquinto, do you have anything you'd like to add?

COMMISSIONIGR GIAQUINTO: NO.
LESLIE VAN HOUTEN W-13378 DECISION PAGE 3 05/2B/98

PRESIDING COMOISETONER CRTEOA: Mr. DOUglas? DEPUTY COMMISSIONEIS DOUGLAB: NO, I have
nothing.
PRESIDING COMMISSIONER ORTRGA: That will
conclude the hearing. The time now is approximately about 1:23.

IMMATE VAN कOUTEN: rhank you, thank you, very much.
--000--
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## PROPOSED DECISION (BPT 52031)

1. IV PAROLE DENIED


If this proposed decision denying parole is approved, the Board will send you a copy of the approved decision, including the reasons for denial of parole, within 30 days of the hearing.
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